DEIS MEETINGS - 14-916 15-137 1 PARK RAPIDS, MN - JUNE 7, 2017 - 10:00 a.m. 2 3 4 MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5 6 In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Certificate of Need for the 7 Line 3 Pipeline Replacement Project in Minnesota from the North Dakota Border to the Wisconsin Border. 8 9 PUC DOCKET NO: CN-14-916 10 OAH DOCKET NO: 65-2500-32764 11 12 13 In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Route Permit for the Line 3 Pipeline Replacement Project in Minnesota from the North 14 Dakota Border to the Wisconsin Border. 15 16 PUC DOCKET NO: PPL-15-137 OAH DOCKET NO: 65-2500-33377 17 18 19 20 Park Rapids High School Cafetorium 401 Huntsinger Avenue 21 Park Rapids, Minnesota 22 23 24 25

		2
1	INDEX	
2	SPEAKER	PAGE
3	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BILL GRANT	4
4	ROSE RAGOLE	12
5	STEVE GILBERTSON	13
6	MAUREEN BURIC	14
7	MASON REDWING	15
8	DONNY HUGHES	16
9	LORNA HANES	18
10	BARBARA COURNEYA	20
11	CHARLOTTE HUGHES	25
12	SHARON NATZEL	26
13	GREGORY JOHNSON	30
14	ALFRED KLEINKE	31
15	DEANNA JOHNSON	36
16	FLORENCE HEDEEN	39
17	MAURICE SPANGLER	40
18	RICHARD SMITH	44
19	ALLEN RICHARDSON	49
20	JOHN MUNTER	52
21	FRANK ORTON	57
22	MARY ADAMS	59
23	CHARLIE BURNS	64
24	PHIL CLINE	69
25	NEIL JORDHEIM	70

1		IN	DEX	(CONT ' I	D)	
2	SPEAKER					PAGE
3	FRED NORDSTROM					75
4	JOHN WEBER					79
5	JAMI GAITHER					81
6	WINONA LADUKE					86
7	CHUCK DIESSNER					93
8	NICOLETTE SLAGLE					98
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1 FACILITATOR: All right, everyone, welcome. If everyone could take a 2 3 seat. We want to make sure people are comfortable. We've got a number of seats at 4 5 the tables. We've got chairs in the back. We've got those less than cushy seats in the 6 7 back, and if you want to really see us, you 8 can sit on the comfy couches in the back. We want to get started. 9 My name is Patrick Field, and I'm the facilitator for 10 11 today. Just hoping to hopefully run a good I work on behalf of the consulting 12 meeting. 13 team working with the State to run and assist and support these meetings. 14 I want to first turn the meeting 15 16 over to Bill Grant, Deputy Commissioner of Energy for the Department of Commerce for the 17 18 State of Minnesota, who's going to welcome us 19 and give a little bit of background on the process, and then we'll dive into the comment 20 21 period. 22 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BILL GRANT: 23 Thanks much, Pat. 24 Thanks everyone for coming out today. 25 So I want to start by giving you a

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 sense of what it is we're trying to accomplish here today. As you know, the Department of 2 Commerce has prepared, in cooperation and 3 collaboration with our two sister agencies, the 4 5 Pollution Control Agency and the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, a Draft 6 7 Environmental Impact Statement on the proposed 8 Line 3 project. 9 The purpose of the Environmental Impact Statement is really to inform decision 10 11 makers, other agencies that also need to issue permits, and all of you, the general public. 12 It is not intended as a decision 13 document, so it doesn't make recommendations, 14 15 It is for decision makers to take into per se. account as they make their decisions. 16 And the Minnesota Public Utility 17 18 Commission, who is the decision maker in this case, will make two decisions. One, whether to 19 grant a Certificate of Need, and if they do, 20 then approval of a route permit. 21 In other words, which route 22 23 should the approved project follow. 24 There are going to be a number of 25 opportunities for public comment along the way.

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

This is the third in a series of 1 I believe 23 public meetings that we're holding 2 throughout the project area over the course of 3 the next three weeks. 4 Once we have assembled all of the 5 comments that you've given us, both today 6 7 orally and in writing, we will be preparing a 8 final Environmental Impact Statement. 9 It will incorporate all of the comments that we've received, and it will 10 respond to those comments that need to be 11 12 addressed as part of the final EIS. There will also be, then, another 13 round of public hearings after the final EIS 14 15 has been submitted to the PUC. 16 We expect the PUC to make a decision on Certificate of Need, and as I said, 17 18 then, perhaps on a route, in the spring of 19 2018, so just a little less than a year from 20 now. So some things to know about what 21 22 you're saying and doing here today. All of the 23 comments that we receive are going to be 24 considered equally, whether they're offered 25 verbally here today or in writing. Both --

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 either here or at the meeting or shared with the public. 2 There are a couple of different 3 ways, if you want to make oral comments today, 4 5 to do that. One is, I believe the people have 6 7 submitted cards to Pat for an opportunity to 8 speak, but we also have court reporters in the 9 back of the room who could take your comments one-on-one, if you don't want to make your 10 11 comments publicly to the full group. 12 So either approach is fine. Both 13 would be considered equally as comments on the EIS. 14 15 We're really seeking substantive comments on the EIS itself. That's what would 16 be most helpful to us at this point is to get 17 18 your reactions to whether there's information 19 that's missing from the EIS, whether there are parts of it that are not clear and need to be 20 clarified; generally, what improvements could 21 be made to it. 22 23 Once we have the comments, it 24 will, as I said, inform the development of the 25 final EIS, and we expect to submit that final

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

EIS to the Public Utilities Commission in mid 1 2 August. 3 Written comments on the draft, the final day that we'll take written comments 4 5 from the public will be July 10th, so that's the date to keep in mind if you're planning on 6 7 submitting comments. So with that, I will turn it back 8 9 to Pat, and thanks very much, again, everyone for coming. 10 11 FACILITATOR: Thank you, Bill. 12 Just a few preliminaries, and then we'll get started. 13 Just, you know, obviously, exit 14 15 right there if anything were to happen in terms of safety in the building. Bathrooms are kind 16 of down the hall and to the left. 17 18 There's two things that the State handed out for you to have. 19 One is the information booklet, which is essentially of a 20 sense, an executive summary of the EIS. 21 The 22 EIS is actually on the tables in the full 23 format. It is thousands of pages there. 24 In addition, if you actually want 25 to take it home, you don't have to take that

At the registration table there's 1 book home. either a flash drive, if you want one, so you 2 can take one when you head out, if you don't 3 Or it's also on CD. 4 have one. 5 So if you do want the full EIS, there's a way to take it electronically home, 6 7 if you want to. And also, there's a longer 8 9 comment form. If you want to do written 10 comment, there's a form here that you can do, and there's boxes along the side that you can 11 12 put in. Just a few things about how we're 13 going to run the comment period so folks know. 14 The first thing, if people can turn your cell 15 phones to do not disturb, silence, or whatever, 16 that would be most helpful so we don't hear 17 18 annoying rings in the middle of things we're doing. 19 20 What we're going to do is I have about 25 cards for people to sign up, so if we 21 give up to five minutes for those 25, that will 22 23 take up the full comment period time. So five 24 minutes is a fair amount, but it also gives 25 people who want to say a little bit more a

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 chance to do it.

But if you could be shorter and 2 more succinct, we would encourage you to do so. 3 And I'll keep pretty good time. We'll explain 4 5 that in just a second. When people speak, we want to ask 6 7 people to listen respectively, not interrupt 8 them. Let them say their peace. If someone 9 likes some of what the speakers said, at the end, they're welcome to be affirmative. 10 11 We do ask people to not be 12 negative about other people's comments. People have a range of views and that's what the 13 public process is about. We don't ask that you 14 15 necessarily agree with others, but will be 16 respectful of others as we have the conversation, particularly, obviously, of each 17 18 other in the room. 19 The agency's role in the comment period is to listen. So agency folks who are 20 21 maybe behind me, raise your hand, agency folks in the room. Bill's here, some of the folks 22 23 are over here, some of the folks are standing

24 by posters.

25

They're going to be listening

very carefully to the good comments that are 1 coming over these mini meetings. 2 And we actually have a court 3 reporter, who's going to capture every word 4 5 that the commenters say. That's going to go into the record, just as if it was written, 6 emailed in. And the State's going to review 7 8 all those comments at the end of the comment 9 period on July 10th to help draft the final 10 EIS. 11 When you do speak -- and we're 12 going to bring you the mic, so I'll say a name. We will run the mic to you. You can stand or 13 sit, whatever is good for you and make your 14 15 comment right there. 16 As you start your comment, please state your full name and spell it, and that way 17 18 our court reporter will have a good record of 19 who the commenter was, and I'll remind you of doing that as well. 20 21 Do you want to pull up the timer? We have a friendly reminder timer 22 23 for every speaker. So we'll pull it up and 24 We'll keep it lower down on show that to you. 25 the screen so you don't have to stare at it the

1 whole time.

2	That's a running stopwatch. I
3	will get out of the way once you get you
4	settled. I'll sit over there. Make your
5	comment, and when that thing hits five, please
6	stop. And if it's getting close to five,
7	you'll start seeing me standing and getting up
8	here, and then if I need to, I'll politely
9	encourage you to wrap up, just so we provide
10	time for everyone who wants to comment to
11	comment. We've got 25, so we've got a full
12	load today.
13	And I'll also try to check
14	towards the end; if there's a few other folks
15	who didn't give a card who want to comment,
16	I'll try to make a bit of time for that just
17	towards the end.
18	Okay. With that, I think we're
19	ready to go.
20	And as I pronounce your name, I
21	will likely mangle half of them, so I apologize
22	in advance, and you can correct the record when
23	you state your name and spell it for us.
24	So Rose Ragole.
25	SPEAKER FOR ROSE RAGOLE: Rose

Ragole, and that is R-O-S-E, R-A-G-O-L-E. Rose
 is a seasonal resident. She lives in South
 Carolina.
 She wanted information on how

5 many gallons per barrel there are, but her biggest question is on page 14. There is a 6 7 statement that says, "They would have construction impacts and introduce new risks of 8 9 spills in northern Minnesota where the watersheds are, in general, very healthy and 10 water quality is very good. 11 12 "So why, with the 2008 Legacy Amendment, would this even be considered, this 13 route be considered? 14 15 "Minnesota has passed legislation for responsible practices in thinking about 16 cherished resources for future generations. 17 So 18 therefore, the people have already spoken." 19 FACILITATOR: Thank you very I have Steve Gilbertson. 20 much. Thank you. MR. STEVE GILBERTSON: 21 Thank 22 Steve Gilbertson, S-T-E-V-E, you. 23 G-I-L-B-E-R-T-S-O-N. 24 I'm a supporter of the pipeline. 25 I feel that the pipeline is the best way to

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

transport oil these days, and I believe that 1 we do need the oil. We're still very 2 3 dependent as a way of movement. I'm actually from Grand Rapids, 4 5 and I can see the -- the economic impact that we will miss out on if the preferred route is 6 7 taken. 8 I guess I do understand that a 9 lot of people have looked at this and thought this was the best route. 10 11 So I know Enbridge is a very 12 good steward. They're a very safe company and 13 very hard-working and have been a great neighbor. 14 15 So if the preferred route is 16 taken, that's great. If it's not, we welcome them through Grand Rapids again. 17 18 FACILITATOR: Thank you, Steve. 19 I have Maureen Buric next. MS. MAUREEN BURIC: 20 My name is Maureen Buric, M-A-U-R-E-E-N, B-U-R-I-C. 21 22 I have property up on the North 23 Twin that has been in my family for about four 24 generations. My husband and I have just built 25 our retirement home up there.

My question is this: 1 If Hubbard County's geological survey hasn't been 2 completed, how does an accurate EIS study get 3 done, since you don't have all the info yet? 4 5 FACILITATOR: Thank you, Maureen. We have Mason Redwing next. 6 7 MR. MASON REDWING: My name is 8 Mason Red Wing. I'm from the Crow Creek Sioux 9 Tribe out in South Dakota. I'm 20 years old, and I'm not really a big fan of these 10 I brought my little brothers and 11 pipelines. 12 my nephew with me today, because, you know, 13 they don't really have a say in any of this. They don't get to say, "We don't want this 14 15 pipeline." They don't have a say or a vote or 16 nothing. So I'm doing this for them. 17 I'm 18 speaking their opinion. 19 And they say the economic development that the pipeline brings is good 20 and stuff, but I was up in North Dakota -- I'm 21 sure you guys all heard of the Dakota Access 22 23 pipeline up there, and they made a big deal 24 about all the out-of-state residents that went 25 to camp there and opposed the pipeline.

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

They said some, I don't know, 1 70 percent of them were out of state, you 2 know, people that were camping there, but they 3 didn't ever really tell us how many 4 5 out-of-state workers that the pipeline had. There was over 90 percent of the pipeline 6 7 workers were out of state. 8 What was that helping North 9 Dakota? I just want to say thank you for I'm a real young man. 10 letting me speak here. 11 I'm real nervous right now. But all I can say is with this pipeline coming through, we're 12 13 going to be here as much as we can to help prevent another disaster, cause we've seen it 14 15 all over the world. 16 Thank you, guys. You guys have 17 a good day. I love you. M-A-S-O-N, 18 R-E-D-W-I-N-G. 19 FACILITATOR: Next I have 20 Charlotte Hughes. Charlotte? We'll come back 21 to her. 22 Danny Hughes. State your name. 23 MR. DONNY HUGHES: Hello. 24 (Indiscernible). My name is Donny Hughes, I also go 25 actually. D-O-N-N-Y, H-U-G-H-E-S.

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

by the last name of Medicine Horse. 1 I'm from the Standing Rock Sioux 2 Tribe, as well as the Crow Agency Tribe of 3 Montana, south of Billings. And I was at 4 5 Standing Rock, one of the first few up there, to resist this pipeline and prayed for help, 6 7 pray for brothers, ask that He bless you I met 8 and -- Mason Redwing here is my buddy. 9 him up there, and he's one of the closest brothers that I have nowadays. 10 11 And once again, you know, speaking up for the people that -- the 12 animals -- like the animals, they can't speak 13 for themselves, horses, buffalo, the winged, 14 they can't say, "We don't want this to go 15 That's what we're here for. 16 through." We're here to speak up for them, because they're the 17 18 ones that can't be heard, more or less won't 19 be heard. 20 But like you said, if the 21 pipeline goes through, then more or less you'll be seeing me a lot more. 22 That's all I 23 have to say. 24 FACILITATOR: Thank you. 25 Lorna Hanes.

1 MS. LORNA HANES: My name is Lorna, L-O-R-N-A, Hanes, H-A-N-E-S, also 2 (indiscernible). I come from Saugeen, First 3 Nation's territory. I'm dual citizenship, not 4 5 by my means, but dual citizenship of the United States and Canada. 6 7 I believe my region, Anishinaabe 8 territory, has been under attack, same with my 9 other half of me, which is Cree, to the tar 10 sands area. 11 So I would just like to say that I love my land and I love the people, too. 12 So 13 don't get me wrong, but I think it's very important that you have to think about what 14 15 you're doing. Just the other day was a spill 16 17 up in Cass Lake area that was not reported to 18 anybody. It's all burnt off now. So I'm just 19 kind of worried about things like that that we're not told. 20 21 We have 10,000 lakes. We have 22 the most pristine waters here; some that you 23 can actually drink right out of our lakes. 24 The treaty territories have to 25 be recognized, because it is the supreme law,

above all the laws that you have come up with since.

1

2

3 But anyways, I would just have to say, you know, don't get me wrong, I love 4 I love peoples and all that, but the 5 life. decisions of some people are wrong and 6 7 probably should be stood up to and tell them, "No, we don't accept this," because your 8 9 grandchildren and their grandchildren, everybody, all the walks, everything that 10 11 lives in the water and air are going to be affected, if not already. 12

We've always been here and we always will be. And all of us can be. So I really want to ask that you would really think about what you're doing.

We ride 17 And we do horse rides. 18 against the current. We're not like trying to 19 like be all wish everybody go away or anything 20 like that. No, it's like, you know, pray for 21 the land, for the water, for the people. And so the Horse Nation is the only one that can 22 23 carry us all across the lands just to pray, to 24 ride with our ancestors of all of it, all life. 25

So I honor the Horse Nation to the most, and I'm very glad that they helped 2 3 us along this way.

1

Also our community, the waters, 4 5 we can go wild ricing on all the rivers here. They're all connected. We can go from lake to 6 7 river, to lake to river, hunt and gather on 8 the way, berries, medicines that could help 9 you with your head issues or just your body issues, whatever could go wrong with you, or 10 11 whatever.

I would say, please, think about 12 And there's no reason why 13 your decisions. Enbridge or any of them other companies cannot 14 15 do better than what they're doing.

They could be into solar, wind, 16 things like that. So hopefully, we can make a 17 18 great decision for our (indiscernible) to all 19 life, all relations.

20 Thanks. 21 FACILITATOR: Thank you. 22 Barbara Courneya. Just name and 23 spelling for the record. 24 MS. BARBARA COURNEYA: My name 25 is Barbara Courneya. I'm from Pine River.

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 It's C-O-U-R-N-E-Y-A. My husband and I have owned 2 3 property on a lake for over 30 years in Pine River. 4 5 There are scores of reasons why the proposed route for the Line 3 pipeline 6 7 should not be constructed across the pristine 8 lakes, rivers, and wild rice beds, but I'm 9 just going to talk about three of them. First, across the Pine River 10 11 watershed that the federal government considers one of the most important watersheds 12 13 from the Mississippi River to the Atlantic Ocean, according to the U.S. Department of 14 15 Agriculture Forest Service, of all the watersheds in the state, they feel the Pine is 16 the most valuable of our water resources as 17 18 far as quality, quantity, and its ability to 19 provide drinking water. 20 Second, the proposed pipeline 21 will carry the dirtiest form of oil, tar It is more sand than oil. 22 sands. So a 23 variety of toxic chemicals must be added to 24 the tar stands to even allow it to flow 25 through the pipeline.

