					2
1		د	NDEX		
2	SPEAKI	ER		PAGE	
3	ANDY	PEARSON		3	
4	JOHN	MUNTER		9	
5	DAWN	GOODWIN		15	
6	ALEX	KMETT		23	
7	MIKE	SCHMID		25	
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

MR. ANDY PEARSON: Thank you very much. My name is Andy Pearson. A-N-D-Y.

P-E-A-R-S-O-N. And I'll make a few comments tonight.

First of all, let's just agree on the face of it that the Line 3 replacement project is absurd. Can we just agree on that; that this is completely nuts that we're even considering at this time, this time of climate chaos, right, just building in our world, right, we're considering building a pipeline -- a three-foot-wide pipeline to carry the dirtiest oil in the world when we don't even need that oil here anymore? Can we agree that that's absurd?

I'm asking for a few considerations.

I'm asking that the Environmental Impact Statement,
a document that's supposed to consider rules, both
in statute and rules as a matter of policy, I am
asking that it be updated to reflect the recent
decision by Minnesota Governor Mark Dayton to commit
Minnesota to following through on the Paris Climate
Agreement, following the absolute lack of leadership
displayed at the federal level in withdrawing us
from that international agreement.

Now, let's be real here. This

project, as analyzed in the current draft
Environmental Impact Statement, carries a social
cost of carbon of \$287 billion. And that is billion
with a B. That is a staggering amount. That's the
number that includes the environmental cost of the
oil that the pipe would transport, as well as the
number, which I know was read earlier, 673 million.
Just to be clear, that's only the impact of the
infrastructure of the pipe itself. It doesn't even
include the oil inside.

\$287 billion is the number in the DEIS that includes the oil, and that is not even a comprehensive number; that is only over a 30-year time span. And we know that there's pipe in the ground right now that's been pumping for over 60 years. If we double that number, that would be a closer approximation. And let's know there are people here in the room today that are barely even going to be middle-aged 30 years from now. That number needs to be extended. We need to have calculations that go out to, at a minimum, 60 years social cost estimates.

And please revise the climate section, taking into account its compatibility with Governor Dayton's decision to stay with Paris. It is crystal

clear that the only viable option, if he is serious about sticking to the Paris agreement, is to not build this new pipeline at all, to be very clear about that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Second thing I want to mention is the alternatives analysis. You know, one way to make a bad project look like the only way forward is to remove all the other alternatives one by one or make them look bad. And that is what was done in this document. It was done just in a way that surprised even me. And I didn't know what I'd find, but I am surprised.

We have a proposal from the Department of Commerce's document that Enbridge might actually choose to build a rail-loading terminal in Canada just on the other side of the U.S. border after, you know, a thousand miles of pipe; and then they would put the oil onto trains, ship it across Minnesota to another rail-loading terminal to go down the rest of the way to the Gulf where this oil is headed. I mean, is that done anywhere in the world? Is there a rail bridge between one of my questions: two pipeline terminals anywhere in the world? alternatives are supposed to be reasonable and prudent. Right? There is -- there is no way that

that can possibly be met. That's why I have no idea why it's in the document.

There are viable potential rail alternatives which could at least be studied that would involve the rail being loaded up in Canada and shipped to refineries. That's the way Canadian oil moves by rail when it moves by rail, which is rare. This absolutely must be changed.

The same goes for the truck alternative. By the way, 4,000 truck trips per day in the DEIS, a pipeline on trucks? Again, this is not the case anywhere in the world. You are reading a work of fiction here. This isn't even based on remote fact, this alternatives analysis.

And then we have what Levy brought up, where two of the route alternatives goes through Leech Lake, when Leech Lake has made it absolutely clear that that is not a possibility. Again, that is not reasonable and prudent.

The alternatives section is a work of fiction, and it's got to be treated like that. And we can't make decisions based on a work of fiction. We need to make them based on a work of fact.

Last point. Where is the oil going, once it gets to Superior, Wisconsin? Now, Enbridge

has pipelines that cross down Wisconsin. It's my understanding that Enbridge plans to use those pipelines. It's also my understanding that the current flow rates on the pipelines going into Superior and out of Superior do not allow for the extra capacity which the Line 3 expansion would bring.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, in Wisconsin, Enbridge has gone back and forth about whether or not they plan to build a brand-new pipeline across that state to carry extra oil coming from Minnesota. That line has been at some points referred to as Line 66 or That is not referenced in the the Line 61 twin. draft Environmental Impact Statement, as far as I can see; and it must be, because if that line is part of what could potentially move this oil, if it is necessary to move the volume transported by the Line 3 project, then it is a connected project, and it must be included within the scope of this EIS for The fact that that was not included and the study. there's no discussion of it, that Enbridge's numbers on potential flow rates are omitted from the document, are censored from the document that we don't even know where this oil is going once it leaves the state, we cannot make a decision based on that.

So I don't think what I'm asking is too much here. I don't think it's crazy. I don't think it's totally unreasonable. I think what I'm asking for is basic facts. You know, we have some of those in the document already, and that's good, and I appreciate where the facts have been followed and where they've been drawn out; but there's a heck of a lot of places where they haven't been and they need to be.

Thank you so much.

FACILITATOR: So that is all the cards

I have for comments. So I just want to see if

there's anybody else that wants to make a comment.

Just raise your hand or bring me a card. Either one
is fine.

So the gentleman right in the back.

And anybody else? Gentleman right here in the hat.

And then I think right here. Thank you very much.

In fact, you know what, you came up, so we'll let you go first. And then we'll get to the gentleman in the hat and the gentleman in the back.

If we could actually -- let's see, why don't you -- sir, why don't you go ahead. You have

the mic. Why don't you go first, state your name and spelling it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOHN MUNTER: Thank you. My name is John Munter. J-O-H-N. M-U-N-T-E-R. I'm from Warba. As you see, we have tremendous holes in this DEIS, tremendous discrepancies and deceptions.

And one thing we found here -- I'm with the Minnesota for Pipeline Cleanup. And south of you here and throughout the DEIS, we find the phrase, the pipes are generally 10 or 15 feet apart, generally 10 or 15 feet apart here and there and everywhere. And that's really a huge deception We found a study that on the 14, 15 named, here. the roads between Rowena and Grand Rapids, the average distance between Line 1 and 3 is 18 feet; the average distance between 3 and 4 is 30.6 feet. And so this makes the point that for pipeline cleanup and pull up, we're making the argument that it should be land over choice and also tribal choice and city choice and county choice. Whoever owns the land, they should be involved. It's not one size fits all.

These pipelines go in and out and everywhere, and there's all kinds of crazy things going on with them. Some are maybe real close

together, fine. But it should be choice.

Beyond that I wanted to talk about the criteria addressing the certificate of need.

There's basically four sections that they talk about here. Number one talks about the future adequacy, reliability, efficiency of energy supply of the Applicant, da, da, da, and talks about that.

B talks about more reasonable and prudent alternatives. The proposed facility has not been demonstrated by parties other than the Applicant. And so these have been discussed.

But C and D have not really -- the first two that are proposed in them, there's a lot of economic data that's being withheld until later. There's no spill data that we're happy with at all. And in terms of alternatives, they are very poor.

Number C, the consequences of society granting the certificate are more favorable than the consequences of denying the certificate. Well, that's not discussed at all on this whole document, that I can find anyway, and it should be addressed there.

And, D, it has not been demonstrated that the design, construction, or operation of the proposed facility would fail to comply with relevant

policies, rules, or regulations of other federal, state, or local agencies. And this is the one I want to address that has not been addressed by anyone anywhere. So let's be first here in Cass Lake.

When we ask somebody for a job interview and the Applicant is there with the interviewer, the interviewer would ask about their past history, they would go online to see if they made any nasty comments about their past employer, they might look for any DWIs they might have, they look at a whole wide range of aspects. And Minnesota as the employer, so to speak, of Enbridge here, the response of Enbridge, should be doing a wide ranging search of issues with Enbridge.

This has relevance, because of our First Amendment rights. In the First Amendment there is the ability to petition for redress of grievances. And so we should be dealing with the past grievances, because this PUC process is really the only opportunity that we have to say, you know, you got to clean this up, Enbridge, before we're going to permit this pipeline. And this -- there's a range of different grievances that we can talk about here.

Now, petition for redress of
grievances is not only a federal thing that you all
march in front of Washington, D.C. and ask them to
do something; it applies to state and regulatory
agencies of state governments. So we should be able

to come to the PUC and ask for a petition for

7 redress of past grievances as well.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And on the local level, you have many landowners, for example, who own land that's been damaged by Enbridge over -- maybe disputes between, you know, they lost trees or there's water flow differences, and maybe weeds have been planted instead of alfalfa planted, which hurt the cattle, and different issues, disputes between, you know, pro and con of these -- of these agencies. this should be mediated somehow. There should be a process in the EIS to -- DEIS to call for mediation of these processes, before the PUC advocates or approves a pipeline. And there should be mandatory adjudication of this so that, you know, if the approval goes through, then the landowner and Enbridge are locked into a process where an independent adjudicator can say, yes, you're right or you're right or we'll go halfway in between or something like that so there's some justice done for all these various issues that come up with people and pipelines.

