

Please provide your contact information. This information and your comments will be publicly available.

Name: Josh Yank

Street Address: 5023 30th Ave S.

City: MPLS State: MN Zip Code: 55417

Phone or Email: josh.yank@gmail.com

Please share your comments on the Line 3 Project Draft EIS. What could be improved in the EIS? What is missing?

- 1.) 30 yr. estimates for the costs of the project (eg. the SCC) seem rather arbitrary considering that the existing line 3, which has been known to be defective, has been in place/operation for 60 yrs. It seems like a minimum of 60 yrs should be used for estimates.
- 2.) Concerns over damaging the existing lines surrounding line 3, should the line be completely removed, ~~not~~ could be valid. It does not seem that the EIS considers damage to the surrounding lines caused by the displacement of earth as the result of a corroding line, should it remain in place.
- 3.) Draft EIS does not offer an estimate of the impact of removal of line 3, in its entirety, & no replacement option (should MN decide that tar sands oil will not pass through MN). ~~What is the cost/benefit of this removal/no replacement option?~~
What is the cost/benefit of this removal/no replacement option?
- 4.) The life span of the new line is not estimated in the Draft EIS.
- 5.) There is no hypothetical removal plan for the proposed line ~~when~~ when that line becomes obsolete. What are the costs associated with this inevitable project? (monetary & environmental.)

0788-1

0788-2

0788-3

If including additional pages please number them and tell us how many you are providing: _____ pages

- 6.) It seems like the proposed project could create jobs, despite projections that jobs would be created in a similar way?