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

This poisonous concoction 1 travels through a 36-inch pipeline, under a 2 pressure of 1,000 pounds per square inch, more 3 than 30 times the pressure in a car tire. 4 It will transport 760,000 barrels of oil per day. 5 At that pressure, even a tiny 6 7 crack or fissure in a well will spew out 8 gallons of oil in minutes. 9 Other spills have proven that it could not be detected until it was too late to 10 11 prevent damage to the land, or, more 12 importantly, the water it traverses. Tar sands' oil can't be skimmed 13 from the water. The toxic chemicals 14 15 immediately enter the water while the tar sands form a heavy sludge that sinks to the 16 bottom and is moved downstream by the river 17 18 current. 19 In the summer of 2010, one million gallons of tar sands oil gushed from 20 an Enbridge pipeline near Marshall, Michigan, 21 resulting in the most costly clean-up effort 22 23 in U.S. history; also, the largest in-country 24 spill ever. After \$21.5 billion had been 25 spent, the EPA allowed the cleanup to halt

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

after admitting that the rivers and streams 1 polluted could not be returned to their former 2 clean condition. 3 After significant dredging 4 5 efforts, it was stated that further efforts would not improve the results. 6 7 Spills are inevitable. Hundreds 8 of spills happen each year in the United 9 States. Please look at Enbridge's checkered history in this regard. 10 11 Third, let's talk about the economic ramifications of the Line 3 pipeline. 12 13 Enbridge promises that the pipeline will bring tens of thousands of jobs to Minnesota. 14 What 15 it doesn't tell you is that less than a dozen of them will be permanent Minnesota jobs. 16 The rest will be temporary jobs to build the 17 18 pipeline, and the majority of those jobs will likely go to experienced pipeline builders 19 from outside Minnesota. 20 21 Let's think of the hundreds of thousands of jobs in our multi-billion dollar 22 23 tourist industry that would be endangered by a 24 spill like the one that happened in Michigan. 25 Far more permanent jobs would be

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

created from renewable energy production in 1 Minnesota. Germany recently announced that it 2 now produces 80 percent of its energy from 3 renewables. Oil companies see the writing on 4 the wall and want to continue to build 5 infrastructure to give the illusion that they 6 7 are not in the middle of an oil bubble that 8 could burst at any moment. 9 Consider that the cost of processing tar sands to useable oil is half of 10 11 what a barrel of oil sells for today with the 12 price seen here. Does that sounds like good

Does that sounds like good economics to you? This is Canadian oil that will be exported to foreign countries. As a result, it would not improve the price of oil for Minnesota or the rest of the United States.

19Given the importance of the Pine20River watershed to our drinking water and21tourist industry, the idea of putting them at22risk with the pipeline makes absolutely no23sense.

By the way, shouldn't we be
holding Enbridge liable for the pollution they

Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

have already created with the current Line 3 1 pipeline? That path has already been 2 irreversibly damaged, and Enbridge wants to 3 just abandon it with no cleanup. 4 5 Seems like the right thing to do would be to demand they clean up the existing 6 7 Line 3 and repair or replace it along the 8 already damaged route. 9 FACILITATOR: Charlotte Hughes. MS. CHARLOTTE HUGHES: 10 Hello My name is Charlotte Hughes, 11 everyone. 12 C-H-A-R-L-O-T-T-E, H-U-G-H-E-S. 13 And I'm commenting on the pipeline as a younger person in this room. 14 Ι 15 see throughout the years that people have been putting pipelines in the ground. 16 People -actually, not people. Corporations have been 17 putting pipelines in the ground, and we 18 haven't seen very many benefits. 19 We've seen a lot of toxic waste in our areas. 20 We've seen a lot of biospheres being destroyed. 21 We've seen 22 a lot of animals being destroyed. 23 I don't see in this EIS any 24 chance that there actually is very many 25 economic opportunities out of this, and that

1 is their main push here. They are saying that there will be jobs. There are less than a 2 hundred construction jobs and zero permanent 3 jobs. 4 5 They are saying that we don't get any benefits, but really, what are we 6 7 seeing? We're seeing a lot of dead pipelines 8 in the ground, and they're abandoning them for 9 us to clean up in future generations. Well, I'm the future generation 10 here, and I will not be able to clean up this 11 12 pipeline. And we cannot let them continue to 13 put pipelines in the ground right here, because we already have ones leaking all the time. 14 15 And they're saying we need 4,000 16 sites need to be cleaned up from Line 3 right We need to get rid of Line 3, and we need 17 now. to not build any more pipelines here. We need 18 to move to clean energy. 19 That is the future. 20 Thank you. 21 FACILITATOR: I've got Sharon Natzel, Sharon. 22 23 MS. SHARON NATZEL: Sharon 24 Natzel, S-H-A-R-O-N, N-A-T-Z-E-L. 25 I'm talking about the new route

for the proposed Line 3 pipeline, which I 1 believe threatens Minnesota's clearest lakes, 2 and that's our fresh water. 3 This proposal would really 4 5 establish a new pipeline corridor, which just south of Park Rapids, Minnesota, all the way 6 7 to Superior is really a totally new corridor. It would cross the Mississippi 8 9 River in multiple spots, also. And this is a water rich environment in that new corridor, 10 and some of the sandy soils are very 11 12 permeable, and also some of the aquifers are 13 connected. The oil spills could pollute and 14 15 endanger these fresh waters for our drinking, for our recreation, and our wildlife during 16 the project's lifetime, which we know, because 17 of this replacement project, is at least 50 18 19 years. So this would be 50 years of 20 potential pollution. The Mississippi River 21 does provide drinking water for St. Cloud, 22 23 Minneapolis, and St. Paul. 24 We also need to consider, in the 25 Yellowstone River 2015 pipeline spill, which

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

happened under the ice, it was near Glendive, 1 Montana, and there the drinking water had to 2 be replaced with truckloads of fresh water 3 that was brought into Glendive. 4 We know that from our ice-out 5 contests around the area, that we have at 6 7 least three months of frozen ice here. 8 The United States Geological 9 Service, in November of 2010, did a national research program document entitled, "Water 10 Security, National and Global Issues," and 11 they referenced a United Nations' environment 12 13 program document. In that document it states that, 14 15 "By 2025, our global fresh water stress that owes to the increasing population on water 16 use, will increase significantly." And we 17 18 know that overseas that will happen, but even 19 in the United States. And the document projects that 20 by 2050, nearly 5 billion people will be 21 affected by fresh water scarcity. 22 23 "By 2025," the document states, 24 "Across the United States the water withdrawal 25 as a percentage of total available is

projected to be 20 to 40 percent." 1 And we know that even in 2 Minnesota we're starting to look at 3 groundwater management areas because of the 4 5 amount of fresh water available, and our straight river groundwater management area is 6 7 now in that. 8 The EPS also states that Lake 9 Superior is 20 percent of the entire global fresh water. 10 11 The pipeline corridor goes to Lake Superior. The USGS document also 12 explains that the amount of fresh water is 13 14 finite, and that fresh water makes up only 15 2.5 percent of all the water on earth. So we need to consider, when 16 we're placing a pipeline corridor, just where 17 18 our waters are and the soils that we're 19 placing the pipeline in. 20 If we need to have pipelines, we 21 need to also consider our fresh water. All of our water is connected and it's needed for 22 23 life. 24 Thank you. 25 FACILITATOR: Greg Johnson.

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

MR. GREGORY JOHNSON: My name is Gregory Johnson, G-R-E-G-O-R-Y, J-O-H-N-S-O-N. I'm a board member of the Pine River Watershed Alliance, and, Barbara, I'm going to come and recruit you. That was good. You said most of what I could

7 say about the watershed, with the exception 8 that a very large portion of the watershed 9 where the pipeline is proposed to go is 10 co-located with high powered transmission 11 lines in highly conductive soils called 12 wetlands.

And I issued or entered into 13 evidence a report from Little Falls about the 14 15 extremely high risk of cathodic protection failure in exactly these types of soils, and 16 so I did not see any of that, other than a 17 18 very quick reference by Enbridge that they would use cathodic protection, but nothing 19 about the much higher risk of failure of 20 cathodic protection. 21

These wetlands are some of the last native pristine wetlands in the state. And by bridging them and putting pipelines in, I didn't see anything about disruption of

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

natural flow through those wetlands into the 1 White Fish and Pine Ridge watershed. 2 I'm very concerned that this 3 DEIS is just fancy paper over Enbridge's data. 4 I don't think it's taken into account enough 5 of the input from MPCA and DNR. 6 7 In addition, over here was -it's a corridor. Let's not talk about a 8 9 pipeline. We know Enbridge has at least two other aging pipelines along Route 2, and 10 Sandpiper could be revised. 11 So we could be looking in the 12 future at three or four more pipelines going 13 through the same corridor, and I think we need 14 15 to address the entire system, rather than 16 taking it piecemeal, one at a time, one state at a time. 17 18 The oil will eventually go to 19 Patoka, so why not put it through SA-04, get 20 it into lands that are away from our waters and through the very most pristine part of the 21 22 Thank you. state. 23 FACILITATOR: Thank you, Greg. 24 Alfred Kleinke. 25 MR. ALFRED KLEINKE: My name is

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

Al Kleinke. That's spelled K-L-E-I-N-K-E. 1 I. would like to speak to this issue in a little 2 different perspective. 3 I would like to talk about the 4 5 economic benefits of moving crude oil in a safe and efficient manner. 6 7 Our family has owned land in Wadena County for over 100 years. 8 We've owned 9 land in Hubbard County for over 50 years, and I know, as most other people that have been 10 11 here for a long while, that availability and affordability of carbon-based fuels is 12 absolutely essential and critical to the 13 future of the northern half of the state of 14 15 Minnesota. Just to name a few, carbon-based 16 fuel fuels are agricultural industry. 17 Whether 18 it's potatoes, whether it's corn, whether it's 19 grain or soybeans, it's absolutely critical 20 that we have carbon-based fuels for the 21 foreseeable future. And we're looking out maybe 50 to 100 years, at least, where we will 22 need that fuel in the northern half of 23 24 Minnesota. If you want to look a little bit 25

further, our educational system in the 1 northern half of Minnesota is dependent on a 2 Those buses are fueled by 3 bus system. carbon-based fuels. It's critical that it be 4 available and also that it be affordable. 5 If we look at our fire and police protection, you 6 7 will see the same thing again. Every village, every city, every 8 9 county, we need fire and police protection. We need fuel to fuel those vehicles for fire 10 11 and police protection. If we go a little bit further, 12 how about the tourist industry, the boating 13 industry in this half of the state -- very 14 15 important to us. The ATV industry, the boats that are built over in a village not too far 16 from here, New York Mills. 17 Potato plant, 18 right here in Park Rapids. All of that 19 depends on one important ingredient, and that 20 is availability of fuel at an affordable cost. 21 The future of the northern half of the state of Minnesota depends on it. 22 23 So the real question is, what is 24 the best way to move crude oil from which all 25 these products that are so needed will become

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 available.

2	And this question has been
3	looked at and reviewed very carefully, not
4	here just in Minnesota, but also in the rest
5	of the world. In Europe, Asia, all over, they
6	had the same question as we're looking at
7	today.
8	Their conclusion has been
9	pipelines are in fact the most safest way to
10	move crude oil and have it refined, that's a
11	fact. That's not an opinion.
12	Also, it is the most efficient
13	way to move crude oil to market, to have it
14	refined, and make it into products that people
15	can have to support their way of life.
16	In Europe, for example,
17	everything is now moved through pipelines.
18	They no longer move crude oil by train or any
19	other method, because it is the safest and it
20	is the most efficient, and it does support a
21	modern day way of living.
22	I really believe we need to look
23	at this in terms of benefiting future
24	generations beyond my generation. Will they
25	have a way of life in northern Minnesota that

we enjoy today and perhaps even better? 1 Now, of course, there are 2 alternative sources of energy. 3 But all the various uses for carbon-based fuels will 4 5 remain for at least 50 to 100 years. Yes, we will be able to use other forms of energy, but 6 7 that's primarily for heating. 8 In terms of actually providing a 9 way of life and living in a place to live and raise a family, we do need carbon-based fuels, 10 and the safest way to bring that to market is 11 12 in fact pipelines. 13 So I support, very much, the methodology that's being used today to build 14 15 safety into moving the crude oil with modern technology, x-ray, welding, and all of the 16 other surveillance capability that we have. 17 18 There is nothing that we can do in moving any kind of products that doesn't 19 have some risk, that's true. 20 But the decision that should be 21 made is what in fact is the most efficient way 22 23 and in fact the most safest way to move crude 24 oil to market. 25 And it definitely is pipelines

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

versus the alternatives. 1 2 Thank you. 3 FACILITATOR: We have Deanna Johnson next. 4 MS. DEANNA JOHNSON: 5 D-E-A-N-N-A, J-O-H-N-S-O-N. 6 My comment is kind of based on 7 8 questions, and there's nobody here to answer 9 questions. So it kind of complicated it a little bit. 10 11 I want to know what the approximate percentage of the DEIS is developed 12 from information provided by Enbridge, 13 Cardinal, and BAR Engineering. Is anybody able 14 15 to answer that question for me? During this comment 16 FACILITATOR: period, it's only comments, but we're taking 17 18 them closely, and there are folks afterwards 19 that you may be able to informally ask as well. MS. DEANNA JOHNSON: 20 What are Cardinal and BAR Engineer's previous 21 connections to Enbridge? Was there an 22 23 independent review of their work? What is the 24 nature of participation in the development of 25 this document by the Minnesota Pollution

Control Agency and the Minnesota DNR? 1 This document bears no 2 resemblance to their work on such issues in 3 Itasca State Park and Mississippi 4 the past. headwaters are given little attention in this 5 EIS. 6 7 Itasca Park is not listed as a Minnesota historic resource in the document. 8 9 What entity made the decision to not list Itasca as historically significant, despite 10 the fact that it is Minnesota's oldest state 11 park established in 1891. 12 It's a source of one of the 13 world's largest rivers. It has a bison kill 14 15 site, which is 8,000 years old, and the area hosts prehistoric site from early native 16 American Elk Lake culture. 17 18 Given that the Upper Mississippi 19 River Monitoring and Assessment Study by the MPCA, in January 2017, found the Mississippi 20 headwaters to be a largely healthy river in 21 its northern reaches, and the river is in 22 23 great shape, and the study states, "The 24 headwaters are an exceptional resource that 25 should be protected."

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 Why is there no assessment of the upper Mississippi watershed in the DEIS? 2 What entity made the choice of 3 which watersheds would be evaluated? 4 What entity made the decision to list the 5 Mississippi waterway crossing near the 6 7 headwaters as impaired water? This is found on table G2, 8 9 page 21. Where was the information found? It is not listed on the 2016 list of impaired 10 11 waters. I find it very disturbing that 12 Enbridge, BAR Engineering, and Cardinal are 13 driving the information provided in this 14 15 document. How would we as citizens expect these entities will have our natural resources 16 and our local economy as a priority, as this 17 document is prepared? Where is there evidence 18 provided that unbiased evaluation of their 19 work is provided? 20 Both of these companies have 21 22 worked for Enbridge in the past, and both have 23 provided remediation services to Enbridge, 24 which, if they're assisting with the 25 preparation of the DEIS, and they have had --

do work for remediation, they could also find 1 more work if there's a leak or a spill into 2 our resources after it's all said and done. 3 Would both these -- I quess I'm 4 5 going to repeat this. Would both these companies quite possibly be hired in the event 6 7 of a rupture, spill, or leaks to assist 8 Enbridge if the pipeline were constructed? 9 It is absolutely unconscionable 10 that the MPCA and the DNR agencies, who 11 protect Minnesota's environment, are apparently pushed aside in the major 12 development of this document. 13 Instead, Enbridge, Cardinal, and 14 BAR are heavily involved in providing 15 information for this document; corporations 16 who come to the table with prospects of huge 17 18 financial gains. 19 Florence Hedeen, FACILITATOR: 20 Florence. 21 MS. FLORENCE HEDEEN: Florence, F-L-O-R-E-N-C-E, Hedeen, H-E-D-E-E-N. 22 23 The question always in my mind 24 is why this absolute necessity for this Line 3 to go in. 25 There are other alternatives to

energy that will not be developed in the way 1 that they could be as long as we assume that 2 the only energy source that we can exist with 3 is fossil fuels. 4 5 Those energies can be left in the ground, and we can be using energies that 6 7 come from the wind, come from the sun, come 8 from water power. 9 We are not dependent on energy from the ground unless we choose to live that 10 11 And I am just saying we can make other way. choices. 12 13 Thank you. 14 FACILITATOR: Thank you, 15 Florence. 16 Maurice Spangler. MR. MAURICE SPANGLER: 17 Maurice, 18 M-A-U-R-I-C-E, Spangler, S-P-A-N-G-L-E-R. 19 I've typed up comments that I'll turn in to the court reporter and just read 20 some excerpts, and I've tried to concentrate 21 on Chapter 10 of the DEIS. 22 This thing is 23 nearly 6,000 pages long, but I was looking at 24 Chapter 10. 25 There is a report by the

National Academy of Science that says, "It's 1 extremely important to mitigate tar sands oil 2 leaks within a few days before the weathered 3 diluted bituman sinks." 4 And I couldn't find anything 5 specific in the DEIS about how these spills 6 7 can be rapidly dealt with in the wintertime 8 when immediate access to the spill is 9 difficult and the oil is under ice and snow. Also, the DEIS report says that, 10 11 "Using the present Line 3 will require 267 integrity digs per year, 4,000 for the next 15 12 13 years, and then it will be much cheaper for Enbridge to monitor a brand new pipe in a new 14 15 location." But all new pipelines eventually 16 become old pipelines, and I couldn't find 17 18 anything about the estimated number of 19 integrity digs that will be needed on the applicant's preferred route as the decades go 20 by or if additional pipelines are added to the 21 corridor. 22 23 Next thing I was concerned about 24 are pinhole releases. 25 The DEIS reports that, "Pinhole

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

releases can remain undetected for long periods of time, eventually releasing volumes of oil that would constitute medium or large releases," but there's nothing mentioned as to how to prevent pinhole leaks or otherwise deal with them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

23

24

25

7 The other thing is a statistical issue that -- I'm not a statistician, but when 8 9 the DEIS compared the annual probability of pinhole leaks for the applicant's preferred 10 11 route and then compared it first on one table to SA-04, and then at another table to RA-08, 12 the numbers for the annual pinhole leak 13 probability is different from one table to the 14 15 next. And I don't understand that. 16 The other thing I was puzzled by, it seems like the annual estimates of 17 18 small, medium, large, and catastrophic spills 19 seem to imply that the risk remains the same over the life of the pipe. 20 21 And maybe I'm reading this 22 wrong, but certainly a new pipe with better

coatings should not leak right away. eventually, in 10, 20, 30 years, it's going to wear out.