And then there's the larger issues.

Like the Bad River Band of Wisconsin, for example, it has not had a permit since 2013. And, you know, what's the situation there? Is Enbridge going around Bad River or negotiating with them? And this is a legal situation where are they going to treat it just like Red Lake and negotiate for 65 years and maybe finally come up someday with a permit? I mean, that's just not acceptable, and there should be some answers here for some of these larger issues.

Then there's the issue of damaging pipes as well -- or defective pipes. There were a lot of defective pipes that were imported by Enbridge about a decade ago, according to the Canadians, and they want to know where the sales receipts are and where those pipes go. And as far as I know, they have not found out where those pipes went. So this is another issue where Enbridge should come up and make clean with what's going on with those defective pipes.

And then as actually -- many people are starting to complain now about the damage it's

having to the First Nation's north of the tar sands. Winona a couple of times the last couple of days and other people here. So the tribe -- the First Nations north of tar sands can't hunt, fish, or gather anymore safely. And it's a really genocidal situation. And we're all basically complicit in that situation by importing oil.

And this really goes back to the Nuremberg trials, where they even put industrialists on trial, for example, a couple dozen of them even, for making the gas that went into the gas chamber and that type of thing, you know. And half of them were acquitted; half of them spent some time in jail. But this is a society problem, complicity with this genocidal situation.

And even though it's up in Canada, it's not in Minnesota, I don't think that will pass muster. I mean, there's a lot of excuses that a lot of people made in Germany after World War II, ex post facto law that was made after we committed crimes. No, sorry, that doesn't work. Or we're just following orders, you know, that type of thing. So this should be addressed by the DEIS.

And that's all I really have to say at the moment. Thank you.

FACILITATOR: And I will not pronounce your Ojibwe name well. So I will turn it to you to spell it and say it. Eric will bring you the mic.

Thank you so much.

MS. DAWN GOODWIN: (Speaking Ojibwe.)

My name is Dawn Goodwin, my English name. I come

from White Earth. Yes, I come from that way. It

doesn't mean that this isn't my home also. This is

the 1855 Treaty area. My family lives here. My

sister lives a mile away from the pipeline. My

mother lives a mile away from the pipeline in

Bagley.

So one concern that came to me that I did not address yesterday at our Rice Lake meeting was, if there was a spill, what about the exposure? What would my loved ones and my community people be exposed to that's lacking in this? It looks great, looks really thorough, whatnot; but it's a bunch of fluff.

And like Irene said, the chapter on tribal resources really -- it went in length about our history and treaties and whatnot, but -- and then it just got really loose at the end and thrown together and, oh, well, yeah, yeah, whatever. But from what I got out of that, at the end it says --

just kind of thrown together there that, oh, yeah, the natives think this way and they all think that way, and they don't really have much to say about this or that or whatnot, don't want to address, they're outside of the scope, blah, blah, blah.

And what I come from out of that is, yes, us native people, we're standing together. We have one voice, as we know we want to protect our environment and our people and our water, the animals, the air. We want to protect that. That's one voice, and we want you to hear that here.

Sure, you might not see a lot of our tribal members here. There wasn't a lot of tribal members at ours. And it makes me wonder, makes me worry. But whoever's supposed to be here tonight is here. And I want to explain a little bit maybe why they aren't here.

And there's a section there in the DEIS talking about the historical trauma. And I met this gentleman when we challenged the state on our ricing rights, which we did win. And he was talking about -- to a reporter about historical trauma. And he said what, historical trauma; that don't exist. And I looked at him, and I'm like what? He's like, no, it's not historical; we're still living it. So

now I'm calling that contemporary trauma.

We talked about this a little bit yesterday, that this is traumatizing to us. A lot of the people that I know that I want to come and be heard can't come here. It's too much. It's too emotional. So much anger. And to me this is traumatizing.

I vow to learn my language. I wanted to just put everything into learning my language.

And then I started learning about tar sands and trade secrets and loopholes and whatnot. So I just had to combat that with doing my homework here about Line 3 and tar sands and combining that with my Anishinabe. That's the only way I could cope.

So, yeah, I'm being traumatized. I've been traumatized for many years now, just completely out of my mind. I have important work to do with my community. We have people dying from heroin overdoses, suicides. We just had a young girl two nights ago commit suicide. I can't be worried and so entrenched in trying to figure out how we're going to beat Enbridge and Line 3 when I have so much more important work to do. And it's really sad that my love and care for my people is being taken away because I have to concentrate on this.

So I say I'm speaking for many people.

I'm part of a group called Anishinabe Nago. It's the gatherers, the berry pickers. We're gathering to save what we have left. So I represent them. I represent the gatherers, the harvesters. We're trying to continue our way of life, but this is impeding on it. And we don't want to stand for it anymore. We're not going to stand for it anymore. And I take solace in knowing that there are many people out there that have our backs. They know what's important in life.

of the DEIS. Yeah, there -- it was lacking in information about tar sands and what kind of fumes and whatnot are coming from that. So I worry about my family members that live close by. But one thing I do notice, all the way from Clearbrook to Bagley to Bemidji, Cass Lake, BugONayGeShig School -- and I don't know if the schools further on -- they're all in the path of the pipeline. They're all next to it. Our children, if there's any spills, are going to be exposed to that.

And I felt also it was lacking the scientific knowledge of what bitumen is, what dilbit is, what's in it. And as I looked up some -- you

can't really find too much information as you're just generally going on the Internet about what is in tar sands because of trade secrets. But what I did find, it was like emulsifiers and -- which are probably chemicals, I imagine. And then they put water in there. Then it's an electric charge just to get the tar sands to flow through the line. So it's lacking some of that very important information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also, Enbridge, I believe that the certificate of need should not be granted. Ιt should be denied because Enbridge cannot 100 percent guarantee that a major or minor dilbit spill will not happen. Now, I read that awhile back, when I was reading some criteria for certificates of need, and I couldn't find that. But I did notice at one And then when I went back to look for it, I time. couldn't find that. But, yeah, you can't 100 percent guarantee that there's not going to be a So I believe that the certificate of need spill. should be denied upon that.

And along with that, the failure of technology and human error has caused spills, and those are on fairly new pipelines. So I do not trust the technology.

Another thing I would like to mention is the Clearbrook hub. Not a very good idea, I don't think. And I hope a lot of other people agree with me.

I want to create an animal for you.

Especially the Anishinaabemowin people, you'll get a vision in your head. This animal I created, it starts in Clearbrook. It's the Ezigaaginebigowi.

That's a wood tick snake. Scary sounding, a wood tick snake.

So with all that being said, a lot of people covered very many different facets here. We know that it's very poisonous. We know that it's going to harm the people in this region; not just the native people, but the people that live here. Here, you don't want to leave it in the ground.

We're asking for a full environmental impact study and removal of the old Line 3 in the 1855 area. We'd like an impact study done to the earth below that line. We want to know what's been going on there.

We also want a complete environmental impact study of the -- I'm not going to say replacement; I would say that would be a -- I lose my words sometimes -- a relocation of Line 3. It's

1	not a replacement. It's a relocation and a rebound.
2	FACILITATOR: Just a quick time check.
3	It's been about 11 minutes.
4	MS. DAWN GOODWIN: All right. Just
5	like yesterday, I like to talk. Surprisingly, I
6	don't I'm not a talker. I don't like to be up
7	here, but I need to be.
8	So directly or indirectly you'll be
9	committing genocide, and it's negligent.
10	And for one last comment here, I want
11	to talk about the Minnesota Pollution Control
12	Agency. There's a mission here: Protect and
13	improve the environment and enhance human health.
14	This is MPCA's mission statement, so
15	you might want to listen. Their vision: Clean
16	water, air, and land, support healthy communities
17	and Eco systems and a strong economy in Minnesota.
18	Core values: Number one, people. We
19	value and support a motivated, talented, and diverse
20	workforce. Hashtag, PCA people.
21	Leadership: We set a vision of
22	environmental and human health protection in an
23	open, ethical, and accountable manner. Hashtag, PCA
24	leads.
25	And then the next one is

collaboration -- I'm not going to go through -- and then outcomes: We measure our success by our environment and public health outcomes achieved.

Hashtag PCA outcomes.

Then there's -- they're data driven and a learning organization.

DNR, their mission is to work with citizens to conserve and manage the state's natural resources in a way that creates a substantial quality of life. Same goes to Army Corps of Engineers.

If you support this DEIS, you're going against your mission and your vision and your core values.

So with that being said, I want you to think about water and how you've used it and even the role water plays. And I want you to look, when you're watching an important meeting somewhere, what's the star of the evening? Water out on the tables.

So I want you to think about what's in your body. What percentage of water are you?

66 percent of your cells are water.

So with that being said, (speaking Ojibwe).