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

But

The Second Law of Thermodynamics 1 is still operating and everything eventually 2 wears out. I would think the risk of leaking 3 would increase annually rather than be static. 4 And the final thing I'm 5 concerned about is it's estimated -- and I 6 7 looked at Bloomberg and Wood Mackenzie, that the demand for U.S. oil consumption may start 8 9 to go down after next year, and that worldwide consumption and demand may start going down in 10 11 another 10 years due to electric cars, a variety of things, clean energy. 12 13 And you know that Enbridge says they will diligently monitor the pipelines for 14 15 leaks, but if the demand for oil goes down and Enbridge finds that they're not making as much 16 money on oil transport, maybe they'll get into 17 18 other energy transports. 19 But if they're not making as much money on oil transport, wouldn't it be 20 21 logical for them to cut back on their expenses for monitoring pipelines? 22 23 This is something that concerns 24 I didn't see anything about it in the me. 25 report.

1 Thank you. FACILITATOR: Thank you, Maurice. 2 3 Richard Smith is next. MR. RICHARD SMITH: 4 Hi, 5 everybody. My name is Richard Smith, R-I-C-H-A-R-D, S-M-I-T-H. 6 7 Sometimes I can't even say my 8 last name properly, but I am president of 9 Friends of the Headwaters, and I would like to give you just a tiny bit of history here. 10 11 We formed in January of 2014, 12 because we were very concerned about these 13 pipeline projects that were proposed for our headwaters lake country. From the very 14 15 beginning, Friends of the Headwaters has advocated a robust, comprehensive environmental 16 impact study be done on a large scale 17 18 industrial project as this one is. 19 The State didn't agree with us in 20 the beginning. The reason that we can be at 21 this meeting right now is Friends of the Headwaters made a decision to take the State to 22 23 court in the fall of 2014. 24 Nine months later, our court case 25 got a unanimous victory by the Minnesota

Appellate Court in September of 2015, ordering the State to do an EIS. We put a brake on the whole process as it had been proceeding at that time.

1

2

3

4

Enbridge has complained during 5 this whole process that we have deliberately 6 7 tried to slow down their process. They need to assume some of the blame in that. 8 We won a 9 unanimous victory. They chose to appeal that court ruling to the Minnesota Supreme Court. 10 11 Three months later, the Minnesota Supreme Court said, "We stand by the Appellate Court ruling." 12

Therefore, the Public Utilities Commission basically was ordered by the courts to conduct an environmental impact study on this whole process. That's why we can stand here today and see what the State has done regarding this process.

19 Do we at Friends of the Headwaters think this is a perfect document? 20 I'll applaud their effort. 21 By no means. They've never done one before. 22 This is the 23 first ever environmental impact study on a 24 large diameter pipeline in the history of 25 Minnesota.

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 How many oil pipelines do we have going through this state? Why have we never 2 done that before? We are working very, very 3 hard, us folks at Friends of the Headwaters, 4 and with some experts that we use, to analyze 5 this report and to notify the State where we 6 7 think there are problems. There are problems. One thing

8 There are problems. One thing 9 that's important here is we need access to all 10 of the information that was used to put this 11 proposal together for us to analyze.

Enbridge is trying to predict some data that is critically important for the public to analyze and comment on this report. We all know the reason why we're here is we are very concerned about having tar sands pipelines going through our headwaters country.

We should be concerned. One thing that Friends of the Headwaters has done is we're not an anti pipeline group. We don't oppose a pipeline. We just oppose where they want to put it here.

We think that there's a better place, if -- and by the way, as time has gone by, that it gets larger and larger, whether or

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

not we really need this pipeline. 1 By the way, part of this EIS is 2 supposed to address the need, the overall need 3 for this pipeline; not the company's need, our 4 5 need, Minnesota's need for this pipeline. That is not being addressed in 6 7 this EIS properly. We intend to comment on 8 that. 9 The largest factor, though, and 25 percent of this EIS -- and it is a huge 10 11 document, 5,597 pages. The box -- I almost had 12 to hire a teenager to come pick up the box for 13 me when it came to my house. I had a grandmother -- one of my 14 15 grandmothers made the most amazing molasses 16 cookies you could ever imagine, and we would all ask for the recipe, and she'd give us the 17 18 recipe and we never could match that cookie. 19 She was hiding some little part of it. Why do I say that? 20 Because Enbridge is withholding a piece of information 21 that we need to do a proper analysis of the oil 22 23 spill data that's in this report. 24 Why are they protecting this? 25 They want to be friends of us in our community,

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

but they're withholding information. 1 I'm almost done. This is 2 bitumen, you add a little rock to it, you heat 3 it up, and you flatten it, it becomes asphalt. 4 This is what will be going through the primary 5 product that is going through the Line 3 6 7 pipeline. Obviously, to get this through a 8 9 pipe, you have to dilute it. What they use to dilute it is as toxic as the bitumen itself. 10 11 Why is Enbridge concerned about maybe withholding information from us and us 12 13 having access to it? This is the simple report. It was only 125 pages that the 14 15 National Academy of Sciences produced after Enbridge's infamous Kalamazoo River spill in 16 the summer of 2010. 17 18 The key takeaway from this report 19 by the National Academy of Science is don't put 20 diluted bitumen or Canadian heavy crude through 21 our water rich environment. Enbridge, you need to step up, 22 23 and you need to give us that information. 24 Thank you very much. 25 FACILITATOR: I've got Allen

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 Richardson next.

MR. ALLEN RICHARDSON: 2 My name 3 is Allen Richardson, A-L-L-E-N, R-I-C-H-A-R-D-S-O-N. 4 I'm doing a 5 I'm an organizer. little work with an organization called 6 7 Minnesotans for Pipeline Cleanup. We're just 8 primarily concerned with the issue of what 9 will become of the abandoned Line 3 pipeline. I want to talk to the workers in 10 the room, organized labor or unorganized labor, 11 12 as the case may be. Here's an anecdote for 13 I once organized a pro jobs rally in you. Duluth with the AFL/CIO, and we were making a 14 15 little noise advocating for changes in federal policy, and some people were driving by, people 16 who didn't like us apparently, and somebody 17 18 yelled out the window, "Get a job." 19 And I'm like, okay. You know, that struck me as a little weird. 20 Here's something else that strikes me a little weird. 21 Labor guys, you talk a lot about jobs, it's 22 23 practically the only thing that you talk about. 24 I'm all for the dignity of labor. 25 A couple of points here. I thought it was a

little weird last night in Grand Rapids when I was talking to some 49ers who had just got back from a press conference with Jobs for Minnesotans.

1

2

3

4

We were talking about this issue 5 of how many jobs could be had digging up the 6 7 old abandoned Line 3 pipeline. And we know 8 that there is some disagreement about the new 9 route and that whole question, but there is a ton of common ground to be had on this guestion 10 of abandoned pipelines. So I really want to 11 12 encourage you guys and your people you work with to think about this. 13

But the 49ers who had gotten back 14 15 from this press conference were under the 16 impression that Enbridge had agreed -- that Enbridge, by saying that they were going to 17 18 take care of it, take care of the abandoned Line 3 pipeline, that that meant that Enbridge 19 had agreed to dig up the abandoned Line 3 and 20 that those jobs would be provided to laborers 21 22 and pipeline workers.

23 My point is this: That is not 24 the case. You know, as much as we all want to 25 work together for job creation, I guess I'd be

surprised if you all allowed Enbridge just to 1 say, "No, we're just going to leave that in the 2 ground." Even though your communities stand to 3 benefit from the work, it's cheaper for them 4 5 just to leave it there. So the real point I'm trying to 6 7 make is if you really do want those jobs, 8 you're going to have to publicly, vocally, find 9 some solidarity with the landowners who are saying that they don't want to be stuck with 10 abandoned pipeline on their land. 11 And if you 12 do not do that, you are not likely to get those jobs. 13 And so I guess I don't understand why you wouldn't do that. 14 15 But if you need some help finding your courage to maybe confront Enbridge a 16 little bit on that point, some people from the 17 18 water protecter community would be happy to help you with that. 19 20 So that's it. But I'm serious when I say we really would like to work with 21 22 you, because you are -- we all agree that you 23 are the people who are qualified to do that 24 So let's work together to get that done. work. 25 Thank you for your time.

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 FACILITATOR: John Munter. MR. JOHN MUNTER: 2 John Munter, J-O-H-N, M-U-N-T-E-R. I'm from Warba. 3 Also with Minnesotans for Pipeline Cleanup, here. 4 There are huge discrepancies in 5 the DEIS, and we found one of them here with 6 7 our study of the distances between Line 3 and 8 Line 4. For example, in the DEIS, it says, 9 "Generally, the pipes are all 10, 15 feet apart." You see that sprinkled out throughout 10 11 the document in many places. Our study from Molina to Grand 12 Rapids, 14, 15 named roads we studied, so you 13 don't have to go on somebody's private 14 15 property to verify this with the reporter or 16 the DNR or something. We found the average distance was 30 feet from Line 3 to Line 4, 17 18 and also from Line 1 to 3 is actually 18 feet 19 or so. 20 So what I want to talk about now is something that really torqued me about I 21 found in the DEIS yesterday. This is from 22 23 chapter 4. "The majority of the economic analysis and other technical information for 24 25 the Certificate of Need decision will be

provided by the applicant, other parties, and 1 the public that are participating in the 2 contested case hearing like in November." 3 What? You're telling me we 4 don't have all the information in the DEIS 5 We have to wait after the public 6 now? 7 hearings, after we all give our input here, 8 and then they're going to come up with more 9 economic analysis and hire an economic expert that will tell us at some point -- who's maybe 10 11 got his degree studying updated economics 15 years ago, who works for the IEA or something, 12 13 who have been wrong on the projection of renewables in our economy for many years, and 14 15 who may be able to get his next job with the oil companies or something, who will tell us, 16 "Oh, okay" -- after we've all given our global 17 18 warming inputs or something, he'll tell us, 19 "That doesn't matter at all, because oil is going to be \$80 a barrel by 2020, and it's 20 going to keep rising until 2040, so therefore, 21 looking into my crystal ball, I predict we're 22 23 going to need this oil and therefore, there's 24 a need."

Well, that's just ridiculous.

25

Oil is not going to be \$80 a barrel by 2020, 1 or ever again, ever. There's many reasons for 2 that. One is, if you check Art Berman's 3 column, who's the guru of oil projections, he 4 5 says the world economy is too poor for \$80 a barrel oil. You can't run on that. 6 7 I mean, we had the economic 8 collapse in 2008. We had here in this 9 country, we have China who hit a bubble that 10 collapsed the economy. 11 They make too much stuff. We can't buy all their stuff, and so it's a poor 12 world economy, and he's convinced that -- even 13 a \$50 barrel of oil, he says historically is 14 too high, really, for oil, and \$80 a barrel is 15 not going to be working. 16 Secondly, there's the argument 17 18 from many astute commentators that say that 19 we're going to be in a declining world oil demand in the 2020s. Michael Hsueh, from 20 Deutsche Bank, for example -- H-S-U-E-H --21 predicts that we'll begin dropping in about 22 23 2024, the world demand. 24 The rating agency Flitch, for 25 example, suggests, "Plummeting cost of EB

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 batteries could put the oil industry into a death spiral." 2 The World Energy Council has 3 chimed in, saying, "World peak demand could 4 arrive in 2030, rather than 2040, with rapid 5 technological advances." 6 7 Michael Liebreich of Bloomberg's 8 New Energy Finance estimates the oil demand 9 crash could come as early as 2023 to 2028, if current trends don't soften. 10 Even Shell's chief financial 11 officer, Simon Henry, is quoted as saying the 12 peak oil demand could rise somewhere between 13 five and fifteen years hence. 14 15 The nearest data of peak world oil demand comes from carbon tracker and the 16 Grantham Institute in a report published in 17 18 February of 2017, which concludes it will arrive in 2020, and then plateau out during 19 the 2020s. 20 So that means we have Saudi oil. 21 22 It's really going to be enough for the world 23 at a low price, at 21 percent less carbon 24 intensive nature. And we live in a world 25 market, so there's no reason why we can't use

Saudi oil for quite a while. 1 Why are these people saying 2 3 Because we're going to the electric this? vehicle market. 4 India, for example, has a 5 government program to be 100 percent EV by 6 7 2030, India. They've got three of their 8 leading car companies, they're all going in, 9 you make this part, you make that part, we'll all make this part, right. 10 So we got a plan 11 in a government program. We have China who spent 12 \$13 billion, for example, in jump starting 13 their EV industry, and they don't want all the 14 15 air pollution, they don't want to import oil, so they're going for that. 16 And Europe is -- Europe as well 17 18 is fast going to electric vehicles. 19 Now, there are other uses for oil, they'll make the argument. 20 Well, you 21 look at Virgin Atlantic, for example, it's using fuel from steel plants. 22 They've made a 23 lot of fuel, and they're trying it out this 24 They think it will decrease the carbon year. 25 emission to 65 percent if they do that.

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

So we need to look for the 1 Maybe make estimates on how much it 2 future. would take to import more oil from the Saudis 3 into Pad 2 rather than just how much we do 4 5 currently import there for one projection. Thank you. 6 7 FACILITATOR: Thank you, John. 8 We have Frank Orton next. 9 MR. FRANK ORTON: My name is Frank Orton, F-R-A-N-K, O-R-T-O-N. 10 I'm also 11 part of the Minnesota Petroleum Market 12 Association, as well as operate convenience stores in Northwestern Minnesota. 13 To not go into a long rendition 14 15 about the economic impact of cheaper gasoline to both the consumer as well as freight people 16 that haul our food and the like, to limit to 17 the economic impact study in front of us, I 18 19 guess some things that I see, or my observations are, we presently have a pipeline 20 that's hauling crude out of North Dakota in 21 the oil sands Line 3. There have been studies 22 23 as to continuation of that product and that 24 pipeline with no changes. 25

Other routes, routes -- the

current routes supplemented with truck and 1 rail, and if you reference page 17, short of 2 having no crude come through at all, it's 3 either going to come through via pipeline, via 4 5 truck, or via rail. And depending on how much we bring out, if the current pipeline can't 6 7 handle it, it would be supplemented by truck 8 and rail. 9 So if you reference page 17, "Existing pipeline supplemented by rail and 10 truck," seems to be the least palatable 11

solution from an environmental impact study standpoint.

12

13

22

23

Likewise, if you were to turn to 14 15 page 22, and again, considering alternatives, I think that's here what the environmental impact 16 study is to figure out today is what is the 17 18 best solution in alternative, if there is one. 19 Continue what we're doing today, supplemented by rail and truck, does not seem 20 very palatable. As the gentleman next to me 21

alluded to, pipelines get older as they're used.

24The one we're using today is old25and probably needs some repair. So if you

Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

reference back to 17, it appears to me that, at least based on this study, the preferred route is the most palatable and least impact on the environment.

1

2

3

4

5 Unfortunately, there is no way to 6 have zero impact on the environment, no matter 7 which way you choose, whether it's rail, truck, 8 pipeline. And short of some new technology 9 that doesn't exist today, I don't know that 10 we're going to be crude oil energy independent 11 in the very near term future.

12 So with that in mind, with that 13 said, we are supportive of the pipeline to make 14 sure that we do get the crude to market so that 15 we do have competitively priced products, not 16 only for petroleum marketers, but also for the 17 consumers and the other industries as it 18 relates to freight transportation.

 19
 Obviously, as you saw the foreign

 20
 corridor crude and diesel price, that impacts a

 21
 lot of things besides just what we see at the

 22
 pump.

 23
 EACTLITATOR: Thank you Frank

25	FACILITATION: INAIR you, Flaik.
24	I have Mary Adams next, Mary.
25	MS. MARY ADAMS: Hi. Mary,

M-A-R-Y, Adams, A-D-A-M-S. 1 I'm a retired school 2 And I'll tell you, when the 3 administrator. paper copy arrived in Park Rapids on Tuesday 4 5 of 6,500 pages in our library, some of us scurried over there to read that. And I know 6 7 about reading a lot of stuff, but I found it 8 daunting, to say the least. 9 My comments are thus, in Volume I, what is the need for this project anyway? 10 11 It had been mentioned earlier today. It had mentioned the need for the project would not 12 13 be addressed in the DEIS. But you know, it's kind of like putting the cart before the 14 15 You put the pipeline before you have horse. determined a need for the pipeline, and 16 worldwide oil use is down. In Minnesota it's 17 18 really down, the prices are down. 19 So as a retired principal, I'm going to ask you to do your homework. 20 I have a few questions. Please describe in detail 21 how you, meaning Enbridge, can justify further 22 23 extraction of fossil fuels, when the need is 24 not proven to be there. 25 Also, in Volume I, Chapter 5, I

read about the greenhouse gas emissions, the heavy western Canadian sedimentary basin, which is the tar sands coming in from Canada. We cut down a lot of trees that were mentioned in this DEIS. Trees are there for the removal of carbon sequestration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

What are the social costs of plowing through this lake country, removing the trees, bringing in this tar sands oil that is diluted with filthy chemicals and made in order to make it move through the pipes.

So I have a question for you -continued homework. I'd like to know in detail how you intend to restrict carbon emissions. In the DEIS you mentioned that you are working on renewable and alternate energy projects. That's not good enough for me.

18 Volume I, Chapter 5, I noticed in the DEIS, you mention the number of acres 19 in Aitkin County and Cass County, and where's 20 Hubbard County in this regard? Why didn't you 21 mention the Itasca Park, the first park ever 22 23 established in our state, that tourists come 24 and we frequent a lot during the summer, fall, 25 winter, and spring.