FACILITATOR: Thank you. I believe we have one more commenter, that gentleman in the hat.

So we'll bring him the mic.

And just state your full name and spelling for the court reporter. And our court reporter is over here now. She's very carefully catching all the comments.

MR. ALEX KMETT: All right. My name is Alex Kmett. That's Alex, as you would expect it to be spelled. K-M, as in Mary, E-T-T.

(Speaking Ojibwe.)

My name is Alex Kmett. I'm from -I'm living in Cloquet at the moment on Fond Du Lac
Reservation. My family is here in Cass Lake,
related to probably half the town or so.

I should not have to bring attention to the 1855 Treaty between the United States

Government and the Ojibwe Nation, which reserves refractory rights to hunt, fish, and gather in ceded territories all across that treaty land right there.

I should not have to bring up my opposition to this pipeline for the -- for the sake of the tour season, the wildlife, the trout, which is all laid out on this draft EIS impact/certificate of need poster.

I should not have to mention that I also oppose this pipeline not just for all those reasons, but everything else that we hunt, fish, and gather within these ceded territories and all the other treaty territories that we occupy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In addition to this, where the tar sands are being extracted up in Canada in Alberta, those are our relatives up there too, the Cree people that are suffering.

I shouldn't have to mention, you know, spiritual, political, environmental, and economic opposition to all this.

I also want to bring up the Enbridge Cass Lake leak that happened in 2001. If you look up the website that they have, Google enbridgecasslake.com, something like that, they have a timetable spread out on there. About ten years after it leaked, it still says that they're -- what does it say here -- free product renewal continues over a ten-year period, you know. Groundwater monitoring happening ever since. You know, 2015 or so is the last update on here. It's two years We haven't heard anything more. later. You know, what's up with all that? What's going on?

Let's see. Also the economic benefits

1	of Line 3 removal, I just wanted to restate that, as
2	the gentleman stated earlier, as well as should this
3	pipeline leak anywhere around Leech Lake, any of
4	these northern lakes up here, what kind of economic
5	impact is that going to have on the tourism
6	industry, which pretty much supports the economy, it
7	supports the casino.
8	I know you guys want to hear all about
9	the economic impact, so here we go. (Speaking
10	Ojibwe.)
11	That's all I want to say for right
12	now. Angry. I got the shakes. I gotta pee, so
13	I'll catch ya later.
14	FACILITATOR: Thank you.
15	So we're about to bring this evening
16	to a close. But I want to see if there's anyone
17	else who wants to make a final comment.
18	There's a gentleman right there.
19	We'll bring you the mic. And just name and spelling
20	for the record if you could. Thank you.
21	MR. MIKE SCHMID: It's hard to find a
22	place to stand where you don't have your back to
23	somebody. (Speaking Ojibwe.)
24	My name is Mike Schmid. M-I-K-E.
25	S-C-H-M-I-D. There's no T. I apologize if I say

things that have already been said tonight. We got here late.

I've lived amongst the Anishinabe here for 45 years, and I've learned a little bit about Leech Lake history. And I just want to give you my view of what I see that is happening.

The first pipelines went through here,
I'm assuming in the '60s and '70s. During those
times tribal governments were just beginning to have
some power. They were still politically weak. They
had virtually no choice but to accept whatever the
pipeline companies said to them.

Now we fast forward to now. The balance of power has changed, and reservations are saying no, we don't want those here anymore; we want you to take them out. That's really hard for oil companies to understand. It's been a long time coming.

I think what a lot of outsiders don't understand is that from where I -- from where I come from, from what I can see, the Anishinabe do not just care about what happens on their reservation, protecting their land on the reservation, they don't just care about the Treaty area -- a lot of talk about the '55 Treaty area. That's very important.

But they care about the earth. And it doesn't matter what boundaries you put on it, they don't want the pipelines.

And I agree. (Speaking Ojibwe.)

room, the formal public comment period ends on
July 10th. So if you didn't make a comment today or
you didn't enter your comment in the box or didn't
make a comment to one of the court reporters, you
can certainly send your comment in by mail or email.
Just make sure it's in by July 10th, which is the
final date of the public comment period.

And after that, again, the State will take all those comments, they will actually work on them to effect the final EIS. And the comments will be posted online after July 10th so the public can see all the public comments that were made.

So thank you all for the comments and the thought and the heart tonight. And we appreciate all that. Safe travels home and good night.

(Meeting concluded.)

	1			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	agree (5)	12:21	10:2	carbon (1)
ds.	3:5,7,13;20:3;27:4	approves (1)	billion (3)	4:3
\$	Agreement (3)	12:19	4:3,3,11	card (1)
Φ20π (2)	3:22,24;5:2	approximation (1)	bit (4)	8:15
\$287 (2)	ahead (1)	4:17	16:16;17:2;18:12;	cards (1)
4:3,11	8:25	area (4)	26:4	8:12
	air (2)	15:9;20:19;26:24,	bitumen (1)	care (4)
\mathbf{A}	16:10;21:16	25	18:24	
				17:24;26:22,24;
ability (1)	Alberta (1)	argument (1)	blah (3)	27:1
11:18	24:7	9:18	16:5,5,5	carefully (1)
able (1)	ALEX (4)	Army (1)	body (1)	23:6
12:5	23:8,9,9,12	22:10	22:22	carries (1)
absolute (1)	alfalfa (1)	around (2)	border (1)	4:2
3:22	12:13	13:7;25:3	5:16	carry (2)
absolutely (2)	allow (1)	aspects (1)	both (1)	3:12;7:11
6:8,17	7:5	11:12	3:17	case (1)
absurd (2)	along (1)	assuming (1)	boundaries (1)	6:12
3:7,14	19:22	26:8	27:2	casino (1)
accept (1)	alternative (1)	attention (1)	box (1)	25:7
26:11	6:10	23:16	27:8	Cass (4)
acceptable (1)	alternatives (9)	average (2)	brand-new (1)	11:4;18:18;23:14;
13:11	5:6,8,24;6:4,14,16,	9:15,16	7:10	24:14
according (1)	20;10:9,16	away (3)	bridge (1)	catch (1)
13:17	Amendment (2)	15:10,11;17:25	5:22	25:13
account (1)	11:17,17	awhile (1)	bring (9)	catching (1)
4:24	amongst (1)	19:14	7:7;8:15;15:3;23:3,	23:7
accountable (1)	26:3		16,21;24:13;25:15,19	cattle (1)
21:23	amount (1)	В	brought (1)	12:13
achieved (1)	4:4		6:15	caused (1)
22:3	analysis (2)	back (7)	BugONayGeShig (1)	19:23
acquitted (1)	5:6;6:14	7:9;8:17,23;14:8;	18:18	ceded (2)
14:13	analyzed (1)	19:14,17;25:22	build (3)	23:19;24:4
across (3)	4:1	backs (1)	5:3,15;7:10	cells (1)
5:18;7:10;23:20	ANDY (2)	18:10	building (2)	22:23
actually (4)	3:1,2	bad (4)	3:10,11	censored (1)
5:14;8:24;13:24;	A-N-D-Y (1)	5:7,9;13:4,7	bunch (1)	7:23
27:14	3:2	Bagley (2)	15:18	certainly (1)
addition (1)	anger (1)	15:12;18:17		27:10
24:6	17:6	balance (1)	C	certificate (5)
address (3)	Angry (1)	26:14		10:3,18,19;19:11,
	25:12	Band (1)	calculations (1)	20
11:3;15:14;16:4	animal (2)	13:4	4:21	certificates (1)
addressed (3)	20:5,7	barely (1)	call (1)	19:15
10:21;11:3;14:23	animals (1)	4:18	12:17	challenged (1)
addressing (1)	16:10	based (4)	called (1)	16:20
10:3	Anishinaabemowin (1)	6:13,22,23;7:25	18:2	chamber (1)
adequacy (1)	20:6	basic (1)	calling (1)	14:11
10:5	Anishinabe (4)	8:5	17:1	changed (2)
adjudication (1)	17:14;18:2;26:3,21	basically (2)	came (2)	6:8;26:14
12:20	anymore (5)	10:4:14:6	8:20;15:13	chaos (1)
adjudicator (1)	3:13;14:5;18:8,8;	beat (1)	Can (10)	3:9
12:23	26:15	17:22	3:7,13;6:1;7:15;	chapter (1)
advocates (1)	apart (2)	beginning (1)	10:21;11:24;12:23;	15:20
12:18	9:10,11	26:9	26:21;27:10,16	
Again (3)				charge (1)
6:11,18;27:13	apologize (1)	below (1)	Canada (4)	19:6
against (1)	25:25	20:20	5:15;6:5;14:16;	check (1)
22:13	Applicant (3)	Bemidji (1)	24:7	21:2
agencies (3)	10:7,11;11:7	18:18	Canadian (1)	chemicals (1)
11:2;12:5,15	applies (1)	benefits (1)	6:6	19:5
Agency (1)	12:4	24:25	Canadians (1)	children (1)
21:12	appreciate (2)	berry (1)	13:18	18:21
ago (2)	8:7;27:20	18:3	capacity (1)	choice (6)
13:17;17:20	approval (1)	Beyond (1)	7:6	9:19,19,20,20;10:1;
	1			1

	- 0.00 1 .WI.		T	June 7, 2017
26:11	complicity (1)	14:21	10:24	10:14;24:11,25;
choose (1)	14:14	criteria (2)	differences (1)	25:4,9
				· ·
5:15	comply (1)	10:3;19:15	12:12	economy (2)
citizens (1)	10:25	cross (1)	different (3)	21:17;25:6
22:8	comprehensive (1)	7:1	11:24;12:14;20:12	effect (1)
city (1)	4:13	crystal (1)	dilbit (2)	27:15
9:20	con (1)	4:25	18:24;19:13	efficiency (1)
clean (3)	12:15	current (2)	directly (1)	10:6
11:22;13:22;21:15	concentrate (1)	4:1;7:4	21:8	EIS (4)
Cleanup (2)	17:25	,	dirtiest (1)	7:19;12:17;23:24;
9:8,18	concern (1)	D	3:12	27:15
clear (4)	15:13		discrepancies (1)	Either (1)
4:8;5:1,3;6:18	concluded (1)	da (3)	9:6	8:15
	27:22	10:7,7,7		electric (1)
Clearbrook (3)			discussed (2)	
18:17;20:2,8	connected (1)	damage (1)	10:11,20	19:6
climate (3)	7:18	13:25	discussion (1)	else (4)
3:9,21;4:23	consequences (2)	damaged (1)	7:21	8:14,18;24:3;25:17
Cloquet (1)	10:17,19	12:10	displayed (1)	email (1)
23:13	conserve (1)	damaging (1)	3:23	27:10
close (3)	22:8	13:14	disputes (2)	emotional (1)
9:25;18:16;25:16	consider (1)	data (3)	12:10,14	17:6
closer (1)	3:17	10:14,15;22:5	distance (2)	employer (2)
4:17	considerations (1)	date (1)	9:15,16	11:10,13
collaboration (1)	3:15	27:12	diverse (1)	emulsifiers (1)
22:1	considering (2)	DAWN (3)	21:19	19:4
	3:8,10	, ,		
combat (1)		15:5,6;21:4	DNR (1)	Enbridge (17)
17:12	construction (1)	day (1)	22:7	5:14;6:25;7:2,8;
combining (1)	10:24	6:10	document (8)	11:13,14,15,22;12:10,
17:13	contemporary (1)	days (1)	3:17;5:10,14;6:2;	22;13:6,17,21;17:22;
coming (3)	17:1	14:2	7:23,23;8:6;10:20	19:10,12;24:13
7:11;18:15;26:18	continue (1)	Dayton (1)	done (5)	enbridgecasslakecom (1)
comment (9)	18:6	3:20	5:9,10,21;12:25;	24:16
8:14;21:10;25:17;	continues (1)	Dayton's (1)	20:19	Enbridge's (1)
27:6,7,8,9,10,12	24:19	4:25	double (1)	7:21
commenter (1)	Control (1)	DC (1)	4:16	end (2)
23:2	21:11	12:3	down (2)	15:23,25
comments (8)	cope (1)	dealing (1)	5:19;7:1	ends (1)
3:3;8:13;11:10;	17:14	11:19	dozen (1)	27:6
23:7;27:14,15,17,18	Core (2)	decade (1)	14:10	energy (1)
Commerce's (1)				
` '	21:18;22:13	13:17	draft (3)	10:6
5:14	Corps (1)	deception (1)	4:1;7:14;23:24	Engineers (1)
commit (2)	22:10	9:12	drawn (1)	22:11
3:20;17:20	cost (3)	deceptions (1)	8:8	English (1)
committed (1)	4:3,5,22	9:6	driven (1)	15:6
14:20	county (1)	decision (3)	22:5	enhance (1)
committing (1)	9:20	3:20;4:25;7:25	Du (1)	21:13
21:9	couple (3)	decisions (1)	23:13	enter (1)
communities (1)	14:2,2,10	6:22	During (1)	27:8
21:16	court (3)	defective (3)	26:8	entrenched (1)
community (2)	23:5,5;27:9	13:15,16,23	DWIs (1)	17:21
15:16;17:18	covered (1)	DEIS (9)	11:11	environment (3)
	20:12			
companies (2)		4:11;6:11;9:6,9;	dying (1)	16:9;21:13;22:3
26:12,17	crazy (2)	12:17;14:23;16:19;	17:18	Environmental (8)
compatibility (1)	8:3;9:24	18:13;22:12	-	3:16;4:2,5;7:14;
4:24	create (1)	demonstrated (2)	${f E}$	20:17,22;21:22;24:11
complain (1)	20:5	10:10,23		Eric (1)
13:25	created (1)	denied (2)	earlier (2)	15:3
complete (1)	20:7	19:12,21	4:7;25:2	error (1)
20:22	creates (1)	denying (1)	Earth (3)	19:23
completely (2)	22:9	10:19	15:7;20:20;27:1	Especially (1)
3:8;17:16	Cree (1)	Department (1)	Eco (1)	20:6
complicit (1)	24:8	5:13	21:17	estimates (1)
14:6	crimes (1)	design (1)	economic (5)	4:22
14.0	crimes (1)	ucsign (1)	cconomic (3)	7.22
	1	1	1	T.

CASS LAKE - PART 2	- 0.00 1 .1v1.			June 7, 2017
othical (1)	15.0.19.16.22.14	20:17;23:4	24:20	15:8;27:20
ethical (1)	15:9;18:16;23:14			
21:23	far (2)	fumes (1)	group (1)	homework (1)
E-T-T (1)	7:14;13:19	18:14	18:2	17:12
23:10	fast (1)	further (1)	guarantee (2)	hope (1)
even (12)	26:13	18:19	19:13,19	20:3
3:8,13;4:9,12,18;	favorable (1)	future (1)	Gulf (1)	hub (1)
5:11;6:13;7:24;14:9,	10:18	10:5	5:20	20:2
		10.5		
10,16;22:16	federal (3)		guys (1)	huge (1)
evening (2)	3:23;11:1;12:2	G	25:8	9:12
22:19;25:15	feet (4)			human (3)
everywhere (2)	9:10,11,15,16	gas (2)	H	19:23;21:13,22
9:12,24	felt (1)	14:11,11		hunt (3)
ex (1)	18:23	gather (3)	half (3)	14:4;23:19;24:3
14:19	few (2)	14:5;23:19;24:4	14:12,13;23:15	
				hurt (1)
example (3)	3:3,15	gatherers (2)	halfway (1)	12:13
12:9;13:4;14:10	fiction (3)	18:3,5	12:24	_
excuses (1)	6:13,21,22	gathering (1)	hand (1)	I
14:18	figure (1)	18:3	8:15	
exist (1)	17:21	generally (3)	happen (1)	idea (2)
16:23	final (3)	9:10,11;19:2	19:14	6:1;20:2
				,
expansion (1)	25:17;27:12,15	genocidal (2)	happened (1)	II (1)
7:6	finally (1)	14:5,15	24:14	14:19
expect (1)	13:10	genocide (1)	happening (2)	imagine (1)
23:9	find (8)	21:9	24:21;26:6	19:5
explain (1)	5:11;9:9;10:21;	gentleman (8)	happens (1)	Impact (9)
16:16	19:1,4,16,18;25:21	8:17,18,22,22;	26:22	3:16;4:2,8;7:14;
	fine (2)			
exposed (2)		16:20;23:2;25:2,18	happy (1)	20:18,19,23;25:5,9
15:17;18:22	8:16;10:1	Germany (1)	10:15	impact/certificate (1)
exposure (1)	First (10)	14:19	hard (2)	23:24
15:15	3:5;8:21;9:1;10:13;	gets (1)	25:21;26:16	impeding (1)
extended (1)	11:4,17,17;14:1,3;	6:25	harm (1)	18:7
4:20	26:7	girl (1)	20:14	important (6)
extra (2)	fish (3)	17:19	harvesters (1)	17:17,23;18:11;
7:6,11	14:4;23:19;24:3	goes (5)	18:5	19:8;22:18;26:25
extracted (1)	fits (1)	6:9,16;12:21;14:8;	Hashtag (3)	imported (1)
24:7	9:22	22:10	21:20,23;22:4	13:16
Ezigaaginebigowi (1)	flow (4)	good (3)	hat (3)	importing (1)
20:8	7:4,22;12:11;19:7	8:6;20:2;27:20	8:18,22;23:2	14:7
	fluff (1)	GOODWIN (3)	head (1)	improve (1)
\mathbf{F}	15:19	15:5,6;21:4	20:7	21:13
r				
	folks (1)	Google (1)	headed (1)	include (1)
face (1)	27:5	24:15	5:20	4:10
3:6	followed (1)	gotta (1)	health (3)	included (2)
facets (1)	8:7	25:12	21:13,22;22:3	7:19,20
20:12	following (3)	Government (1)	healthy (1)	includes (2)
FACILITATOR (6)	3:21,22;14:22	23:18	21:16	4:5,12
8:12;15:1;21:2;			hear (2)	independent (1)
	Fond (1)	governments (2)		
23:1;25:14;27:5	23:13	12:5;26:9	16:11;25:8	12:23
facility (2)	formal (1)	Governor (2)	heard (2)	indirectly (1)
10:9,25	27:6	3:20;4:24	17:5;24:23	21:8
fact (4)	forth (1)	Grand (1)	heart (1)	industrialists (1)
6:14,23;7:20;8:20	7:9	9:14	27:19	14:9
facto (1)	forward (2)	granted (1)	heck (1)	industry (1)
14:20	5:7;26:13	19:11	8:8	25:6
facts (2)	found (3)	granting (1)	heroin (1)	information (3)
8:5,7	9:7,13;13:20	10:18	17:18	18:14;19:1,9
fail (1)	four (1)	great (1)	historical (4)	infrastructure (1)
10:25	10:4	15:17	16:19,22,23,25	4:9
failure (1)	free (1)	grievances (5)	history (3)	inside (1)
	24:19			4:10
19:22		11:19,20,24;12:2,7	11:9;15:22;26:5	
fairly (1)	front (1)	ground (2)	holes (1)	instead (1)
19:24	12:3	4:15;20:16	9:5	12:13
family (3)	full (2)	Groundwater (1)	home (2)	international (1)