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 Also the trout streams, I was sitting over there with a friend. 2 He's looking through the maps of the trout streams. 3 He said, "Well, look at this, Mary." 4 I said, 5 "What do you want me to look at, Tony?" He said, "It says unnamed streams." 6 Do you know that that unnamed stream was going through 7 this marvelous wetland, the Straight River, 8 9 the primary brown trout river that's coming through Park Rapids area. 10 11 So my question is, what drilling, what drilling fluids are you using 12 if you have to cross under the Straight River? 13

It's harmful to wildlife. It damages the 14 15 vegetation. When you're driving under those streams in the river bed, what are you putting 16 Some of us would like to know. 17 in there? 18 Volume 3, "Accidental Crude Oil Releases." Ouestion, to what extent would the 19 EPA, the Army Corp of Engineers, DNR, and the 20 21 PECA be involved in the permitting process? When I read through the DEIS, it 22 23 says, "Oh, we'll get a permit from DNR, and

then we'll get another permit from DNR; DNR, DNR, DNR. I'd like to know the extent that

24

25

you're going to rely on all of these 1 organizations to prove what you're doing. 2 I have another question -- I'm 3 almost finished -- are the standards that are 4 5 set by the Federal Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Administration for the extraction of 6 7 fossil fuels and building -- for the building 8 and maintenance of oil pipelines, is that 9 adequate? Why; why do you think it's 10 adequate? Why not? 11 We have a lot of wonderful 12 pristine water up in this country. It's not compromised water. What research and 13 preparedness is made to respond soundly when a 14 15 tar sands spill occurs? How will our aquifers 16 be protected? The MPCA said, quote, 17 18 "Difficulty of responding to remote spills due to swamp land inaccessibility of the preferred 19 route." 20 21 How crucial is that finding? 22 Why is that so important? 23 And lastly, the Yellowstone 24 River in Montana a couple of years ago, there 25 were 40,000 gallons spilt into the

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

Yellowstone, but the cleanup wasn't hampered 1 by winter weather conditions. That stuff 2 sinks to the bottom. 3 So I'm asking Enbridge what's 4 5 the key takeaway from the spill in Montana considering the uneven terrain and the winter 6 7 conditions? 8 So I'm finished. I have 4,000 9 more pages to go through. Join me. Get a comment in to DOC by July 10th. 10 Please, 11 please, please help us. 12 Thank you so much. 13 FACILITATOR: Thank you, Mary. I have Charlie Burns. Charlie's 14 15 in the back, so Eric can bring him the mic. MR. CHARLIE BURNS: 16 Charlie Burns, B-U-R-N-S, last name. 17 18 Now, you people add to oil. 19 You're sitting on it. Every chair, every bench in this room is made out of oil. 20 You depend on it, and don't even know it. 21 That's what I find. I've lived in this area since 22 23 1946. The first pipeline, April 15, 1954, 24 went down through here, I worked on it, almost 25 to St. Paul. But living in Eagan, got to be a

Was it quality controlled then? 1 hassle. They didn't know what the word meant. 2 3 When they wrapped that line, they hauled it out raw, dropped it in the 4 ground -- or on the ground -- and then they 5 scraped it off because there was dirt on it, 6 7 wrapped it on the job. 8 When they crossed the mighty 9 Mississippi, right now, there's 300,000 barrels per month going under your 10 71 twice -- Highway 71 twice, Highway 11 feet. 34, and across the Mississippi. 12 Three pipelines, they're virtually in my backyard. 13 The first one, they slowed it down a little 14 15 bit, because I talked to the Koch people, and they don't know what they're going to do, but 16 eventually, they say they'll probably 17 18 eliminate it. 19 The other two, they don't even 20 With an intelligent pig, is what touch them. 21 they call them, they got a machine that goes through that pipe and x-rays it from the 22 23 inside out. And that's how they know what the 24 conditions are. 25 When they used to work on that,

they had an ugly old drag line -- they did not 1 have backhoes with surgical gloves to pick it 2 That didn't exist. And everything about 3 up. the first one that went through to now, 4 5 there's no comparison of quality control. It doesn't exist. 6 7 And yet, people say, "Well, the quality control, environmental issues." Well, 8 9 environmental issues, I've worked construction all my life, doesn't happen until it happens, 10 and then you correct it. 11 But most of the time they could 12 1954, they didn't see nothing, 13 see ahead. they just dug a trench and dropped it, and 14 15 it's still pumping oil. Call Koch refinery in St. Paul and they'll tell you how much oil is 16 pumping. Because I called them this winter. 17 18 And the other two, now, one 19 person mentioned about better keep the pipelines in one area, and I think that's a 20 21 good idea. Don't have them going east and 22 west and north and all over the place, because 23 then you got them scattered all over. 24 Now, why do we want to keep the 25 pipelines running north to south? Specific

gravity has a lot to do with the pressure. 1 People don't even know what that means. 2 There are a few of you that do, but that helps on 3 pushing that oil. 4 5 And right now, that oil is helped by that factor. And those three 6 7 pipelines are a thousand feet behind my house. Been there, the first one, since '54. 8 I don't 9 even know it's there. The other two is '07 or '11, something in there. 10 They're virtually up 11 The other one is up to date, but the to date. 12 way it was put up to date -- so I don't know 13 what the problem is. Oil for now is not for me. 14 Τ 15 got grandkids and going to have great Some day they're going to need 16 grandkids. this oil, and if you don't need it, if you got 17 18 it, it's there to use. You don't have to 19 rustle up oil, goes a hundred dollars a 20 barrel. 21 Right now, when you go home 22 today wherever, you're going to cross a 23 pipeline and not even know it, and there's 24 going to be thousands of barrels per month 25 going under your feet. And that is something

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 that's got to be expected. You don't want rail hauling pipe -- or oil, not in this 2 country, because 30 below to 100 above, rail 3 cannot handle that. 4 There's no end to it. 5 But I don't think that these pipelines, the first 6 7 one, 1954, Koch is up there, or engineers, 8 they're always checking it out, because they 9 got the intelligent and the electronic systems to do so, and they keep right on it. 10 And 11 there's never been a spill, that I know, from Clearbrook to St. Paul. 12 13 So I don't know what the big hassle is. Then they talk about it upsets the 14 15 wildlife. 1954, when the first pipeline went through this guy's 80 acres, he had to stand 16 guard because the deer were just in there like 17 18 sheep and hunters were just drooling over 19 that, because they planted it in alfalfa and clover, and that was a deer paradise. 20 21 And now it's a different owner. 22 He still keeps it mowed and planted, and 23 that's where he hunts his deer, stands right a 24 hundred yards from his cabin and shoots down 25 the pipeline. Deer cross it and feed on it

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

all the time. 1 So it is not an issue of 2 environmental. It's an issue of who's getting 3 the most money. That's the way I see it. 4 5 Now, I see people that have --FACILITATOR: Charlie, it's been 6 7 about five minutes. 8 MR. CHARLIE BURNS: This piece 9 of paper, if that paper is not stamped by a certified engineering firm or signed off by 10 somebody in the know, it's just an Internet 11 12 piece of paper. That's all it amounts to. 13 Thank you. 14 FACILITATOR: Thank you, Charlie. 15 So we've got about ten folks 16 left on the cards, and about 50 minutes, so it works out, I think, just right for the math to 17 18 try to finish on time, so if people can keep 19 it to five. And I've got Phil Cline next, so 20 21 Phil's right here. 22 MR. PHIL CLINE: Thank you very 23 64 years -- first of all, P-H-I-L, much. 24 C-L-I-N-E. 25 I'm enjoying my 64th year on the

north shore of my beloved Fishhook Lake. 1 Not There were a couple of years when 2 quite true. 3 the Army was stuffy about it, and they wouldn't let me off. 4 5 But my sense is we've not paid enough attention, nor have the documentation 6 7 to date to Enbridge's spill ratio, which my 8 understanding is a significant one, 9 significantly higher than the industry norm. 10 Thank you. 11 FACILITATOR: Thank you, Phil. I've got Neil Jordheim, Neil. 12 13 And the name and spelling, and you'll probably be correcting my pronunciation as well. 14 15 MR. NEIL JORDHEIM: My name is 16 Neil Jordheim, N-E-I-L, J-O-R-D-H-E-I-M. I live in a condominium over by 17 18 Rocky's Pizza, so I could walk over here today, except it was raining. And I am a summer 19 20 resident here, not a year-round resident. I live in Fargo, and I live in a 21 22 condominium in Fargo, and there's a pipeline 23 that runs probably about a hundred yards behind 24 And like the speaker over here, it's my house. 25 never caused me any concern or anybody else.

But I'm not here to talk about 1 whether or not we should have a pipeline. 2 I'm here to talk about where is the proposed 3 pipeline going to go? 4 First of all, I probably should 5 tell you I'm the president of the Fishhook Lake 6 7 and River Association, so I'm a little biased in what I'm doing, because the water that's 8 9 flowing by me is flowing by all of the members of our association as well. 10 11 This Enbridge Line 3, they're calling it a replacement line. I can't believe 12 that we can call it that because it's got twice 13 the capacity of the old pipeline. 14 It has a totally new route, and if we were doing a 15 replacement pipeline, we would only be talking 16 about taking out the old line and putting in a 17 18 new line in its place. 19 This is not a replacement line. 20 Now, the quality of the oil that 21 is coming through that pipe, or will be coming through that pipe, was already demonstrated 22 23 earlier, much more graphically than what I can 24 state. 25 But the tar sands that are coming

out of Canada are very caustic. 1 It's an uqly product, and they're going to be putting it 2 through this pipeline at extremely high 3 pressure, so if there is a leak, even a pinhole 4 5 leak, it's going to be a significant damage. Like I said, I'm from North 6 7 Dakota, and -- Tioga, North Dakota, which is hundreds of miles from my house had an oil 8 9 pipeline leak in a cornfield several years ago. It went totally undetected. 10 Nobody really knows for how long, but it was identified by 11 somebody that was flying by with their airplane 12 13 and they spotted it in the middle of that cornfield. 14 15 Now, they were able to go out 16 there, stop the leak, and in a cornfield, if you've got oil in a cornfield or if you've got 17 18 oil in a gas station that leaks, you can go out 19 there and you can take that soil, lay it out in the sun, let the petroleum evaporate, roll it 20 over with a maintainer, let it evaporate, keep 21 22 on doing that, pretty soon you can put that 23 soil back in the same place again and you're 24 done. 25

But if you put oil into our

wetlands north of here, how are they ever going 1 to get it out? And in the wintertime, or even 2 in the summer, how are they ever going to find 3 exactly where that is leaking? 4 That's my 5 concern. We live in a place where we have 6 some of the highest quality lakes, rivers, 7 wetlands and forests in the nation. 8 9 We have a fabulous ecosystem. Ι do a little bit of bird watching. 10 I've been 11 out in some of these areas. People are 12 flocking here from all over the world to see what we have, and we're putting it at risk just 13 because of poor route selection. 14 15 The route crosses some of our cleanest Minnesota lakes and rivers. 16 The route crosses an area with high susceptibility for 17 18 groundwater contamination. 19 We're already dealing with groundwater contamination here in Park Rapids 20 because of farming practices. And we know what 21 that means when the good well has to be turned 22 23 off, the bad well turns back on; all of a 24 sudden, you can see it in your plumbing. You

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

You can see it.

can taste it in your water.

25

We have the wild rice lakes, and 1 half of the wild rice that is harvested in this 2 country is coming from the very area that 3 they're talking about going through. 4 5 We've got critical wetlands for our waterfall and wildlife. Look at the 6 7 economic impact of all of the hunting and 8 fishing that goes on here. We've got eight 9 state forests with Minnesota's biggest tourist attractions and the headwaters. 10 11 We've got friends that are coming 12 to see us in a couple of weeks, and they've been to 48 of the 50 states, Minnesota and 13 North Dakota the last two, and we're going to 14 15 make sure that they see them. My wife said, "Where should we take them?" 16 And I said, "We're going to take them to the headwaters." 17 18 Everybody knows about the 19 Mississippi River. That is a beautiful spot. 20 I would hate to see it polluted. When we look at all of the 21 different things that we have here, and we look 22 23 at the fact that there's already an established 24 pipeline corridor running across the state of 25 North Dakota and the state of Minnesota, I

think that their best alternative is to pull 1 out Line 3, not leave it behind for the next 2 generation to have to clean up. Pull out Line 3 3, put in a new Line 3 in the same place and be 4 5 done with it. Thank you. 6 7 FACILITATOR: Thank you, Neil. 8 Fred Nordstrom. 9 MR. FRED NORDSTROM: My name is Fred, F-R-E-D, Nordstrom, N-O-R-D-S-T-R-O-M. 10 You know, Neil said a lot of 11 wonderful things right now, which made me kind 12 of rethink what I was going to say to you, but 13 my background, I'd like to share. 14 15 Fortunately, I work for a large company and 16 had the opportunity to make investments. And when you make investments, 17 18 you have to consider several things. You have 19 to consider what we call the P&L, a profit and 20 You had to consider something else that loss. the gentleman in the red brought up, risk, 21 R-I-S-K, risk. And so when I made these 22 23 recommendations to the -- my board of 24 directors, there are those things that I 25 looked at.

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

Now, as I look here in this 1 wonderful area of northwest Minnesota, what 2 about the P&L? Well, for the businesses here, 3 they're obviously doing quite well, thanks to 4 what Neil just talked about, and all of us 5 visitors who have come here -- I'm a 6 7 transplant from Iowa, for example. These P&Ls should also be considered, in my opinion, by 8 9 the Public Utilities Commission. Now, what do I mean by that? 10 11 Well, let's say you are the Commission, and you think about, what exists in northwest 12 Minnesota? Why is this guy Nordstrom from 13 Iowa coming up here, for God's sake? 14 Could 15 there be some profit in doing this? Yes, 16 there certainly is. I know in talking to the Chamber 17 18 of Commerce last year, that they didn't 19 appreciate my comments at all, because they said, "Look what's going to happen to our P&L 20 21 when we put this pipeline in." Yes, sure, they're going to hire a few more people and 22 23 they're going to have more business as a 24 consequence. 25 But what are the risks in this

investment? Well, I got to thinking about 1 that, and I finally decided, I guess I'll go 2 to Google, and I'll ask them what's the risk? 3 What about this company, Enbridge, and what is 4 5 their experience? Have they risked any areas? And I suppose you know that 6 7 already in February of this year, 2017, they've had a couple of spills. One in Blue 8 9 Ridge, Texas. I didn't look on the map where that is -- 600,000 gallons of oil in February 10 11 of this year. They also had another spill in 12 Alberta, Canada, but Google didn't tell me 13 much about that one. And I thought, oh, risk. 14 15 If you were on the Public Utilities Commission 16 and you're thinking about that, is there a possible risk there to what Neil described as 17 18 to what we enjoy in this beautiful area? 19 Then I looked further in Google. 20 I couldn't believe this. Between 1999 and 21 2010, were there 100 spills? Were there 300 spills? Were there 500 spills? 22 There were 23 804 spills in 11 years. 24 Now, sure, some of you who work 25 on pipelines say, "Well, we're doing a lot

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 better now. We know how to weld," blah, blah, blah, and I understand that. 2 3 However, I also understand what someone just said about more pressure that 4 5 goes through this line, and the more gallons that are going through it and things that --6 7 specific gravity and things that I don't 8 really understand. 9 But in 11 years Enbridge, just Enbridge, they spilled 161,475 barrels. 10 11 So you're on the Public Utilities Commission, and I'm saying, boy, we 12 13 got to build this pipeline, and then you look at the risk and the history, and I think of 14 the profit and loss that you now have in 15 16 northwest Minnesota, and then you, the board of directors, the Public Utilities Commission, 17 18 do I want to take this risk that presents 19 itself with the recommendation for their 20 pipeline? 21 Oh sure, they should have a 22 pipeline. I'm not against that, but maybe 23 they should put it in an area where there's a 24 little less risk for this P&L. 25 So I guess I close my comments

1 by saying, do they know what the investment is up here in northwest Minnesota, and what could 2 happen to that investment, and what might be 3 the result? 4 5 Oh, I forgot to tell you, I never heard of Romeoville, Illinois, but in 6 7 2010, there were over 6,000 barrels spilled, 8 and I imagine you all read about in 2010. 9 Also, Kalamazoo, there was a suit and Enbridge paid \$177 million. 10 11 With that history, are you going 12 to approve, you on the Public Utility 13 Commission, the present plan for a pipeline through this wonderful area of northwest 14 15 Minnesota? I thank you. 16 FACILITATOR: John Weber. 17 18 MR. JOHN WEBER: John, J-O-H-N, 19 Weber, W-E-B-E-R. Recognizing that of the 20 three-hour time block set aside for this 21 meeting, only a few minutes will actually be 22 23 available for comments on the DEIS, I will 24 make only a few comments today. 25 Though issued on May 15, 2017, a

paper copy of the DEIS did not arrive at the 1 Park Rapids Library until Tuesday, May 30, 2 just a week before today's meeting. 3 No one today can deny that it is 4 5 not a massive document. In fact, a librarian was quite happy to let me lift it out of its 6 7 shipping carton. 8 However, even as massive as the 9 paper copy was that I lifted, I understand that 1,100 pages of oil spill analysis was not 10 11 printed, nor at least another 300 pages. Ι 12 ask why not? 13 Figure 3-1 on page 3-2, contains a timeline for the Line 3 process. 14 I question 15 how a meaningful analysis, and emphasize meaningful analysis, and appropriate, 16 emphasizing appropriate, response to 17 18 questions, issues, et cetera, raised during the comment period that ends July 10 can be 19 addressed and incorporated in the final EIS 20 slated to be issued a near month later on 21 22 August 10th. How can this be accomplished? 23 In closing, I'll add that I will 24 be spending more time reading the DEIS --25 certainly not light summer reading -- at the

library and mailing my written comments to 1 meet hasty July 10 deadline. 2 3 I do ask if the unprinted paper copy pages will be provided to the Park Rapids 4 and other libraries on a timely basis. 5 Thank you. 6 7 FACILITATOR: Thank you, John. 8 Raymond Peterson, Raymond. 9 We'll come back to Raymond. I've got Jami Gaither. 10 11 MS. JAMI GAITHER: Hi. My name is Jami Gaither, G-A-I-T-H-E-R, first name, 12 13 J-A-M-I. I'm a three-year now resident of 14 Minnesota. We moved here primarily because 15 you have clean water. We looked at Arizona. 16 The water war started 15 years ago down there. We have water here, and we need to take care 17 18 of it. 19 We live about a mile north on 20 County 2 of where the Line 3 runs now, and 21 found out recently -- very, very glad -- that we installed cisterns for water catchment 22 23 instead of drilling a well, because it looks 24 like RA-05 could go literally through our 25 backyard.

1 We've not been contacted by Enbridge in any way up until about a week ago. 2 We had guys coming on to our property thinking 3 they have a right-of-way. 4 5 I explained to them, "People up here don't like you coming on our property 6 7 without notice. And some of them shoot first 8 and ask questions later, so you guys should be 9 real careful about this." I really can't say enough about 10 11 the fact that this DEIS is almost a -- an insult is a good word. 12 I read some of this 13 stuff last night about -- when you read it, you think, wow, really? And there's so many things 14 15 to focus on, but I'll stick to -- I'll stick to one that I thought was particularly 16 interesting. 17 18 I lived in Alexandria right off 19 I-94 for a very short period, and it says here that the company considered an alternate route 20 21 of the pipeline going down I-94 as too dangerous because of the possibility of pipe 22 23 failure and crude oil flooding the highway, 24 causing accidents in its routing through 25 populated areas.