26:2	CASS LAKE - PART 2	- 6:00 P.M.			June 7, 2017
Internet (1)	2.24	Laka (10)	10.7.20.19 20 25.	21.22	21.12 14.22.7 12
1952					
Interview (1)					
1117. 18kes (1)					*
Interview (2) 25.4 16.16.17.218.12; 26.4 Mark (1) 10.8,181.72.3; 18.12.23.22.42.3 18.12.23.22.3 18.12.23.22.32.3 18.12.23.22.3 18.12.23.22.32.3 18.12.23.22.32.3 18.12.23.23.3 18.12.23.22.32.3 18.12.23.23.3 18.12.23.22.3 18.12.23.23.3 18.12.23.22.3 18.12.23.3 18.12.23.22.3 18.12.23.3 18.12.23.22.3 18.12.23.23.3 18.12.23.22.3 18.12.23.23.3 18.12.23.22.3 18.12.23.23.3 18.12.23.22.3 18.12.23.23.3 18.12.23.22.2 19.19 18.19					
118.8 land (6) 108.1817232 108.1817232 108.1817232 108.1817232 108.1817232 108.1817232 108.1817232 108.1817232 108.1817232 108.1817232 108.1817232 108.1817232 108.1817232 108.1817232 108.1817232 108.1817232 108.181723 108.1817232 108.181723 108.181825 108.			little (4)		24:21
into (5)	interviewer (2)	25:4	16:16;17:2;18:12;	12:3	more (6)
4.247-34;12:22;	11:8,8	land (6)	26:4	Mark (1)	10:8,18;17:23;
4.247-34;12:22;		9:19.21:12:9:21:16:	live (2)		
Hat1 17:9 Indowner (1) Ived (1) 22:10 matter (2) motivated (1) 12:21 12:21 16:5 matter (2) 318:27:2 21:19 move (2) 17:8 9 16:25:23:13 13:101:616 move (2) 9:25:12:10,12; 7:16,17 move (2) 9:25:12:10,12; 7:16,17 move (2) 9:25:12:10,12; move (2) 13:3.12 Last (4) 16:24:14:2,21:10; loaded (1) mean (4) 12:25 later (3) loaded (1) mean (4) 22:2 mediated (1) 12:21 mean (4) 12:14 much (11) 13:14:14 14:20 loaded (1) mediation (1) 12:17 mediated (1) must (2) 13:14:18:16 mediation (1) 12:17 mediated (1)					
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Second S					
1					
15:20 13:3.12 16:5 5:2;13:11;14:18; MPCA's (I) 13:14.21 13:14.2					
Sissue (2) 13:3,12 Last (4) local (2) lissues (5) 6:24;14:2;21:10; locked (1) 22:2 mediated (1) 3:2,8:3,11,19;15:4; lock (1) 12:12 mediation (1) 12:16 mediation (1) 3:2,8:3,11,19;15:4; lock (1) 12:16 mediation (1) 12:16 mediation (1) 12:16 mediation (1) 12:17 meeting (3) 13:14; meeting (3) 15:14;22:18;27:22 members (3) members (3) 15:14;22:18;27:22 members (3) members (4) members (4) memb					
13:14,21 15:8ues (5)					
issues (5)		,			
11:15;12:14;13:1,3	,				
13			*		` ,
J later (3) 10:14;24:23;25:13 long (1) 26:17 mediation (1) 12:16 mediation (1) 12:17 meeting (3) 12:17 meeting (3) 15:14;22:18;27:22 mebers (3) 16:13;14;18:16 mediation (1) 12:17 meeting (3) 16:13;14;18:16 meting (4) 16:14;24:21;127:22 methors (3) 16:13;14;18:16 meting (3) 16:13;14;18:16 meting (3) 16:13;14;18:16 meting (4) 15:17 meting (4) 15:17 meting (4) 14:18 mution (4) 14:18 mution (4) 14:18 mution (4) 14:18 mution (4) 13:18 mution (4) 15:17 mution (4) 13:18 mution					
26:2	13		12:22	mediated (1)	16:3;17:5,6,23;19:1;
Jail (1)		26:2	long (1)	12:16	25:6
10:14;24:23;25:13 look (7) 5:7,9;11:11,12; meeting (3) 15:14;22:18;27:22 9:4 must (3) 15:14;22:18;27:22 members (3) 15:14;18:16 mertion (4) 15:17,18 15:14;22:18;27:22 members (3) 15:14;18:16 mertion (4) 15:14;18:16 mertion (4) 14:18 must (1) 18:2 must (1)	J				
jail (1)			look (7)		, ,
14:14	iail (1)				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
11-6					
JOHN (2) 9:3,4 21:24 15:17,18 5:5;20:1;24:1,10 14:18 15:17,18 5:5;20:1;24:1,10 14:18 15:17,11 14:18 15:17,11 14:18 14:18 15:18 15:23 9:1;15:3;23:3; 18:2 17:8 20:24 18:11 10:00 10:00 10:00 18:2 18					
9:3,4					
J-O-H-N (1) 9.4 24:14;25:3 12:17;11,16 24:18 15:23 16:11;15:3;23:3; 16:12;25 learned (1) 26:4 learning (3) 16:1;18:14;25:4 leart (1) 17:9,10;22:6 leave (1) 17:9,10;22:6 leave (1) 23:10 leaves (1) 23:10 leaves (1) 23:8,9,12 Leech (4) 18:24 legal (1) 18:4 lose (1) 18:4 l					, ,
9:4					14:18
July (3) leaked (1) loose (1) mic (4) Nago (1) justice (1) learn (1) lose (1) 25:19 18:2 12:25 17:8 20:24 middle-aged (1) name (11) K 26:4 12:11 sight (5) 23:4,8,1;25:19,24 kind (3) 17:9,10;22:6 8:9;10:13;13:16; 16:1;18:14;25:4 least (1) 14:18,18;16:12,13; kinds (1) 6:4 17:3;20:3,11;26:19, MIKC (2) naty (1) 9:24 leave (1) 24 M-I-K-E (1) Nation (1) Y-23:10 leave (1) 17:24 mile (2) Nation (1) Y-23:8,9,12 Leech (4) 15:16 mile (2) Nations (1) Knowing (1) 6:17,17;25:3;26:5 left (1) mile (2) Nations (1) 18:24 legal (1) mail (1) 17:17 16:2 18:24 legal (1) mail (1) 17:17 16:2 Lac (1) level (2) makes (3) Minnesota (9) natural (1) 23:13 3:					> T
27:7,11,16 learn (1) lose (1) 18:23 25:19 18:2 17:8 20:24 middle-aged (1) 18:2 17:8 20:24 middle-aged (1) 18:2 17:8 20:24 middle-aged (1) 18:2 17:9,10;22:6 8:9;10:13;13:16; 16:12;15 9:13 16:12;15 17:10 17:1		*			N
learn (1)					
12:25	27:7,11,16	24:18		9:1;15:3;23:3;	
K learned (1) 26:4 lost (1) 12:11 4:19 might (5) 23:4,8,12;25:19,24 3:2;9:1,3;15:2,6,6; 23:4,8,12;25:19,24 kind (3) 16:1;18:14;25:4 kinds (1) least (1) 17:9,10;22:6 8:9;10:13;13:16; 16:12;21:15 MIKE (2) 9:13 nasty (1) 11:10 named (1) 9:13 nasty (1) 11:10 9:24 kinds (1) 6:4 17:3;20:3,11;26:19, 24 M-K-E (1) Nation (1) 25:12, 24 Nation (1) 23:18 K-M (1) 20:16 leaves (1) 7:25 loved (1) 15:10, 11 miles (2) 7:25 loved (1) 15:16 Nations (1) 14:4 miles (1) Nations (1) 14:4 miles (1) Nations (1) knowing (1) 18:24 legal (1) 18:24 legal (1) 18:24 legal (1) 13:8 point (1) 13:8 point (1) 15:21 length (1) major (1) minimum (1) 15:21 point (1) point (justice (1)	learn (1)	lose (1)	25:19	18:2
K learned (1) lost (1) 4:19 3:2;9:1,3;15:2,6,6; 23:4,8,12;25:19,24 kind (3) learning (3) lot (12) 5:14;11:11,11; 16:12;21:15 named (1) kinds (3) 17:9,10;22:6 8:9;10:13;13:16; 16:12;21:15 MIKE (2) nasty (1) sinds (1) 6:4 17:3;20:3,11;26:19, 24 MIKE (2) nasty (1) g:24 leave (1) 24 M-K-K-E (1) Nation (1) k-M (1) 20:16 love (1) 25:24 23:18 23:10 leaves (1) 17:24 mile (2) Nations (1) KMETT (3) 7:25 loved (1) 15:10,11 14:4 23:18,9,12 Leech (4) 15:16 miles (1) Nations (1) knowing (1) 6:17,17;25:3;26:5 M M 9:17 14:1 native (2) knowledge (1) 18:4 mail (1) milm (1) native (2) 16:7;20:15 knowledge (1) 18:4 major (1) minimum (1) native (2) 16:7;20:15 Lac (1) level (2) makes (3	12:25	17:8	20:24	middle-aged (1)	name (11)
Company		learned (1)	lost (1)	4:19	3:2;9:1,3;15:2,6,6;
learning (3)	K			might (5)	
kind (3) 17:9,10;22:6 8:9;10:13;13:16; 16:12;21:15 9:13 kinds (1) 6:4 17:3;20:3,11;26:19, 25:21,24 nasty (1) 9:24 leave (1) 24 M-I-K-E (1) Nation (1) K-M (1) 20:16 love (1) 25:24 23:18 23:10 leaves (1) 17:24 mile (2) Nations (1) KMETT (3) 7:25 loved (1) 15:16 miles (1) Nations (1) 23:8,9,12 Leech (4) 6:17,17;25:3;26:5 M M miles (1) Nations (1) knowing (1) 18:4 M million (1) native (2) Nations (1) 18:9 left (1) mail (1) million (1) native (2) Nations (1) 18:24 legal (1) mail (1) mind (1) native (2) native (2) Lac (1) level (2) makes (3) Minnesota (9) native (1) native (1) 22:8 Lac (1) Levy (1) makes (3) 9:17;16:14,14 3:20,21;5:18;7:11; need (10)					
least (1)	kind (3)	0 , ,	, ,		
kinds (1) 6:4 17:3;20:3,11;26:19, 25:21,24 11:10 9:24 leave (1) 24 M-I-K-E (1) Nation (1) K-M (1) 20:16 love (1) 25:24 23:18 23:10 leaves (1) 17:24 mile (2) Nation (1) KMETT (3) 7:25 loved (1) 15:10,11 14:4 23:8,9,12 Leech (4) 6:17,17;25:3;26:5 M miles (1) Nation's (1) knowing (1) 6:17,17;25:3;26:5 M million (1) native (2) knowledge (1) 18:4 M million (1) native (2) lesy legal (1) mail (1) mind (1) natives (1) 18:24 legal (1) mail (1) minmum (1) natives (1) Lac (1) level (2) makes (3) Minnesota (9) natural (1) 23:13 3:23;12:8 9:17;16:14,14 3:20,21;5:18;7:11; 7:17 lack (1) Levy (1) making (2) 9:18;14:11 9:18;14:11,17 3:13;4:20;6:23;				,	
Second S					
K-M (1) 20:16 love (1) 25:24 Mations (1) 23:10 7:25 loved (1) 15:10,11 14:4 23:8,9,12 Leech (4) 15:16 mile (2) Nations (1) knowing (1) 6:17,17;25:3;26:5 5:17 14:1 knowledge (1) 18:9 M million (1) native (2) knowledge (1) 18:4 4:7 16:7;20:15 native (2) L legal (1) mill (1) 17:17 native (2) Lac (1) 15:21 major (1) minimum (1) natural (1) 15:21 19:13 4:21 natural (1) 23:13 18:24 9:17;16:14,14 3:20,21;5:18;7:11; 7:17 lack (1) 3:23;12:8 9:17;16:14,14 3:20,21;5:18;7:11; 7:17 lack (1) 4:20 9:18;14:11 21:11,17 3:13;4:20;6:23; lacking (4) 15:16;4 19:13 3:13;4:20;6:23; 19:8 Line (15) mandatory (1) million (1) needs (1) 1					
Company					
KMETT (3) 7:25 loved (1) 15:10,11 14:4 23:8,9,12 Leech (4) 15:16 miles (1) Nation's (1) knowing (1) 6:17,17;25:3;26:5 left (1) 5:17 14:1 18:9 left (1) 18:4 million (1) native (2) 18:24 legal (1) 27:10 mind (1) natives (1) L length (1) major (1) minimum (1) natural (1) Lac (1) level (2) makes (3) Minnesota (9) necessary (1) 23:13 3:23;12:8 9:17;16:14,14 3:20,21;5:18;7:11; 7:17 lack (1) Levy (1) making (2) 9:8;11:13;14:17; need (10) 3:22 6:15 9:18;14:11 21:11,17 3:13;4:20;6:23; lacking (4) life (3) manage (1) minor (1) 8:10;10:3;19:11,15, 19:8 Line (15) mandatory (1) minutes (1) needs (1) laid (1) 3:6;7:6,11,12,13,15, 12:19 21:3 4:20					
Leech (4) 6:17,17;25:3;26:5					` '
knowing (1) 6:17,17;25:3;26:5 M 5:17 14:1 native (2) knowledge (1) 18:4 4:7 16:7;20:15 natives (1) 16:7;20:15 natives (1) 16:7;20:15 natives (1) native				,	
left (1)			15:16		, ,
knowledge (1) 18:4 4:7 16:7;20:15 18:24 legal (1) mail (1) mind (1) natives (1) L length (1) major (1) minimum (1) natural (1) Lac (1) level (2) makes (3) Minnesota (9) necessary (1) 23:13 3:23;12:8 9:17;16:14,14 3:20,21;5:18;7:11; 7:17 lack (1) Levy (1) making (2) 9:8;11:13;14:17; need (10) 3:22 6:15 9:18;14:11 21:11,17 3:13;4:20;6:23; lacking (4) life (3) manage (1) minor (1) 8:10;10:3;19:11,15, 15:17;18:13,23; 18:6,11;22:10 22:8 19:13 20;21:7;23:25 19:8 Line (15) mandatory (1) minutes (1) needs (1) laid (1) 3:6;7:6,11,12,13,15, 12:19 21:3 4:20					
legal (1)			M	million (1)	
legal (1)	knowledge (1)	18:4			16:7;20:15
13:8		legal (1)	mail (1)	mind (1)	
L length (1) major (1) minimum (1) natural (1) Lac (1) 15:21 19:13 4:21 22:8 Lac (1) level (2) makes (3) Minnesota (9) necessary (1) 23:13 3:23;12:8 9:17;16:14,14 3:20,21;5:18;7:11; 7:17 lack (1) Levy (1) making (2) 9:8;11:13;14:17; need (10) 3:22 6:15 9:18;14:11 21:11,17 3:13;4:20;6:23; lacking (4) life (3) manage (1) minor (1) 8:10;10:3;19:11,15, 15:17;18:13,23; 18:6,11;22:10 22:8 19:13 20;21:7;23:25 19:8 Line (15) mandatory (1) minutes (1) needs (1) laid (1) 3:6;7:6,11,12,13,15, 12:19 21:3 4:20					
Lac (1) level (2) makes (3) Minnesota (9) necessary (1) 23:13 3:23;12:8 9:17;16:14,14 3:20,21;5:18;7:11; 7:17 lack (1) Levy (1) making (2) 9:18;14:11 21:11,17 need (10) 3:22 6:15 9:18;14:11 21:11,17 3:13;4:20;6:23; lacking (4) life (3) manage (1) minor (1) 8:10;10:3;19:11,15, 15:17;18:13,23; 18:6,11;22:10 22:8 19:13 20;21:7;23:25 19:8 Line (15) mandatory (1) minutes (1) needs (1) laid (1) 3:6;7:6,11,12,13,15, 12:19 21:3 4:20	${f L}$				
Lac (1) level (2) makes (3) Minnesota (9) necessary (1) 3:23;12:8 9:17;16:14,14 3:20,21;5:18;7:11; 7:17 lack (1) Levy (1) making (2) 9:8;11:13;14:17; need (10) 3:22 6:15 9:18;14:11 21:11,17 3:13;4:20;6:23; lacking (4) life (3) manage (1) minor (1) 8:10;10:3;19:11,15, 15:17;18:13,23; 18:6,11;22:10 22:8 19:13 20;21:7;23:25 19:8 Line (15) mandatory (1) minutes (1) needs (1) laid (1) 3:6;7:6,11,12,13,15, 12:19 21:3 4:20					
23:13 3:23;12:8 9:17;16:14,14 3:20,21;5:18;7:11; 7:17 lack (1) Levy (1) making (2) 9:8;11:13;14:17; need (10) 3:22 6:15 9:18;14:11 21:11,17 3:13;4:20;6:23; lacking (4) life (3) manage (1) minor (1) 8:10;10:3;19:11,15, 15:17;18:13,23; 18:6,11;22:10 22:8 19:13 20;21:7;23:25 19:8 Line (15) mandatory (1) minutes (1) needs (1) laid (1) 3:6;7:6,11,12,13,15, 12:19 21:3 4:20	Lac (1)				
lack (1) Levy (1) making (2) 9:8;11:13;14:17; need (10) 3:22 6:15 9:18;14:11 21:11,17 3:13;4:20;6:23; lacking (4) life (3) manage (1) minor (1) 8:10;10:3;19:11,15, 15:17;18:13,23; 18:6,11;22:10 22:8 19:13 20;21:7;23:25 19:8 Line (15) mandatory (1) minutes (1) needs (1) laid (1) 3:6;7:6,11,12,13,15, 12:19 21:3 4:20					• , ,
3:22 6:15 9:18;14:11 21:11,17 3:13;4:20;6:23; lacking (4) life (3) manage (1) minor (1) 8:10;10:3;19:11,15, 15:17;18:13,23; 18:6,11;22:10 22:8 19:13 20;21:7;23:25 19:8 Line (15) mandatory (1) minutes (1) needs (1) laid (1) 3:6;7:6,11,12,13,15, 12:19 21:3 4:20					
lacking (4) life (3) manage (1) minor (1) 8:10;10:3;19:11,15, 15:17;18:13,23; 18:6,11;22:10 22:8 19:13 20;21:7;23:25 19:8 Line (15) mandatory (1) minutes (1) needs (1) laid (1) 3:6;7:6,11,12,13,15, 12:19 21:3 4:20					
15:17;18:13,23; 18:6,11;22:10 22:8 19:13 20;21:7;23:25 19:8 Line (15) mandatory (1) 12:19 21:3 20;21:7;23:25 12:19 21:3					
19:8 Line (15) mandatory (1) minutes (1) needs (1) 12:19 21:3 4:20					
laid (1) 3:6;7:6,11,12,13,15, 12:19 21:3 4:20					
23:24 18;9:15;17:13,22; manner (1) mission (4) negligent (1)	laid (1)				
	23:24	18;9:15;17:13,22;	manner (1)	mission (4)	negligent (1)

CASS LAKE - PART 2	- 0:00 P.M.			June 7, 2017
21.0	amanation (1)	19 24.19.1 10.20.2 ((1)	22.10
21:9	operation (1)	18,24;18:1,10;20:3,6,	poor (1)	22:10
negotiate (1)	10:24	12,14,15,15;21:18,20;	10:16	quick (1)
13:9	opportunity (1)	24:9	possibility (1)	21:2
negotiating (1)	11:21	per (1)	6:18	
13:7	oppose (1)	6:10	possibly (1)	R
new (2)	24:2	percent (3)	6:1	11
5:3;19:24				
*	opposition (2)	19:12,19;22:23	post (1)	rail (5)
next (2)	23:22;24:12	percentage (1)	14:20	5:22;6:3,5,7,7
18:20;21:25	option (1)	22:22	posted (1)	rail-loading (2)
night (1)	5:1	period (3)	27:16	5:15,19
27:21	orders (1)	24:20;27:6,12	poster (1)	raise (1)
nights (1)	14:22	permit (3)	23:25	8:15
17:20	organization (1)	11:23;13:5,10	potential (2)	range (2)
north (2)	22:6	petition (3)	6:3;7:22	11:12,24
14:1,4	ours (1)	11:18;12:1,6	potentially (1)	ranging (1)
northern (1)	16:14	phrase (1)	7:16	11:15
25:4	out (14)	9:10	power (2)	Rapids (1)
notice (2)	4:21;7:5;8:8;9:23;	pickers (1)	26:10,14	9:14
18:17;19:16	13:20;15:25;16:6;	18:3	pretty (1)	rare (1)
number (9)	17:17,21;18:10;	pipe (4)	25:6	6:7
4:5,7,11,13,16,20;	22:19;23:24;24:17;	4:6,9,14;5:17	pro (1)	rates (2)
10:5,17;21:18	26:16	pipeline (17)	12:15	7:4,22
numbers (1)	outcomes (3)	3:11,11;5:3,23;	probably (2)	read (2)
7:21	22:2,3,4	6:11;7:10;9:8,17;	19:5;23:15	4:7;19:14
Nuremberg (1)	outside (1)	11:23;12:19;15:10,	problem (1)	reading (2)
14:9	16:5	11;18:20;23:22;24:2;	14:14	6:12;19:15
nuts (1)	outsiders (1)	25:3;26:12	process (3)	real (2)
3:8	26:19	pipelines (8)	11:20;12:17,22	3:25;9:25
	over (6)	7:1,3,4;9:23;13:2;	processes (1)	really (13)
0	4:13,15;9:19;12:10;	19:24;26:7;27:3	12:18	9:12;10:12;11:20;
	23:6;24:20	pipes (7)	product (1)	14:5,8,24;15:18,21,
occupy (1)	overdoses (1)	9:10;13:15,15,16,	24:19	23;16:3;17:23;19:1;
24:5	17:19	19,20,23	project (5)	26:16
oil (14)	own (1)	place (1)	3:6;4:1;5:7;7:18,18	reasonable (3)
3:12,13;4:6,10,12;	12:9	25:22	pronounce (1)	5:24;6:19;10:8
5:18,20;6:6,24;7:11,	owns (1)	places (1)	15:1	reasons (1)
16,24;14:7;26:16	9:20	8:9	proposal (1)	24:3
Ojibwe (8)		plan (1)	5:13	rebound (1)
15:2,5;22:25;23:11,	P	7:9	proposed (3)	21:1
18;25:10,23;27:4		plans (1)	10:9,13,25	receipts (1)
old (1)	Paris (3)	7:2	protect (3)	13:19
20:18	3:21;4:25;5:2	planted (2)	16:8,10;21:12	recent (1)
omitted (1)	part (2)	12:12,13	protecting (1)	3:19
7:22	7:16;18:2	plays (1)	26:23	record (1)
once (2)	parties (1)	22:17	protection (1)	25:20
6:25;7:24	10:10	please (1)	21:22	Red (1)
one (19)	pass (1)	4:23	prudent (3)	13:9
5:6,8,8,22;8:15;9:7,	14:17	point (2)	5:25;6:19;10:9	redress (3)
				` '
21;10:5;11:2;15:13;	past (4)	6:24;9:17	public (5)	11:18;12:1,7
16:8,11;18:16;19:16;	11:9,10,20;12:7	points (1)	22:3;27:6,12,16,17	referenced (1)
21:10,18,25;23:2;	path (1)	7:12	PUC (3)	7:13
27:9	18:20	poisonous (1)	11:20;12:6,18	referred (1)
ones (1)	PCA (3)	20:13	pull (1)	7:12
15:16	21:20,23;22:4	policies (1)	9:18	refineries (1)
online (2)	PEARSON (2)	11:1	pumping (1)	6:6
11:9;27:16	3:1,2	policy (1)	4:15	reflect (1)
· ·				, ,
only (7)	P-E-A-R-S-O-N (1)	3:18	put (5)	3:19
4:8,13;5:1,7;11:21;	3:3	political (1)	5:18;14:9;17:9;	refractory (1)
12:2;17:14	pee (1)	24:11	19:5;27:2	23:19
onto (1)	25:12	politically (1)		region (1)
5:18	people (22)	26:10	Q	20:14
open (1)	4:18;13:1,24;14:3,	Pollution (1)	•	regulations (1)
21:23	19;15:16;16:7,9;17:4,	21:11	quality (1)	11:1
21.23	17,13.10,10.7,9,17:4,	21.11	quanty (1)	11.1
Ĭ	Ĺ	l	l .	1