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 What about the population up here? You know, I went yesterday to the 2 meeting in Rice Lake, and I felt like I had to 3 come today, because I was there as a reporter 4 yesterday and I couldn't stand up and speak. 5 And today I felt I really needed to speak. 6 7 On the way home from that meeting 8 last night, my husband and I were driving down 9 County 2, and a couple of Sandhill cranes walked right across the road in front of us. 10 11 We saw them in time to stop. And the male is in front, the 12 female behind, they got across the road, and we 13 thought, oh, great, we can go. 14 Well, 15 immediately the female ran back across the road, and there was a baby coming behind, and 16 the baby needed to get across the road, too. 17 18 The father came at our car, 19 flapping wings, ducking his head, looking as 20 big as he could. And even after we let them 21 pass, we stopped and watched. And I filmed it. It was so beautiful. 22 23 And I think about the fact that 24 this is where a lot of our migratory birds are born. 25 If we ruin these waters, we're not going

to be able to enjoy the Sandhill cranes. 1 There's a great migratory pass down at Jasper 2 Pulaski State Park where thousands of these 3 birds come, and it's a beautiful thing. 4 5 If we screw up and take money and oil over water -- you know, I get the jobs. 6 7 Let's put the jobs into removing the old stuff, 8 cleaning up the mess that we're leaving our 9 kids. I'm old enough. 10 I don't need all 11 this oil, all these new pipelines. And really, how much of it is there left? 12 You know, Al, I get your point. 13 We all are oil dependent. We all needs tons of 14 15 it. We use it every day. I drove down here in 16 my little smart car, but yeah, I used oil to get down here. I thought about it. 17 I really 18 thought about whether I needed to come down and 19 make comments, because that's important to me. And I'm doing other stuff down 20 21 here in PR because I consolidate trips to save 22 oil. 23 We've got to be thinking about 24 this stuff, people. Do you want a job, or do 25 you want to be able to drink water, cook food,

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 brush your teeth, take a shower? What's more important? 2 There are alternatives and 3 there's only so much fossil fuel left. 4 Realistically, 50 to 100 hundred years from 5 now, Al, we ain't going to have oil left. Why 6 7 do you think we're pulling tar sands out? Do 8 you know how hard that stuff is to get? 25 to 9 1 ratio for oil efficiency. Tar sands is like, what, 1 to 3?10 11 We put more energy into the ground to get this stuff out of the ground than 12 13 we practically get from it when we use it. 14 In the meanwhile, we're 15 destroying our planet. We're destroying our wildlife, our waters, our land, and people are 16 dying. Yesterday I asked a question -- I'll 17 18 finish with this. I don't know what my time 19 is, because I can't see the clock. 20 I asked on the tribal resources, you know, are we giving more precedence to the 21 22 tribal resources over maybe some of the 23 long-haired back stuff on the -- the 24 environmentalist is like, "Oh, no." 25 And I was flabbergasted. Really?

People, human beings, the very people who gave 1 up their land in treaties to us so we actually 2 have a place to put a pipeline. We don't value 3 their lives more than we value animals? 4 5 Yeah, I'm a animal lover. I told you my Sandhill crane story. But I think we 6 7 need to be thinking about where these pipelines 8 are right now and how many lives they're 9 already destroying before we start destroying 10 more. 11 Thank you. 12 FACILITATOR: Thank you, Jami. 13 Next I've got Winona LaDuke. MS. WINONA LADUKE: 14 15 (indiscernible). My name is Winona LaDuke, W-I-N-O-N-A, L-A-D-U-K-E. 16 You know what, I just want to 17 start by saying I'm really proud of the people 18 from our territory for coming out. 19 It takes a lot of courage to stand up to big corporations 20 and to say it's not right what they want to 21 22 do. 23 And you know, even discussing 24 this whole process, I think it is a really 25 fair criticism to say that we are operating

under the guidelines and the timetables set by 1 a corporation, and I don't think that's fair 2 I don't think it's fair to drop an EIS 3 to us. that's so long on people and ask them to 4 5 comment a week later. We're the people who live here, 6 7 all of us. And we're the people who know these lakes and we value this land and this 8 9 water, and I think it's an unfair process. And I think there's a lot of 10 11 things missing in the DEIS that we're talking about here, and I think the process should be 12 extended significantly to address those 13 issues, because rushing through is not fair. 14 15 It's too significant of a 16 decision. People have spoken really well. Ι was really heartened to hear what everybody 17 18 said, and I understand a lot of these pieces. 19 I was asked by someone yesterday to explain -- I'm an economist by training. 20 Ι 21 went to Harvard. I direct a national organization. But I live here. This is where 22 23 I live, and this is the land that my ancestors 24 are from. This is the land all my children 25 and descendents will be from, just like all of

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

you.

1

So the first thing that I have 2 to ask is why we don't matter? Why you could 3 do a full DEIS and say tribal people are 4 already in tough shape, you're dying at 44 5 years of age. I'm one of the few grandmothers 6 7 around. I'm 57. 8 I'm the same age as a lot of 9 you, but we're dying, we're under duress, we aren't eating right, we're stressed out, we've 10 11 got every disease you don't want to have. It's very clear this pipeline 12 runs through the heart of Anishinaabe 13 territory. The DEIS says that we are the most 14 15 impacted. It says that this is where all the 16 rice lakes are, and that's the heart of our There's no rice anywhere else in the 17 people. 18 world, and we have no place else to go. 19 What I don't understand is that 20 although the DEIS concludes that the 21 disproportionate and adverse impacts would occur on American Indian populations, it is 22 23 not a reason to deny the permit. 24 So I really don't get why we 25 don't count.

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

You know, I feel like, don't question my patriotism. We just want good decisions to be made, and we feel that we should be fairly treated, and this is not fair. And the rest of the people who

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

live here, you're going to be treated just like Indians in this one. We're all in the same place. This is where we live.

The second thing I want to talk 10 11 about, you know, just spoken to last night. This is a feather from Lake Athabasca, in the 12 middle of the tar sands. It's from an eagle. 13 It was given to me by the people up there 14 15 because they understand that what we are doing 16 here affects them. They are already dying from tar sands mines. They're dying. 17 18 Those people didn't ask for

19 that. They're Cree people that are just 20 trying to live the same life as their 21 ancestors, and they're victims of the tar 22 sands industry. They're poisoned all around 23 them.

24It's not just the people that25are dying. It's all of the animals that are

dying up there and all of the birds that are 1 It's a death zone. It's a national 2 dying. sacrifice area. 3 For the life of me, I can't see 4 5 why we are trying to justify that and to make sure to figure out how to get that oil down 6 7 here. 8 You know, as an economist, what 9 I'm going to tell you -- and a lot of people in here know this -- is that the writing is on 10 the wall that it's the end of tar sands time. 11 We're in extreme extraction. 12 13 That's when you get to the bottom of the barrel and you keep scraping, rather than 14 15 trying to figure out the solution. And the bottom of the barrel is 16 the tar sands, and the bottom of the barrel 17 18 was the fracking proposal that we all defeated 19 last time. You know, when you blow up the bedrock of Mother Earth, put 602 chemicals in 20 there and pretend it's going to work out for 21 22 you all. It's not going to work out for 23 anybody. 24 Up there, those people, it is 25 not included in the DEIS the destruction of

people, the destruction of ecosystems in the 1 tar sands area. It is super destructive oil, 2 and those people also have a right to live. 3 And so when you talk about the 4 5 cumulative impact of the pipeline, everybody in this room knows that it is not from one 6 7 border of Minnesota to the other border. It 8 comes from someplace that they are turning 9 into hell, and it goes to someplace that they are turning into hell. 10 11 The last round of hearings, we brought in people from Detroit tar sands 12 13 communities who are dying, black community right next to the Marathon refinery. 14 They are 15 dying. 16 So what I want to understand is, 17 you know, people here talked about the 18 cumulative impact. We already got widespread 19 agricultural contamination. Our water is already in danger. 20 21 I also want to say, as an 22 economist, I don't understand, and I think a 23 lot of people here don't understand, is why 24 the no-build option is not considered in this 25 proposal. It's super dismissive.

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 And the reason that you would have a no-build option is, one, because of the 2 economics of it. The fact is that, you know, 3 tar sands capacity is projected to diminish, 4 5 and they are projecting to overbuild pipelines, between Trump and Trudeau, by a 6 7 factor of 2.4 million barrels a day. They've 8 approved more permits than there are a need 9 for pipelines. So the no-build option would be 10 leave that guy, start cleaning it up. 11 Clean up the abandoned pipeline. You know, they 12 13 already got that pipeline through them, the Alberta Clipper. They could use that one for 14 15 It's a new line. now. So I just want to say we really 16 feel that the no-build option should be 17 18 rigorously reviewed. The cumulative impact should be expanded to both ends. 19 There is no way to justify the 20 destruction of our people. There is no way to 21 22 justify that. 23 And finally, as I look to the 24 future, the stranded asset that this is going 25 to be a liability for our communities, when

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

they bring five more lines down here, or 1 whatever they're going to do, and then they 2 abandon them. That's a huge economic disaster 3 for all of us. 4 5 I just want to thank everybody I'm really proud to be from this 6 for coming. 7 Thank you. area. 8 FACILITATOR: I've got Chuck 9 Diessner. MR. CHUCK DIESSNER: 10 Thank you. I don't know how I'm supposed to follow that. 11 12 C-H-U-C-K, D-I-E-S-S-N-E-R. 13 I'd like to applaud everybody that's here today. But I have a challenge for 14 15 everybody. Next time we get together, get 16 three of your friends and neighbors. We need 17 to expand this group. If we are going to win 18 this battle, it's not going to be done by a It's going to be done by everybody. 19 few. I was so naive when I got 20 involved in this, and I've been to every PUC 21 I thought, when I read the mission 22 hearing. 23 statement for the Department of Commerce, it 24 says, "We are going to act in the best 25 interest of the public," yay. I sat through

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 all the hearings. I never saw one damn thing the Department of Commerce stood up and did 2 that was for the best interest of the public. 3 What they view as the best 4 5 interest of us is more jobs. How many here want more jobs as opposed to a pipeline? 6 How 7 many want more jobs? Okay, DOC, we don't want 8 more jobs. 9 Big question for all of us in 10 this process, what is the truth? Do we even 11 know? 12 MS. LORNA HANES: Right here, the 13 Anishinaabe, the original people. We are the truth. 14 15 MR. CHUCK DIESSNER: Do we really 16 I'd like the EIS to address know the truth? this question. What have you done to verify 17 18 the statements in Enbridge's application and the statements that they have made publicly in 19 hearings or otherwise is true? 20 We know, as a matter of fact, we 21 22 didn't get the truth from Enbridge. They said 23 a pipeline has to go through Clearbrook and it 24 absolutely has to go to Superior. Guess what? 25 That was false. Where are they now? They're

1 over at the Dakota Access, and their line is going to Illinois. 2 3 How many other things have we not heard -- or how many things have we heard that 4 5 are not correct? I seriously question the voracity 6 7 of Enbridge. Let me read something to you. 8 That's the basis of what we're all dealing with 9 today. This is the Public Utilities 10 Commission statement in December about the EIS. 11 "Everything possible must be done to ensure 12 that the new process, the EIS, in considering 13 the applications is robust, comprehensive, high 14 15 quality," here's the word, "independent," here's another word, "fair and avoids further 16 delay," et cetera. 17 18 Let's go back to what to look at 19 whether or not we're meeting that standard. Let's talk about independence, and let's talk 20 about conflict of interest. I've raised these 21 22 and numerous comments. Never once did I get a 23 reply. 24 The EIS, as I understand it, 25 relies on reports submitted in certain areas by

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

Enbridge and does not contain independent 1 What the hell? What would Enbridge be 2 study. 3 doing if the report had reports that was relied on by Friends of Headwaters or any of the 4 5 tribes? They'd be jumping it down and 6 7 yelling and screaming. 8 But yet, we let Enbridge have the 9 DOC rely -- I told you -- on the reports. Ι want to be politically correct, but bullshit. 10 11 Every -- EIS should be marked, 12 the EIS should be marked on every single part that was relied on based on only what was 13 submitted by Enbridge, and you should get an 14 15 independent study for every one of those areas. 16 I think, as mentioned before, we need a list of the consultants. You'd be very 17 18 interested if you looked at the web of the 19 consultants. The DOC hired the vice president of BAR Engineering to lead this study. 20 21 BAR Engineering is the environmental consultant for who? 22 Enbridge. 23 And then DOC hires who to run most of the 24 Cardinal. Well, who's Cardinal? report? 25 Somebody that has worked for Enbridge on

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

1 numerous consulting deals. This all needs to be addressed 2 3 and sorted out. Next, the request -- the DOC was 4 5 not required to have the DNR and the MPCA involved in the EIS -- only to the extent they 6 7 wanted them. I would like the EIS to address 8 9 and include every area that the DNR and the MPCA were not asked to participate, and if they 10 11 were asked to participate, like the DNR and MPCA, to note what they disagreed with that was 12 13 in the report -- or that's in the report and why. 14 15 And one final comment. I'd like the DOC to go back and do a study of the 16 applications and the statement made by Enbridge 17 18 on the corridor that contains Line 3 as to what 19 they said at the time they were putting 20 multiple pipelines in that corridor. 21 I betcha it says they're all safe, and if there's a problem, they can go in 22 23 there and solve it without disturbing anybody 24 else. 25 So let's look at what they

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

promised in the Line 3 corridor and see how it 1 relates to what people have said. 2 And I support remove the old line and put in the new 3 4 one. I don't give a dam, and I don't 5 think any of us give a dam about the profit and 6 7 loss statement for Enbridge. If it costs more 8 to do that, that's just too bad. That's the 9 price of doing business. 10 FACILITATOR: Thank you. 11 So we have three more. We need 12 to make sure we give folks enough time to 13 finish up. 14 So we've got Nicolette Slagle is 15 Give your name and spelling, if you next. 16 could. MS. NICOLETTE SLAGLE: 17 18 Nicolette, N-I-C-O-L-E-T-T-E, Slagle, 19 My name is Nicolette Slagle, and S-L-A-G-L-E. I'm the research director for Honor the Earth. 20 21 I'm not really going to talk too 22 much about our stance on the pipeline. I'm 23 sure everybody knows that. I'm sure the DOC 24 has heard that already from us. 25 I do have some specific

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

comments, specific on the DEIS, but I did want 1 to address something that I heard numerous 2 time here and Grand Rapids and other places, 3 which is what a great neighbor Enbridge is. 4 And I sometimes wonder if it's a completely 5 different Enbridge than the one that I know 6 7 of, because the one that I know of has 8 numerous safety violations. They have one of 9 the worst spill records, one of the highest incidences of spills. 10 11 The industry average is about 12 three spills per thousand miles of pipeline 13 Enbridge's average is 3.19 spills per year. per thousand miles of pipeline. 14 Enbridge has 15 about 8,009 miles of pipeline in Minnesota, 16 which means that there's an average of 25

18 Now, these vary in sizes and 19 these are reportable spills, but this is the 20 average.

spills in Minnesota every year.

17

Over in our little display area, we also have this corporate research project profile on Enbridge. It's their corporate rap sheet, and it's a little out of date. We're working on trying to update it and do a better

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

summation, but it has a record of their 1 various violations and spills. 2 Just two that I want to pull out 3 for you guys, and then I'll get on my comments 4 on the DEIS, is, "In 2004, the US Pipeline and 5 Hazardous Material Safety Administration, 6 7 PHMSA, proposed a fine of \$11,500 against 8 Enbridge Energy for safety violations found 9 during inspections of pipelines in Illinois, Indiana, and Michigan. 10 The penalty was later 11 reduced to \$5,000. "In a parallel case involving 12 13 Enbridge pipeline operations in Minnesota, an initial penalty of \$30,000 was revised to 14 15 \$25,000." And I'm sure this didn't have to 16 do with the reanalysis of the impacts of this 17 18 bill. I'm sure it had something to do with 19 some back-door dealings. Another one, this is more 20 "In 2008, the Wisconsin Department of 21 recent. Natural Resources charged Enbridge Energy with 22 23 more than 100 environmental violations 24 relating to the construction of a 320-mile 25 pipeline across much of the state.

> Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

"The agency said that Enbridge 1 workers illegally cleared and disrupted wooded 2 wetlands and were responsible for other 3 actions that resulted in discharging sediments 4 5 into waterways. "In January 2009, the company 6 7 settled the charges by agreeing to pay 8 \$1.1 million in penalties. 9 "In March 2010, the PHMSA proposed a fine of \$28,800 against Enbridge 10 11 Energy Pipelines, LLC for safety violations in Oklahoma." 12 So I'm just wondering, is this 13 Enbridge that's such a great neighbor, a 14 15 different Enbridge, or are these the ones that have numerous safety violations and numerous 16 fines across this country? 17 18 Specifically related to the DEIS and some of its weaknesses, I did notice in 19 there that in the abandonment section they 20 have a price tag at \$120 billion to remove the 21 There's no indication of where that 22 pipeline. 23 number came from, other than an estimate of 24 Enbridge at \$855 per foot as the removal cost. 25 But there's no breakdown of what

those costs entail, how much of it is for 1 removal of the pipeline, how much of it is for 2 sediment cleanup, how much of it is for 3 putting in new clean fill, how much of it is 4 for restoration of the environment. 5 And in Canada, Enbridge was 6 7 required to complete a full abandonment 8 assessment for part of Line 3. We have no 9 idea how the numbers from what they've told Canada it would cost versus what they told the 10 DOC, because we don't have any of that record, 11 12 so we can't compare that. Another question is, again, on 13 to the jobs. So we're saying that everybody 14 15 needs jobs. It's going to cost \$120 billion 16 to clean up this pipeline. If Enbridge isn't 17 going to pay for that, who is going to pay for 18 that? 19 We also know the restoration economy jobs, for every million dollars 20 invested, 10 to 39 jobs are created. 21 So if you're investing \$120 billion, how many jobs 22 23 are you going to create into the restoration 24 It's going to create a whole new economy? 25 industry for Minnesota and for the region.

Enbridge also says that there's going to be a 30-year life span for this new pipeline. What's their abandonment plan for that pipeline?

1

2

3

4

5 I have a question for the DOC and the PUC. Through this whole process, 6 7 you've gotten a lot of comments from people. 8 Is there any analysis of those comments, like 9 how many are for, how many against. The ones that are against, why are they against it? 10 11 The ones that are for it, why are they for it? You know, I think that would kind of help all 12 of us to kind of see where we're all kind of 13 falling on this, and if there is some kind of 14 15 shared ground that we can come to an 16 understanding of, what is the best way to move forward. 17

Which brings me to the next comment, that in the beginning of this document it says that this document is not here to talk about policy implications of renewable versus fossil fuels; this, that, and the other thing.