CASS LAKE - PART 2	- 0.00 1 .111.			June 7, 2017
	(2)	25.12	242md (2)	5.10.12
regulatory (1)	room (2)	25:12	stand (3)	5:10,12
12:4	4:18;27:6	ship (1)	18:7,8;25:22	Surprisingly (1)
related (1)	route (1)	5:18	standing (1)	21:5
23:15	6:16	shipped (1)	16:7	systems (1)
relatives (1)	Rowena (1)	6:6	star (1)	21:17
24:8	9:14	side (1)	22:19	21.17
				T
relevance (1)	rules (3)	5:16	started (1)	1
11:16	3:17,18;11:1	sister (1)	17:10	
relevant (1)		15:10	starting (1)	tables (1)
10:25	\mathbf{S}	situation (5)	13:25	22:20
reliability (1)	~	13:6,8;14:6,7,15	starts (1)	talented (1)
• , ,	and (1)			` ,
10:6	sad (1)	size (1)	20:8	21:19
relocation (2)	17:23	9:21	state (9)	talk (7)
20:25;21:1	Safe (1)	snake (2)	7:10,25;9:1;11:2;	10:2,4;11:24;18:12;
remote (1)	27:20	20:9,10	12:4,5;16:20;23:4;	21:5,11;26:24
6:14	safely (1)	social (2)	27:13	talked (1)
removal (2)	14:5	4:2,22	stated (1)	17:2
20:18;25:1		society (2)	25:2	
	sake (1)			talker (1)
remove (1)	23:22	10:17;14:14	Statement (4)	21:6
5:8	sales (1)	solace (1)	3:16;4:2;7:14;	talking (2)
renewal (1)	13:18	18:9	21:14	16:19,21
24:19	same (2)	somebody (2)	States (1)	talks (3)
replacement (3)	6:9;22:10	11:6;25:23	23:17	10:5,7,8
3:6;20:24;21:1	sands (8)	someday (1)	state's (1)	tar (8)
reporter (3)	14:1,4;17:10,13;	13:10	22:8	14:1,4;17:10,13;
16:22;23:5,6	18:14;19:3,7;24:7	somehow (1)	statute (1)	18:14;19:3,7;24:6
reporters (1)	save (1)	12:16	3:18	technology (2)
27:9	18:4	sometimes (1)	stay (1)	19:23,25
represent (2)	saying (1)	20:25	4:25	ten (1)
18:4,5	26:15	somewhere (1)	sticking (1)	24:17
Reservation (3)	Scary (1)	22:18	5:2	ten-year (1)
23:14;26:22,23	20:9	sorry (1)	still (3)	24:20
reservations (1)	SCHMID (2)	14:21	16:25;24:18;26:10	terminal (2)
26:14	25:21,24	sounding (1)	strong (1)	5:15,19
reserves (1)	S-C-H-M-I-D (1)	20:9	21:17	terminals (1)
23:18	25:25	south (1)	studied (1)	5:23
resources (2)	School (1)	9:8	6:4	terms (1)
15:21;22:9	18:18	span (1)	study (5)	10:16
response (1)	schools (1)	4:14	7:20;9:13;20:18,19,	territories (3)
11:14	18:19	speak (1)	23	23:20;24:4,5
rest (1)	scientific (1)	11:13	substantial (1)	thorough (1)
	` /			
5:19	18:24	Speaking (7)	22:9	15:18
restate (1)	scope (2)	15:5;18:1;22:24;	success (1)	though (1)
25:1	7:19;16:5	23:11;25:9,23;27:4	22:2	14:16
revise (1)	search (1)	spell (1)	suffering (1)	thought (1)
4:23	11:15	15:3	24:9	27:19
Rice (1)	season (1)	spelled (1)	suicide (1)	thousand (1)
				, ,
15:14	23:23	23:10	17:20	5:17
ricing (1)	Second (1)	spelling (3)	suicides (1)	three-foot-wide (1)
16:21	5:5	9:2;23:5;25:19	17:19	3:11
right (14)	secrets (2)	spent (1)	Superior (3)	throughout (1)
3:9,10;4:15;5:25;	17:11;19:3	14:13	6:25;7:5,5	9:9
8:17,18,19;12:23,24;	section (3)	spill (4)	supply (1)	thrown (2)
21:4;23:8,20;25:11,	4:23;6:20;16:18	10:15;15:15;19:13,	10:6	15:23;16:1
18	sections (1)	20	support (3)	tick (2)
rights (3)	10:4	spills (2)	21:16,19;22:12	20:9,10
11:17;16:21;23:19	send (1)	18:21;19:23	supports (2)	times (2)
River (2)	27:10	spiritual (1)	25:6,7	14:2;26:9
		24:11		· ·
13:4,7	serious (1)		supposed (3)	timetable (1)
roads (1)	5:1	spread (1)	3:17;5:24;16:15	24:17
9:14	set (1)	24:17	Sure (2)	today (2)
role (1)	21:21	staggering (1)	16:12;27:11	4:18;27:7
22:17	shakes (1)	4:4	surprised (2)	together (4)
22.17			Sarprised (2)	
<u> </u>				