24 But the question is, when is 25 that time to make those decision? We're right

here on this precipice of investing into a
 dying industry.

3 Yes, we need oil. Yes, we're all using oil, but saying that if we build a 4 5 pipeline, we're going to have oil for our grandchildren? We're probably going to run 6 7 out of it in 20 to 30 years. And I know most 8 of the youth that I know don't want to be 9 relying on fossil fuels, and we want to transition to clean energy. We also know that 10 11 there's ways to make all of the plastics and all of the fuels that we currently use out of 12 13 hemp and other materials.

14 FACILITATOR: Wrap up if you can.15 Thank you.

MS. NICOLETTE SLAGLE: Another thing, like we've talked about this before, is kind of the lack of response information. I've tried myself to get Enbridge's integrated regional response plan or whatever they call it, but they only give it out to emergency first responders.

23 So we can't even look at that 24 and see how much they rely on local first 25 responders to respond to these spills, which

1 history has shown that's how it goes. So what Enbridge does is they 2 come into these communities, give communities 3 grants so they can buy response equipment, and 4 5 then Enbridge uses that equipment in their response plan, saying, "Oh, well, we've got it 6 7 covered. This local fire department just 8 bought this huge truck or whatever, and 9 they'll all be able to respond to this, no problem." 10 11 FACILITATOR: Can you wrap up in the next few minutes. 12 13 MS. NICOLETTE SLAGLE: I guess the last thing I have to say is the 14 15 projections of the needed demand for this pipeline. A lot of these projections have 16 been shown to be inflated, and a lot of the 17 18 projections are based off of growing global 19 demand. And what we've seen is that a 20 lot of the countries that they're saying the 21 22 demand is going to come from are leapfrogging 23 over fossil fuels and going right to renewable 24 energy, so we really need to take that into 25 account.

DEIS MEETINGS - 14-916 15-137 PARK RAPIDS - 10:00 A.M.

	accidents (1)	49:15	68:19	annual (3)
\$	82:24	affected (2)	Alfred (2)	42:9,13,17
Þ	accomplish (1)	19:12;28:22	31:24,25	annually (1)
1 1 (1)	5:1	affects (1)	Allen (3)	43:4
1.1 (1)	accomplished (1)	89:16	48:25;49:2,3	anti (1)
101:8	80:22	affirmative (1)	A-L-L-E-N (1)	46:20
11,500 (1)	according (1)	10:10	49:3	anyways (1)
100:7	21:14	affordability (1)	Alliance (1)	19:3
5120 (3)	account (3)	32:12	30:4	
101:21;102:15,22	5:16;31:5;105:25			apart (1) 52:10
513 (1)		affordable (2)	allow (1)	
56:13	accurate (1)	33:5,20	21:24	apologize (1)
6177 (1)	15:3	AFL/CIO (1)	allowed (2)	12:21
79:10	acres (2)	49:14	22:25;51:1	apparently (2)
521.5 (1)	61:19;68:16	afterwards (1)	alluded (1)	39:12;49:17
22:24	across (12)	36:18	58:22	appeal (1)
25,000 (1)	19:23;21:7,10;	again (8)	almost (5)	45:9
100:15	28:24;65:12;74:24;	8:9;14:17;17:11;	47:11;48:2;63:4;	appears (1)
528,800 (1)	83:10,13,15,17;	33:7;54:2;58:15;	64:24;82:11	59:1
101:10	100:25;101:17	72:23;102:13	along (5)	Appellate (2)
30,000 (1)	act (1)	against (7)	5:25;9:11;20:3;	45:1,12
100:14	93:24	19:18;78:22;100:7;	25:7;31:10	applaud (2)
55,000 (1)	actions (1)	101:10;103:9,10,10	alternate (2)	45:21;93:13
100:11	101:4	age (2)	61:16;82:20	applicant (1)
650 (1)	actually (12)	88:6,8	alternative (3)	53:1
	8:22,24;11:3;14:4;	agencies (3)	35:3;58:18;75:1	applicant's (2)
54:14 580 (4)	16:25;18:23;25:17,	5:4,11;39:10	alternatives (4)	41:20;42:10
	24;35:8;52:18;79:22;	Agency (7)	36:1;39:25;58:15;	application (1)
53:20;54:1,5,15	86:2	5:5;10:20,21;17:3;	85:3	94:18
\$855 (1)	Adams (3)	37:1;54:24;101:1	although (1)	applications (2)
101:24	59:24,25;60:1	agency's (1)	88:20	95:14;97:17
•	A-D-A-M-S (1)	10:19	always (4)	appreciate (1)
Α	60:1		19:13,14;39:23;	76:19
		aging (1)		
abandon (2)	add (3)	31:10	68:8	approach (1)
25:4;93:3	48:3;64:18;80:23	ago (5)	amazing (1)	7:12
ubandoned (7)	added (2)	53:12;63:24;72:9;	47:15	appropriate (2)
49:9;50:7,11,18,20;	21:23;41:21	81:16;82:2	Amendment (1)	80:16,17
51:11;92:12	addition (2)	agree (3)	13:13	approval (1)
bandoning (1)	8:24;31:7	10:15;44:19;51:22	American (2)	5:21
26:8	additional (1)	agreed (2)	37:17;88:22	approve (1)
bandonment (3)	41:21	50:16,20	amount (3)	79:12
101:20;102:7;103:3	address (6)	agreeing (1)	9:24;29:5,13	approved (2)
bility (1)	31:15;47:3;87:13;	101:7	amounts (1)	5:23;92:8
21:18	94:16;97:8;99:2	agricultural (2)	69:12	approximate (1)
able (9)	addressed (5)	32:17;91:19	analysis (7)	36:12
26:11;35:6;36:14,	6:12;47:6;60:13;	Agriculture (1)	47:22;52:24;53:9;	April (1)
19;53:15;72:15;84:1,	80:20;97:2	21:15	80:10,15,16;103:8	64:23
25;105:9	adequate (2)	ahead (1)	analyze (3)	aquifers (2)
above (2)	63:9,10	66:13	46:5,11,14	27:12:63:15
	Administration (2)	ain't (1)	ancestors (3)	area (20)
19:1;68:3	63:6;100:6	85:6	19:24;87:23;89:21	6:3;18:10,17;28:6;
absolute (1)	administrator (1)	air (2)	anecdote (1)	29:6;37:15;62:10;
39:24	60:3	19:11;56:15	49:12	64:22;66:20;73:17;
absolutely (5)	admitting (1)	airplane (1)	animal (1)	74:3;76:2;77:18;
24:22;32:13,19;	23:1	72:12	86:5	78:23;79:14;90:3;
39:9;94:24				
Academy (3)	advance (1)	Aitkin (1)	animals (5)	91:2;93:7;97:9;99:2
41:1;48:15,19	12:22	61:20	17:13,13;25:22;	areas (7)
accept (1)	advances (1)	Al (3)	86:4;89:25	25:20;29:4;73:11;
19:8	55:6	32:1;84:13;85:6	Anishinaabe (3)	77:5;82:25;95:25;
Access (5)	adverse (1)	Alberta (2)	18:7;88:13;94:13	96:15
	88:21	77:13;92:14	announced (1)	argument (2)
15:22;41:8;46:9;	advocated (1)	Alexandria (1)	24:2	54:17;56:20
			24:2 annoying (1)	54:17;56:20 Arizona (1)

DEIS MEETINGS - 14-916 15-137 PARK RAPIDS - 10:00 A.M.

back (18) Army (2) 62:20:70:3 around (3) 28:6:88:7:89:22 arrive (3) 55:5.19:80:1 back-door (1) arrived (1) 60:4 100:19 Art (1) 54:3 Asia (1) backhoes (1) 34:5 66:2 backyard (2) aside (2) 39:12;79:21 asphalt (1) bad (2) 48:4 assembled (1) ball (1) 53:22 6:5 Bank (1) Assessment (3) 37:19;38:1;102:8 54:21 asset (1) **BAR (6)** 92:24 assist (2) 4:13;39:7 Barbara (4) assisting (1) 38:24 barrel (11) Association (3) 57:12;71:7,10 assume (2) 40:2:45:8 barrels (6) astute (1) 54:18 Athabasca (1) based (4) 89:12 Atlantic (2) 105:18 21:13;56:21 basically (1) attack (1) 45:14 basin (1) 18:8 attention (2) 61:2 37:5:70:6 basis (2) attractions (1) 81:5;95:8 74:10 **ATV (1)** 8:16 33:15 batteries (1) August (2) 55:1 8:2;80:22 battle (1) availability (2) 93:18 32:11;33:20 bears (1) available (5) 37:2 28:25;29:5;33:5; beautiful (4) 34:1:79:23 84:4 average (5) 52:16;99:11,13,16, become (3) 20 avoids (1) becomes (1) 95:16 48:4 away (3) bed (1) 19:19;31:20;42:23 62:16 bedrock (1) B 90:20 beds (1) baby (2) 21:8 83:16.17 begin (1)

54:22 4:5.7.8:7:9:8:8: beginning (3) 16:20:43:21:50:2.14; 59:1;64:15;72:23; behalf (1) 73:23;81:9;83:15; 4:12 85:23;95:18;97:16 behind (6) 75:2:83:13,16 background (2) beings (1) 4:19;75:14 86:1 beloved (1) 70:1 below (1) 65:13:81:25 68:3 bench (1) 73:23;98:8 64:20 benefit (1) 51:4 benefiting (1) 34:23 benefits (3) 36:14,21;38:13; 39:15;96:20,21 Berman's (1) 54:3 20:22,24,25;30:4 berries (1) 20:8 13:5;24:11;53:20; besides (1) 54:1,6,14,15;67:20; 59:21 90:14,16,17 best (9) 22:5:65:10:67:24; 78:10:79:7:92:7 94:3.4:103:16 betcha (1) 36:7;59:2;96:13; 97:21 better (7) 99:25 beyond (1) 34:24 biased (1) **Bathrooms** (1) 71:7 big (6) biggest (2) 13:6;74:9 Bill (4) 4:16,22;8:11; 100:18 74:19;77:18;83:22; **Billings** (1) 17:4 billion (6) 33:25;41:17;49:9 Bill's (1) 10:22 biospheres (1) 25:21 bird (1) 73:10 birds (3)

bison (1) 37:14 44:15,20:103:19 bit (10) 10:21;67:7;70:23; 73:10 bituman (1) 41:4 bitumen (3) 48:3,10,20 black (1) 91:13 blah (3) 78:1,1,2 blame (1) 45:8 bless (1) 17:7 block (1) 79:21 25:19;26:6;32:5 Bloomberg (1) 43:7 Bloomberg's (1) 55:7 blow (1) 90:19 Blue (1) 77:8 13:25:14:10:33:24; board (3) 58:18;75:1;93:24; boating (1) 33:13 boats (1) 33:15 20:15;35:1;42:22; body (1) 46:23:66:19:78:1; 20:9 book (1) 9:1 booklet (1) 8:20 border (2) 91:7,7 15:10,23;68:13; born (1) 83:20;86:20;94:9 83:25 **both** (9) 92:19 bottom (5) 16.17 bought (1) 22:24;28:21;56:13; 105:8 101:21;102:15,22 box (2) 47:11,12 boxes (1) 9:11 boy (1) 78:12 brake (1) 45:2 83:24:84:4:90:1 brand (1)

41:14 breakdown (1) 101:25 4:19;9:25;12:16; bridging (1) 32:25:33:12:36:10; 30:24 44:10;51:17;65:15; bring (6) 11:12;23:13;35:11; 58:6;64:15:93:1 bringing (1) 61:9 brings (2) 15:20;103:18 brothers (3) 15:11;17:7,10 brought (4) 15:11;28:4;75:21; 91:12 brown (1) 62:9 brush (1) 85:1 bubble (2) 24:7;54:9 buddy (1) 17:8 buffalo (1) 17:14 build (6) 23:17;24:5;26:18; 35:14;78:13:104:4 30:3:75:23:78:16 builders (1) 23:19 building (3) 8:16:63:7.7 built (2) 14:24;33:16 bullshit (1) 96:10 Buric (3) 14:19,20,21 **B-U-R-I-C** (1) 14:21 Burns (4) 64:14,16,17;69:8 **B-U-R-N-S** (1) 64:17 6:6,25;7:12;38:21, burnt (1) 22;39:4,5;57:16; 18:18 burst (1) 24:8 22:17:64:3:90:13. bus (1) 33:3 buses (1) 33:3 business (2) 76:23:98:9 businesses (1) 76:3 buy (2) 54:12;105:4

С

June 7, 2017

cabin (1) 68:24 call (5) 65:21;66:15;71:13; 75:19;104:20 called (3) 30:11;49:6;66:17 calling (1) 71:12 came (3) 47:13;83:18;101:23 camp (1) 15:25 camping (1) 16:3 can (46) 4:8;9:3,10,11,15; 11:13;12:22;14:5; 16:11,13;18:23; 19:14,22;20:5,6,17; 34:15:35:18:40:3,5,6, 11:41:7:42:1:44:20: 45:16;60:22;64:15; 69:18:71:13.23: 72:18,19,22;73:24,25, 25;80:4,19,22;83:14; 97:22;103:15;104:14; 105:4,11 Canada (6) 18:6;61:3;72:1; 77:13:102:6.10 Canadian (3) 24:14;48:20:61:2 capability (1) 35:17 capacity (2) 71:14;92:4 capture (1) 11:4 car (4) 22:4:56:8:83:18: 84:16 carbon (5) 55:16,23;56:24; 61:6,14 carbon-based (6) 32:12,16,20;33:4; 35:4,10 card (1) 12:15 Cardinal (6) 36:14,21;38:13: 39:14:96:24,24 cards (3) 7:7;9:21;69:16 care (3) 50:18,18;81:17 careful (1) 82:9 carefully (2) 11:1;34:3 Carolina (1) 13:3

carry (2) 19:23:21:21 cars (1) 43:11 cart (1) 60:14 carton (1) 80:7 case (6) 5:19:44:24:49:12: 50:24;53:3;100:12 Cass (2) 18:17:61:20 catastrophic (1) 42:18 catchment (1) 81:22 cathodic (3) 30:15,19,21 cause (1) 16:14 caused (1) 70:25 causing (1) 82:24 caustic (1) 72:1 CD (1) 9:4 cell (1) 9:15 certain (1) 95:25 certainly (3) 42:22:76:16:80:25 Certificate (3) 5:20;6:17;52:25 certified (1) 69:10 cetera (2) 80:18;95:17 chair (1) 64:19 chairs (1) 4:5 challenge (1) 93:14 Chamber (1) 76:17 chance (2) 10:1;25:24 changes (2) 49:15:57:24 Chapter (5) 40:22,24;52:23; 60:25;61:18 charged (1) 100:22 charges (1) 101:7 Charlie (6) 64:14,16,16;69:6,8, 14

Charlie's (1) 64:14 Charlotte (5) 16:20.20:25:9.10. 11 **C-H-A-R-L-O-T-T-E** (1) 25:12 cheaper (3) 41:13;51:4;57:15 check (2) 12:13;54:3 checkered (1) 23:9 checking (1) 68:8 chemicals (4) 21:23;22:14;61:10; 90:20 cherished (1) 13:17 chief (1) 55:11 children (1) 87:24 chimed (1) 55:4 China (2) 54:9;56:12 choice (1) 38:3 choices (1) 40:12 choose (2) 40:10;59:7 chose (1) 45:9 Chuck (3) 93:8.10:94:15 C-H-U-C-K (1) 93:12 cisterns (1) 81:22 citizens (1) 38:15 citizenship (2) 18:4,5 city (1) 33:8 clarified (1) 7:21 clean (12) 23:3:25:6:26:9.11. 19:43:12:75:3:81:15: 92:11;102:4,16; 104:10 cleaned (1) 26:16 cleanest (1) 73:16 cleaning (2) 84:8;92:11 cleanup (6) 22:25;25:4;49:7;

52:4:64:1:102:3 clean-up (1) 22:22 clear (2) 7:20;88:12 Clearbrook (2) 68:12;94:23 cleared (1) 101:2 clearest (1) 27:2 Cline (2) 69:20.22 C-L-I-N-E(1)69:24 Clipper (1) 92:14 clock (1) 85:19 close (2) 12:6;78:25 closely (1) 36:18 closest (1) 17:9 closing (1) 80:23 Cloud (1) 27:22 clover (1) 68:20 coatings (1) 42:23 collaboration (1) 5:4collapse (1) 54:8 collapsed (1) 54:10 co-located (1) 30:10 column (1) 54:4 comfortable (1) 4:4 comfy (1) 4:8 coming (17) 4:24;8:10;11:2; 16:12;61:3;62:9; 71:21,21,25;74:3,11; 76:14;82:3,6;83:16; 86:19;93:6 comment (23) 4:20;5:25;9:9,10, 14,23;10:19;11:8,15, 16;12:5,10,11,15; 36:7,16;46:14;47:7; 64:10;80:19;87:5; 97:15:103:19 commentators (1) 54:18 commenter (1)

June 7, 2017

11:19 commenters (1) 11:5 commenting (1) 25:13 comments (30) 6:6,10,11,23;7:4,9, 11,13,16,23;8:3,4,7; 10:12;11:1,8;36:17; 40:19:60:9:76:19; 78:25;79:23,24;81:1; 84:19:95:22:99:1; 100:4;103:7,8 **Commerce** (5) 4:17;5:3;76:18; 93:23;94:2 **Commission** (10) 5:18;8:1;45:14; 76:9,11;77:15;78:12, 17;79:13;95:11 **Commissioner** (2) 4:16.22 common (1) 50:10 communities (5) 51:3;91:13;92:25; 105:3,3 community (4) 20:4;47:25;51:18; 91:13 companies (6) 20:14;24:4;38:21; 39:6:53:16:56:8 company (5) 14:12;75:15;77:4; 82:20;101:6 company's (1) 47:4 compare (1) 102:12 compared (2) 42:9,11 comparison (1) 66:5 competitively (1) 59:15 complained (1) 45:5 complete (1) 102:7 completed (1) 15:3 completely (1) 99:5 complicated (1) 36:9 comprehensive (2) 44:16:95:14 compromised (1) 63:13 concentrate (1) 40:21 concern (2)

Min-U-Script®

70:25:73:5 concerned (8) 31:3:41:23:43:6; 44:12:46:16.18: 48:11:49:8 concerns (1) 43:23 concludes (2) 55:18;88:20 conclusion (1) 34:8 concoction (1) 22:1 condition (1) 23:3 conditions (3) 64:2,7;65:24 condominium (2) 70:17,22 conduct (1) 45:15 conductive (1) 30:11 conference (2) 50:3,15 conflict (1) 95:21 confront (1) 51:16 connected (3) 20:6;27:13;29:22 connections (1) 36:22 consequence (1) 76:24 Consider (7) 24:9;27:24;29:16, 21:75:18.19.20 considered (7) 6:24;7:13;13:13,14; 76:8;82:20;91:24 considering (3) 58:15;64:6;95:13 considers (1) 21:12 consolidate (1) 84:21 constitute (1) 42:3 constructed (2) 21:7:39:8 construction (4) 13:8:26:3:66:9: 100:24 consultant (1) 96:22 consultants (2) 96:17,19 consulting (2) 4:12;97:1 consumer (1) 57:16 consumers (1)

59:17 consumption (2) 43:8.10 contacted (1) 82:1 contain (1) 96:1 contains (2) 80:13;97:18 contamination (3) 73:18,20;91:19 contested (1) 53:3 contests (1) 28:6 continuation (1) 57:23 continue (3) 24:5;26:12;58:19 continued (1) 61:13 Control (4) 5:5;37:1;66:5,8 controlled (1) 65:1 convenience (1) 57:12 conversation (1) 10:17 convinced (1) 54:13 cook (1) 84:25 cookie (1) 47:18 cookies (1) 47:16 cooperation (1) 5:3 copv(4)60:4:80:1.9:81:4 corn (1) 32:18 cornfield (4) 72:9,14,16,17 Corp (1) 62:20 corporate (2) 99:22,23 corporation (1) 87:2 **Corporations (3)** 25:17;39:16;86:20 correcting (1) 70:14 corridor (13) 27:5,7,10;29:11,17; 31:8,14;41:22;59:20; 74:24;97:18,20;98:1 **cost (6)** 24:9;33:20;54:25; 101:24;102:10,15 costly (1)

22:22 costs (3) 61:7:98:7:102:1 couches (1) 4:8 Council (1) 55:3 count (1) 88:25 countries (2) 24:15;105:21 country (8) 44:14;46:17;54:9; 61:8;63:12;68:3;74:3; 101:17 County (8) 32:8,9;33:9;61:20, 20,21;81:20;83:9 County's (1) 15:2 couple (7) 7:3;49:25;63:24; 70:2;74:12;77:8;83:9 courage (2) 51:16;86:20 Courneya (3) 20:22,24,25 C-O-U-R-N-E-Y-A (1) 21:1 course (2) 6:3:35:2 **court** (11) 7:8:11:3,18:40:20; 44:23,24;45:1,10,10, 11,12 courts (1) 45:14 covered (1) 105:7 crack (1) 22:7 crane (1) 86:6 cranes (2) 83:9;84:1 crash (1) 55:9 create (2) 102:23,24 created (3) 24:1:25:1:102:21 creation (1) 50:25 Cree (2) 18:9;89:19 Creek (1) 15:8 critical (4) 32:13,19;33:4;74:5 critically (1) 46:13 criticism (1) 86:25

cross (4) 27:8:62:13:67:22: 68:25 crossed (1) 65:8 crosses (2) 73:15,17 crossing (1) 38:6 Crow (2) 15:8;17:3 crucial (1) 63:21 crude (15) 32:5:33:24:34:10, 13,18;35:15,23; 48:20;57:21;58:3; 59:10,14,20;62:18; 82:23 crystal (1) 53:22 culture (1) 37:17 cumulative (3) 91:5,18;92:18 current (6) 19:18;22:18;25:1; 55:10;58:1,6 currently (2) 57:5:104:12 cushy (1) 4:6 cut (2) 43:21:61:4 D Dakota (10) 15:9,21,22;16:9; 57:21:72:7.7:74:14. 25:95:1 dam (2) 98:5,6 damage (2) 22:11;72:5 damaged (2) 25:3,8 damages (1) 62:14 damn (1) 94:1 danger (1) 91:20 dangerous (1) 82:22 Danny (1) 16:22 data (4) 31:4;46:13;47:23; 55:15 date (6)

8:6;67:11,11,12;

70:7:99:24

daunting (1) 60:8 day (8) 8:4;16:17;18:16; 22:5;34:21;67:16; 84:15;92:7 days (2) 14:1:41:3 dead (1) 26:7 deadline (1) 81:2 deal (2) 15:23;42:5 dealing (2) 73:19;95:8 dealings (1) 100:19 deals (1) 97:1 dealt (1) 41:7 Deanna (3) 36:3,5,20 **D-E-A-N-N-A** (1) 36:6 death (2) 55:2;90:2 decades (1) 41:20 December (1) 95:11 decided (1) 77:2 decision (13) 5:10,13,15,18;6:17; 20:18:35:21:37:9; 38:5;44:22;52:25: 87:16;103:25 decisions (5) 5:16.19:19:6:20:13: 89:3 declining (1) 54:19 decrease (1) 56:24 deer (4) 68:17,20,23,25 defeated (1) 90:18 definitely (1) 35:25 degree (1) 53:11 **DEIS (30)** 31:4;36:12;38:2,25; 40:22;41:6,10,25; 42:9;52:6,8,22;53:5; 60:13;61:5,15,19; 62:22;79:23;80:1,24; 82:11:87:11:88:4.14. 20;90:25;99:1;100:5; 101:18

delay (1) 95:17 deliberately (1) 45:6 demand (13) 25:6;43:8,10,15; 54:20,23;55:4,8,13, 16:105:15,19,22 demonstrated (1) 71:22 deny (2) 80:4;88:23 **Department (8)** 4:17;5:2,6;21:14; 93:23;94:2;100:21; 105:7 depend (1) 64:21 dependent (4) 14:3;33:2;40:9; 84:14 depending (1) 58:5 depends (2) 33:19,22 Deputy (2) 4:16,22 descendents (1) 87:25 describe (1) 60:21 described (1) 77:17 despite (1) 37:10 destroyed (2) 25:21,22 destroying (4) 85:15,15;86:9,9 destruction (3) 90:25:91:1:92:21 destructive (1) 91:2 detail (2) 60:21;61:14 detected (1) 22:10 determined (1) 60:16 **Detroit** (1) 91:12 **Deutsche** (1) 54:21 developed (2) 36:12;40:1 development (4) 7:24;15:20;36:24; 39:13 diameter (1) 45:24 diesel (1) 59:20 **Diessner** (3)

93:9.10:94:15 D-I-E-S-S-N-E-R (1) 93:12 different (7) 7:3;32:3;42:14; 68:21;74:22;99:6; 101:15 difficult (1) 41:9 **Difficulty** (1) 63:18 dig (1) 50:20 digging (1) 50:6 dignity (1) 49:24 digs (2) 41:12,19 diligently (1) 43:14 dilute (2) 48:9.10 diluted (3) 41:4;48:20;61:10 diminish (1) 92:4 direct (1) 87:21 director (1) 98:20 directors (2) 75:24:78:17 dirt (1) 65:6 dirtiest (1) 21:21 disagreed (1) 97:12 disagreement (1) 50:8 disaster (2) 16:14;93:3 discharging (1) 101:4 discrepancies (1) 52:5 discussing (1) 86:23 disease (1) 88:11 dismissive (1) 91:25 display (1) 99:21 disproportionate (1) 88:21 disrupted (1) 101:2 disruption (1) 30:25 distance (1) 52:17

distances (1) 52:7 disturb (1) 9:16 disturbing (2) 38:12;97:23 dive (1) 4:20 **DNR** (13) 31:6:37:1:39:10: 52:16;62:20,23,24,24, 25,25;97:5,9,11 **DOC (10)** 64:10:94:7:96:9.19, 23;97:4,16;98:23; 102:11;103:5 document (20) 5:14;28:10,13,14, 20,23;29:12;36:25; 37:2,8;38:15,18; 39:13,16;45:20; 47:11;52:11;80:5; 103:20.20 documentation (1) 70:6 dollar (1) 23:22 dollars (2) 67:19;102:20 done (15) 15:4:39:3:44:17: 45:17.22:46:3.19: 48:2:51:24:72:24; 75:5;93:18,19;94:17; 95:12 DONNY (2) 16:23,24 **D-O-N-N-Y** (1) 16:25 down (24) 8:17;11:24;43:9,10, 15;45:7;60:17,18,18; 61:4;64:24;65:14; 68:24;81:16;82:21; 83:8;84:2,15,17,18, 20;90:6;93:1;96:6 downstream (1) 22:17 dozen (1) 23:15 Draft (3) 5:6;8:3;11:9 drag(1) 66:1 dredging (1) 23:4 drilling (3) 62:12,12;81:23 drink (2) 18:23:84:25 drinking (5) 21:19;24:20;27:15, 22:28:2

drive (1) 9:2 driving (4) 38:14:49:16:62:15: 83:8 drooling (1) 68:18 drop (1) 87:3 dropped (2) 65:4;66:14 dropping (1) 54:22 drove (1) 84:15 dual (2) 18:4,5 ducking (1) 83:19 due (2) 43:11:63:18 dug (1) 66:14 Duluth (1) 49:14 duress (1) 88:9 during (7) 27:16;36:16;45:5; 55:19:61:24:80:18; 100:9 dving (11) 85:17:88:5,9:89:16, 17,25;90:1,2;91:13, 15:104:2 Е Eagan (1) 64:25 eagle (1) 89:13 earlier (2) 60:11;71:23 early (2) 37:16;55:9 earth (3) 29:15;90:20;98:20 east (1) 66:21 eating (1) 88:10 **EB** (1) 54:25 economic (13) 14:5;15:19;23:12; 25:25;32:5;52:23; 53:9,9;54:7;57:15,18; 74:7;93:3 economics (3) 24:14;53:11;92:3 economist (3)

87:20;90:8;91:22

June 7, 2017

economy (7) 38:17;53:14;54:5, 10,13;102:20,24 ecosystem (1) 73:9 ecosystems (1) 91:1 educational (1) 33:1 efficiency (1) 85:9 efficient (4) 32:6;34:12,20; 35:22 effort (2) 22:22;45:21 efforts (2) 23:5,5 eight (1) 74:8 **EIS (28)** 6:12,14;7:14,16,19, 25;8:1,21,22;9:5; 11:10;15:3;25:23; 37:6;45:2;47:2,7,10; 80:20;87:3;94:16; 95:11,13,24;96:11,12; 97:6.8 either (4) 7:1,12:9:2:58:4 electric (3) 43:11:56:3.18 electronic (1) 68:9 electronically (1) 9:6 eliminate (1) 65:18 Elk (1) 37:17 else (6) 49:21;70:25;75:20; 88:17,18;97:24 emailed (1) 11:7 emergency (1) 104:21 emission (1) 56:25 emissions (2) 61:1.15 emphasize (1) 80:15 emphasizing (1) 80:17 Enbridge (63) 14:11;20:14;22:21; 23:13;24:25;25:3; 30:18;31:9;36:13,22; 38:13,22,23;39:8,14; 41:14;43:13,16;45:5; 46:12:47:21:48:11, 22;50:16,17,19;51:1,

Min-U-Script®

I AKK KAI IDS - 10.00				June 7, 2017
16,60,22,64,4,71,11,	·····	102.14		food (1)
16;60:22;64:4;71:11;	environment (7)	102:14	extremely (3)	feed (1)
77:4;78:9,10;79:9;	27:10;28:12;39:11;	everyone (6)	30:15;41:2;72:3	68:25
82:2;94:22;95:7;96:1,	48:21;59:4,6;102:5	4:2,2,24;8:9;12:10;		feel (5)
2,8,14,22,25;97:17;	Environmental (13)	25:11	\mathbf{F}	13:25;21:16;89:1,3;
98:7;99:4,6,14,23;	5:7,9;6:8;44:16;	evidence (2)		92:17
100:8,13,22;101:1,10,	45:15,23;58:12,16;	30:14;38:18	fabulous (1)	feet (6)
14,15,24;102:6,16;	66:8,9;69:3;96:22;	exactly (2)	73:9	52:9,17,18;65:11;
103:1;105:2,5	100:23	30:16;73:4	FACILITATOR (35)	67:7,25
Enbridge's (7)	environmentalist (1)	example (8)	4:1,10;8:11;13:19;	felt (2)
23:9;31:4;48:16;	85:24	34:16;52:8;54:21,	14:18;15:5;16:19;	83:3,6
70:7;94:18;99:13;	EPA (2)	25;56:5,13,21;76:7	17:24;20:21;25:9;	female (2)
104:19	22:25;62:20	except (1)	26:21;29:25;31:23;	83:13,15
encourage (3)	EPS (1)	70:19	36:3,16;39:19;40:14;	few (14)
10:3;12:9;50:12	29:8	exception (1)	44:2;48:25;52:1;57:7;	
end (6)	equally (2)	30:7	59:23;64:13;69:6,14;	17:5;32:16;41:3;
10:10;11:8;12:14,	6:24;7:13	exceptional (1)	70:11;75:7;79:17;	60:21;67:3;76:22;
		37:24		79:22,24;88:6;93:19;
17;68:5;90:11	equipment (2)		81:7;86:12;93:8;	
endanger (1)	105:4,5	excerpts (1)	98:10;104:14;105:11;	105:12
27:15	Eric (1)	40:21	106:2	Field (1)
endangered (1)	64:15	executive (1)	fact (12)	4:10
23:23	essential (1)	8:21	34:9,11;35:12,22,	fifteen (1)
ends (2)	32:13	exist (4)	23;37:11;74:23;80:5;	55:14
80:19;92:19	essentially (1)	40:3;59:9;66:3,6	82:11;83:23;92:3;	figure (4)
energies (2)	8:20	existing (2)	94:21	58:17;80:13;90:6,
40:5,6				15
	establish (1)	25:6;58:10	factor (3)	
Energy (21)	27:5	exists (1)	47:9;67:6;92:7	fill (1)
4:17;24:1,3;26:19;	established (3)	76:12	failure (3)	102:4
35:3,6;40:1,3,9;43:12,	37:12;61:23;74:23	exit (1)	30:16,20;82:23	filmed (1)
18;55:3,8;59:10;	estimate (1)	8:14	fair (7)	83:21
61:16;85:11;100:8,	101:23	expand (1)	9:24;86:25;87:2,3,	filthy (1)
22;101:11;104:10;	estimated (2)	93:17	14;89:5;95:16	61:10
105:24	41:18;43:6	expanded (1)	fairly (1)	final (10)
Engineering (5)	estimates (3)	92:19	89:4	6:8,12,14;7:25,25;
36:14;38:13;69:10;	42:17;55:8;57:2	expect (3)	fall (2)	8:4;11:9;43:5;80:20;
96:20,21	et (2)	6:16;7:25;38:15	44:23;61:24	97:15
Engineers (2)	80:18;95:17	expected (1)	falling (1)	finally (2)
62:20;68:7	Europe (4)	68:1	103:14	77:2;92:23
Engineer's (1)	34:5,16;56:17,17	expenses (1)	Falls (1)	Finance (1)
36:21	EV (2)	43:21	30:14	55:8
enjoy (3)	56:6,14	experience (1)	false (1)	financial (2)
35:1;77:18;84:1	evaluated (1)	77:5	94:25	39:18;55:11
enjoying (1)	38:4	experienced (1)		find (7)
			family (3)	
69:25	evaluation (1)	23:19	14:23;32:7;35:10	38:12;39:1;41:5,17;
enough (7)	38:19	expert (1)	fan (1)	51:8;64:22;73:3
31:5;55:22;61:17;	evaporate (2)	53:9	15:10	finding (2)
70:6;82:10;84:10;	72:20,21	experts (1)	fancy (1)	51:15;63:21
98:12	even (22)	46:5	31:4	finds (1)
ensure (1)	13:13;21:24;22:6;	explain (2)	far (3)	43:16
95:12	28:18;29:2;35:1;44:7;	10:4;87:20	21:18;23:25;33:16	fine (3)
entail (1)	51:3;54:13;55:11;	explained (1)	Fargo (2)	7:12;100:7;101:10
102:1	64:21;65:19;67:2,9,	82:5	70:21,22	fines (1)
enter (1)	23;72:4;73:2;80:8;	explains (1)	farming (1)	101:17
22:15	83:20;86:23;94:10;	29:13	73:21	finish (3)
entered (1)	104:23	exported (1)	fast (1)	69:18;85:18;98:13
30:13	event (1)	24:15	56:18	finished (2)
entire (2)	39:6	extended (1)	father (1)	63:4;64:8
29:9;31:15	eventually (6)	87:13	83:18	finite (1)
entities (1)	31:18;41:16;42:2,	extent (3)	feather (1)	29:14
38:16	24;43:2;65:17		89:12	fire (4)
		62:19,25;97:6		
entitled (1)	everybody (12)	extraction (3)	February (3)	33:6,9,10;105:7
28:10	19:10,19;44:5;	60:23;63:6;90:12	55:18;77:7,10	firm (1)
entity (3)	74:18;87:17;91:5;	extreme (1)	federal (3)	69:10
37:9;38:3,5	93:5,13,15,19;98:23;	90:12	21:11;49:15;63:5	first (21)

forests (2)