CASS LAKE - PART 2	- 6:00 P.M.			June 7, 2017
10.1.15.24.16.1.7	15.2	0.5		0.16
10:1;15:24;16:1,7	15:2	9:5	worried (1)	9:16
tonight (4)	twin (1)	Washington (1)	17:20	4,000 (1)
3:4;16:15;26:1;	7:13	12:3	worry (2)	6:10
27:19	two (5)	watching (1)	16:15;18:15	45 (1)
totally (1)	5:23;6:16;10:13;	22:18	,	26:4
8:4	17:19;24:22	water (9)	Y	20.1
			•	5
tour (1)	type (2)	12:11;16:9;19:6;	(4)	5
23:23	14:12,22	21:16;22:16,17,19,22,	ya (1)	
tourism (1)		23	25:13	55 (1)
25:5	\mathbf{U}	way (14)	years (8)	26:25
town (1)		5:6,7,10,20,25;6:6,	4:16,19,21;13:9;	
23:15	United (1)	10;15:7;16:2,3;17:14;	17:16;24:17,22;26:4	6
				U
trade (2)	23:17	18:6,17;22:9	yesterday (3)	
17:11;19:3	unreasonable (1)	weak (1)	15:14;17:3;21:5	60 (2)
trains (1)	8:4	26:10	young (1)	4:16,21
5:18	up (18)	website (1)	17:19	60s (1)
transport (1)	6:5,15;8:20;9:18;	24:15	-,,-,-	26:8
4:6		weeds (1)	1	
	11:22;13:1,10,22;		1	61 (1)
transported (1)	14:16;18:25;21:6;	12:12		7:13
7:17	23:21;24:7,8,13,15,	whatnot (5)	1 (1)	65 (1)
trauma (4)	24;25:4	15:18,22;16:4;	9:15	13:9
16:19,22,23;17:1	update (1)	17:11;18:15	10 (2)	66 (2)
traumatized (2)	24:22	what's (9)	9:10,11	7:12;22:23
17:15,16	updated (1)	13:6,22;18:11,25;	100 (2)	673 (1)
traumatizing (2)	3:19	20:20;22:19,21;	19:12,19	4:7
17:3,7	upon (1)	24:24,24	10th (3)	
travels (1)	19:21	White (1)	27:7,11,16	7
27:20	use (1)	15:7	11 (1)	-
	7:2	whoever's (1)	21:3	70g (1)
treat (1)				70s (1)
13:8	used (1)	16:15	14 (1)	26:8
treated (1)	22:16	whole (2)	9:13	
6:21		10:20;11:12	15 (3)	
treaties (1)	\mathbf{V}	wide (2)	9:10,11,13	
15:22	•	11:12,15		
	1 (1)		18 (1)	
Treaty (6)	value (1)	wildlife (1)	9:15	
15:9;23:17,20;24:5;	21:19	23:23	1855 (3)	
26:24,25	values (2)	win (1)	15:9;20:19;23:17	
trees (1)	21:18;22:14	16:21	, ,	-
12:11	various (1)	Winona (1)	2	
tremendous (2)		14:2		
	13:1			
9:5,6	viable (2)	Wisconsin (4)	2001 (1)	
trial (1)	5:1;6:3	6:25;7:1,8;13:4	24:14	
14:10	view (1)	withdrawing (1)	2013 (1)	
trials (1)	26:6	3:23	13:5	
14:9	virtually (1)	withheld (1)		
		, ,	2015 (1)	
tribal (5)	26:11	10:14	24:21	
9:19;15:21;16:13,	vision (4)	within (2)	_	1
13;26:9	20:7;21:15,21;	7:19;24:4	3	
tribe (1)	22:13	wonder (1)		-
14:3	voice (2)	16:14	3 (10)	
trips (1)	16:8,11	wood (2)	3:6;7:6,18;9:15,16;	
6:10	volume (1)	20:9,9	17:13,22;20:18,25;	
trout (1)	7:17	words (1)	25:1	
23:23	vow (1)	20:25	30 (1)	
truck (2)	17:8	work (9)	4:19	
6:9,10	17.0	6:13,20,22,23;		
	** 7		30.6 (1)	
trucks (1)	\mathbf{W}	14:21;17:17,23;22:7;	9:16	
6:11		27:14	30-year (1)	
trust (1)	wants (2)	workforce (1)	4:13	
19:25	8:14;25:17	21:20		-
trying (2)		world (6)	4	
	War (1)		4	
17:21;18:6	14:19	3:10,12;5:21,23;		
turn (1)	Warba (1)	6:12;14:19	4 (1)	
	Í.		1	į –