PARK RAPIDS - 10:00	A.M.	
4:15;9:15;17:5;	73:8;74:9	35:4,10;40:4;6
18:3;21:10;42:11;	forgot (1)	63:7;103:22;10
45:23;61:22;64:23;	79:5	12;105:23
65:14;66:4;67:8;68:6,	form (4)	full (8)
15;69:23;71:5;81:12;	9:9,10;21:21;22:16	7:11;8:22;9:5,2
82:7;88:2;104:22,24	format (1)	11:17;12:11;88
Fish (1)	8:23	102:7
31:2	formed (1)	further (6)
Fishhook (2)	44:11	23:5;33:1,12;6
70:1;71:6	former (1)	77:19;95:16
fishing (1)	23:2	future (12)
74:8	forms (1)	13:17;26:9,10,
fissure (1)	35:6	31:13;32:14,2
22:7	Fortunately (1)	33:21;34:23;57
five (8) 9:22,23;12:5,6;	75:15	59:11;92:24
55:14;69:7,19;93:1	forward (1) 103:17	G
flabbergasted (1)	fossil (7)	U
85:25	40:4;60:23;63:7;	G2 (1)
flapping (1)	85:4;103:22;104:9;	38:8
83:19	105:23	gains (1)
flash (1)	found (9)	39:18
9:2	37:20;38:8,9;52:6,	Gaither (3)
flatten (1)	16,22;60:7;81:21;	81:10,11,12
48:4	100:8	G-A-I-T-H-E-R
Flitch (1)	four (2)	81:12
54:24	14:23;31:13	gallons (6)
flocking (1)	fracking (1)	13:5;22:8,20;6
73:12	90:18	77:10;78:5
flooding (1)	Frank (4)	gas (2)
82:23	57:8,9,10;59:23	61:1;72:18
Florence (5)	F-R-A-N-K (1)	gasoline (1)
39:19,20,21,21;	57:10 Fred (2)	57:15
40:15	Fred (3)	gather (1)
F-L-O-R-E-N-C-E (1) 39:22	75:8,9,10 F-R-E-D (1)	20:7 gave (1)
flow (2)	75:10	86:1
21:24;31:1	freight (2)	general (2)
flowing (2)	57:16;59:18	5:12;13:10
71:9,9	frequent (1)	generally (2)
fluids (1)	61:24	7:21;52:9
62:12	fresh (10)	generation (3)
flying (1)	27:3,15;28:3,15,22;	26:10;34:24;7
72:12	29:5,10,13,14,21	generations (4)
focus (1)	friend (1)	13:17;14:24;20
82:15	62:2	34:24
folks (10)	friendly (1)	gentleman (2)
9:14;10:20,21,22,	11:22	58:21;75:21
23;12:14;36:18;46:4;	Friends (10)	geological (2)
69:15;98:12 follow (2)	44:9,15,21;45:19; 46:4,19;47:25;74:11;	15:2;28:8 Germany (1)
5:23;93:11	93:16;96:4	24:2
food (2)	front (3)	gets (1)
57:17;84:25	57:18;83:10,12	46:25
foot (1)	frozen (1)	Gilbertson (3)
101:24	28:7	13:20,21,22
foreign (2)	fuel (8)	G-I-L-B-E-R-T-S-
24:15;59:19	32:17,23;33:10,10,	13:23
foreseeable (1)	20;56:22,23;85:4	given (6)
32:21	fueled (1)	6:6;24:19;37:5
Forest (1)	33:3	53:17;89:14
21:15	fuels (13)	gives (1)
Formate (7)	22.12 17 20.22.4.	0.24

32:12,17,20;33:4;

40:4;60:23;	giving (2)
3:22;104:9,	4:25;85:21
23	glad (2)
2;9:5,23;	20:2;81:21 Glendive (2)
2:11;88:4;	28:1,4
	Global (5)
)	28:11,15;29:9;
1,12;60:22; 5:16	53:17;105:18 gloves (1)
)	66:2
5:9,10,19;	God's (1)
2:14,21; 4:23;57:2;	76:14 goes (9)
2:24	17:21;29:11;43:15;
C	65:21;67:19;74:8;
G	78:5;91:9;105:1 good (16)
	4:11;10:4;11:1,14,
	18;13:11;14:12;
	15:20;16:17;24:13;
3)	30:5;61:17;66:21; 73:22;82:12;89:2
1,12	Google (3)
I-E-R (1)	77:3,13,19
)	government (3) 21:11;56:6,11
, 8,20;63:25;	grain (1)
8:5	32:19
18	Grand (5) 14:4,17;50:1;52:12;
l)	99:3
	grandchildren (3)
	19:9,9;104:6 grandkids (2)
	67:15,16
、 、	grandmother (1)
) :10	47:14 grandmothers (2)
(2)	47:15;88:6
.9	Grant (3)
n (3) 4:24;75:3	4:16,22;5:20 Grantham (1)
ns (4)	55:17
4:24;26:9;	grants (1)
n (2)	105:4 graphically (1)
5:21	71:23
(2)	gravity (2)
.8 (1)	67:1;78:7 great (9)
	14:13,16;20:18;
	37:23;67:15;83:14;
n (3)	84:2;99:4;101:14 greenhouse (1)
1,22	61:1
R-T-S-O-N (1)	Greg (2)
	29:25;31:23 GREGORY (2)
9;37:5,18;	30:1,2
9:14	G-R-E-G-O-R-Y (1)
	30:2 ground (13)
	5. vunu (1 <i>3)</i>

June 7, 2017

25:16,18:26:8,13; 40:6.10:50:10:51:3: 65:5,5:85:12,12; 103:15 groundwater (4) 29:4,6;73:18,20 group (3) 7:11;46:20;93:17 growing (1) 105:18 guard (1) 68:17 guess (9) 14:8;39:4;50:25; 51:13;57:19;77:2; 78:25;94:24;105:13 guidelines (1) 87:1 guru (1) 54:4 gushed (1) 22:20 guy (2) 76:13;92:11 **guys** (8) 15:22;16:16,16; 49:22;50:12;82:3,8; 100:4 guy's (1) 68:16 Η half (9) 12:21;18:9;24:10; 32:14,23;33:2,14,21; 74:2 hall (1) 8:17 halt (1)22:25 hampered (1) 64:1 hand (1) 10:21 handed (1) 8:19 handle (2) 58:7;68:4 Hanes (4) 17:25;18:1,2;94:12 H-A-N-E-S (1) 18:2 happen (6) 8:15;23:8;28:18; 66:10;76:20;79:3 happened (2) 23:24;28:1 happens (1) 66:10 happy (2) 51:18;80:6 hard (2)

9:24

	L LOIVE.
46:4;85:8	help
hard-working (1) 14:13	11: 20:
harmful (1)	103
62:14	helpe
Harvard (1) 87:21	20: helpf
harvested (1)	7:1
74:2	helpi
hassle (2) 65:1;68:14	16: helps
hasty (1)	67:
81:2 hate (1)	hemp 104
74:20	hence
haul (1) 57:17	55: Henr
hauled (1)	55:
65:4	Here
hauling (2) 57:21;68:2	49: Hi (3)
Hazardous (2)	44:
63:5;100:6	hidin
head (3) 9:3;20:9;83:19	47: high
headwaters (15)	30:
37:5,21,24;38:7;	72:
44:9,14,15,22;45:20; 46:4,17,19;74:10,17;	highe 30:
46:4,17,19,74:10,17, 96:4	highe
healthy (2)	73:
13:10;37:21 hear (2)	highl 30:
9:17;87:17	High
heard (8)	65:
15:22;17:18,19; 79:6;95:4,4;98:24;	hire (47:
99:2	hired
hearing (2) 53:3;93:22	39: hires
hearings (5)	96:
6:14;53:7;91:11; 94:1,20	histor 37:
heart (2)	histor
88:13,16 heartened (1)	37: histor
87:17	22:
heat (1) 48:3	45: 105
heating (1)	hit (1
35:7 heavily (1)	54: hits (
39:15	12:
heavy (3) 22:16;48:20;61:2	holdi 6:2
Hedeen (3)	home
39:19,21,22	8:2
H-E-D-E-E-N (1) 39:22	67: home
hell (3)	60:
91:9,10;96:2 Hello (2)	hono 20:
16:23;25:10	hope
	-

elp (8)	4:1
11:9;16:13;17:6;	hopin
20:8;51:15,19;64:11;	4:1
103:12 elped (2)	Horse 17:1
20:2;67:6	60:
elpful (2)	horse
7:17;9:17	17:
elping (1)	hosts
16:8 elps (1)	37: house
67:3	47:
emp (1)	72:8
104:13	Hsuel
ence (1) 55:14	54:2 H-S-U
(1)	11-5- C
55:12	Hubb
ere's (4)	15:
49:12,20;95:15,16	huge
i (3) 44:4;59:25;81:11	39: 93:
iding (1)	Hugh
47:19	16:2
igh (6)	25:9
30:10,15;54:15;	H-U-(
72:3;73:17;95:14 igher (2)	16:2 huma
30:20;70:9	86:
ighest (2)	hundi
73:7;99:9	26:
i ghly (1) 30:11	70:2 Hund
ighway (3)	23:
65:11,11;82:23	hunt (
ire (3)	20:
47:12;53:9;76:22	hunte
i red (2) 39:6;96:19	68:1 hunti
ires (1)	74:
96:23	hunts
istoric (1)	68:2
37:8 i storically (2)	husba 14:2
37:10;54:14	14.4
istory (7)	
22:23;23:10;44:10;	
45:24;78:14;79:11; 105:1	I-94 (282:2
it (1)	82: ice (3)
54:9	28:
its (1)	ice-ou
12:5	28:
olding (2) 6:2;24:25	idea (2 24:2
ome (6)	identi
8:25;9:1,6;14:25;	72:
67:21;83:7	IEA (
omework (2)	53:
60:20;61:13 onor (2)	illegal 101
20:1;98:20	Illinoi
opefully (2)	79:0
	1

1:20:17 illusion (1) 24:6 ıg (1) imagine (2) 1 47:16:79:8 e (5) 1;19:17,22;20:1; immediate (1) 41:8 15 immediately (2) es (1) 14 22:15:83:15 (1) Impact (17) 16 (4) 13;67:7;70:24; 8 92:18 h (1) 20 impacted (1) U-E-H (1) 88:15 impacts (4) 21 oard (3) 1;32:9;61:21 100:17 impaired (2) (5) 17;47:10;52:5; 38:7,10 3;105:8 implications (1) es (7) 103:21 20,22,23,24; imply (1) 9,10,11 42:19 import (3) G-H-E-S(2)25;25:12 56:15;57:3,5 ın (1) importance (1) 1 24:19 red (5) important (10) 3;67:19;68:24; 23:85:5 lreds (3) 7,21;72:8 importantly (1) (1) 22:12 7 impression (1) 50:16 ers (1) improve (2) 18 ing (1) 23:6;24:16 7 improvements (1) 7:21 (1)23 inaccessibility (1) and (3) 63:19 24;21:2;83:8 inch (1) 22:3 Ι incidences (1) 99:10 include (1) 2) 19,21 97:9 included (1) :1.7:41:9 90:25 ut (1) incorporate (1) 5 6:9 (3) incorporated (1) 21;66:21;102:9 80:20 ified (1) in-country (1) 11 22:23 1) increase (2) 12 28:17;43:4 lly (1) increasing (1) :2 28:16 is (3) independence (1) 6;95:2;100:9 95:20

independent (5) 36:23;59:10;95:15; 96:1.15 India (2) 56:5,7 Indian (1) 88:22 Indiana (1) 100:10 5:7.10:6:8:14:5; Indians (1) 44:17;45:15,23; 89:8 indication (1) 57:15,18:58:12,16; 59:3,6;74:7;91:5,18; 101:22 **Indiscernible** (4) 16:24;18:3;20:18; 86:15 industrial (1) 13:8;59:20;88:21; 44:18 industries (1) 59:17 industry (13) 23:23;24:21;32:17; 33:13,14,15;55:1; 56:14;70:9;89:22; 99:11;102:25;104:2 inevitable (1) 23:7 infamous (1) 48:16 inflated (1) 18:14;21:12;33:15, 105:17 19:41:2:46:9.13: info(1) 63:22:84:19:85:2 15:4inform (2) 5:10;7:24 informally (1) 36:19 information (15) 7:18;8:20;13:4; 36:13:38:9.14:39:16; 46:10:47:21:48:1.12. 23;52:24;53:5;104:18 infrastructure (1) 24:6 ingredient (1) 33:19 initial (1) 100:14 input (2) 31:6;53:7 inputs (1) 53:18 inside (1) 65:23 inspections (1) 100:9 installed (1) 81:22 Instead (2) 39:14:81:23 Institute (1) 55:17 insult (1)

PARK RAPIDS - 10:00	A.M.		
82:12		27.14	lata (1)
	-	37:14 kind (12)	late (1) 22:10
integrated (1)	J		
104:19		8:16;18:19;35:19;	later (6)
integrity (2)	Jami (4)	36:7,9;60:14;75:12;	44:24;45:11
41:12,19	81:10,11,12;86:12	103:12,13,13,14;	82:8;87:5;10
intelligent (2)	J-A-M-I (1)	104:18	law (2)
65:20;68:9	81:13	Kleinke (3)	18:25;43:1
intend (2)	January (3)	31:24,25;32:1	laws (1)
47:7;61:14	37:20;44:11;101:6	K-L-E-I-N-K-E (1)	19:1
intended (1)	Jasper (1)	32:1	lay (1)
5:13	84:2	knows (4)	72:19
intensive (1)	job (5)	72:11;74:18;91:6;	lead (1)
55:24	49:18;50:25;53:15;	98:23	96:20
interest (4)	65:7;84:24	Koch (3)	leading (1)
93:25;94:3,5;95:21	jobs (27)	65:15;66:15;68:7	56:8
interested (1)	23:14,16,17,18,22,		leak (7)
96:18	25;26:2,3,4;49:13,22;	L	39:2;42:13,2
interesting (1)	50:3,6,21;51:7,13;		5,9,16
82:17	84:6,7;94:5,6,7,8;	labor (4)	leaking (3)
Internet (1)	102:14,15,20,21,22	49:11,11,22,24	26:14;43:3;
69:11	John (8)	laborers (1)	leaks (6)
interrupt (1)	52:1,2,2;57:7;	50:21	39:7;41:3;42
10:7	79:17,18,18;81:7	lack (1)	43:15;72:18
into (28)	J-O-H-N (2)	104:18	leapfrogging
4:20;5:15;11:6;	52:3;79:18	LaDuke (3)	105:22
20:16;28:4;30:13;	Johnson (6)	86:13,14,15	least (10)
31:1,5,20;34:14;	29:25;30:1,2;36:4,	L-A-D-U-K-E (1)	27:18;28:7;
35:15;39:2;43:17;	5,20	86:16	32:22;35:5;
53:22;55:1;57:4,14;	J-O-H-N-S-O-N (2)	Lake (13)	59:2,3;60:8;
63:25;72:25;84:7;	30:2;36:6	18:17;20:6,7;21:3;	leave (4)
85:11;91:9,10;101:5;		29:8,12;37:17;44:14;	51:2,5;75:2;
102:23;104:1;105:3,	Join (1)	61:8;70:1;71:6;83:3;	leaving (1)
24	64:9	89:12	84:8
introduce (1)	Jordheim (3)	lakes (9)	left (6)
13:8	70:12,15,16	18:21,23;21:8;27:2;	8:17;40:5;69
invested (1)	$\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{M} (1)$	73:7,16;74:1;87:8;	84:12;85:4,6
102:21	70:16	88:16	Legacy (1)
investing (2)	July (5)	land (12)	13:12
102:22;104:1	8:5;11:9;64:10;	18:12;19:21;22:11;	legislation (1)
investment (3)	80:19;81:2	32:7,9;51:11;63:19;	13:15
77:1;79:1,3	jump (1)	85:16;86:2;87:8,23,	less (8)
investments (2)	56:13	24	4:6;6:19;17:
75:16,17	jumping (1)	landowners (1)	23:15;26:2;
involved (4)	96:6	51:9	78:24
39:15;62:21;93:21;	justify (4)	lands (2)	
97:6	60:22;90:5;92:20,	19:23;31:20	letting (1) 16:10
involving (1)	22	large (6)	liability (1)
100:12			92:25
	K	30:8;42:3,18;44:17; 45:24;75:15	
Iowa (2) 76:7,14			liable (1) 24:25
	Kalamazoo (2)	largely (1) 37:21	
irreversibly (1) 25:3	48:16;79:9		librarian (1)
	keep (10)	larger (2)	80:5
issue (7)	8:6;10:4;11:24;	46:25,25	libraries (1)
5:11;32:2;42:8;	53:21;66:19,24;	largest (3)	81:5
49:8;50:5;69:2,3	68:10;69:18;72:21;	22:23;37:14;47:9	library (3)
issued (3)	90:14	last (13)	60:5;80:2;8
30:13;79:25;80:21	keeps (1)	17:1;30:23;44:8;	Liebreich (1)
issues (8)	68:22	50:1;64:17;74:14;	55:7
20:9,10;28:11;37:3;	key (2)	76:18;82:13;83:8;	life (12)
66:8,9;80:18;87:14	48:18;64:5	89:11;90:19;91:11;	19:5,25;20:1
Itasca (4)	kids (1)	105:14	29:23;34:15
37:4,7,10;61:22	84:9	lastly (1)	42:20;66:10
	kill (1)	63:23	90:4;103:2
1	1	1	1

lift (1) 4;45:11;80:21; 80:6 ;87:5;100:10 lifted (1) 80:9 5;43:1 light (1) 80:25 likely (3) likes (1) 10:9 58:14 limit (1) 57:17 ;42:13,23;72:4, Line (40) 4;43:3;73:4 ;41:3;42:5,10; 5;72:18 ogging (1) 8;28:7;31:9; 2;35:5;58:11; lines (2) ,3;60:8;80:11 list (4) ,5;75:2;92:11 listed (2) listen (2) ;40:5;69:16; 10:7,20 2;85:4,6 10:25 81:24 tion (1) little (25) 5:19:17:18.21; 5;26:2;55:23; live (15) an (1) lived (2) lives (4) ;80:2;81:1 ich (1) living (3) LLC (1) ,25;20:19; 101:11 3;34:15,25;35:9; load (1) 0;66:10;89:20; 12:12 local (3)

lifetime (1) 27:17 12:21;23:19;51:12 Likewise (1) 5:8;21:6;23:12; 25:1,7;26:16,17;27:1; 39:24;41:11;48:6; 49:9;50:7,19,20;52:7, 8,17,17,18;57:22; 65:3;66:1;71:11,12, 17,18,19;75:2,3,4; 78:5;80:14;81:20; 92:15;95:1;97:18; 98:1,3;102:8 30:11;93:1 37:9:38:5,10:96:17 37:7:38:10 listening (1) literally (1) 4:19;6:19;9:25; 15:11:30:14:32:2.25: 33:12;36:10;37:5; 47:19;48:3;49:6,15, 20,21;50:1;51:17; 65:14;71:7;73:10; 78:24;84:16;99:21,24 35:9;40:10;55:24; 70:17,21,21;73:6; 81:19;87:6,22,23; 89:7,9,20;91:3 64:22;82:18 13:2;19:11;86:4,8 34:21;35:9;64:25

38:17:104:24:105:7 26:1 maintainer (1) location (1) 41:15 72:21 maintenance (1) logical (1) 43:21 63:8 long (7) major (1) 32:11;40:2,23;42:1; 39:12 majority (2) 57:14;72:11;87:4 longer (2) 23:18;52:23 9:8:34:18 maker (1) long-haired (1) 5:18 85:23 makers (2) look (20) 5:11.15 23:9:29:3:32:25; makes (2) 24:22;29:14 33:6;34:22;56:21; 57:1;62:4,5;74:6,21, making (3) 22;76:1,20;77:9; 43:16,19;49:14 78:13;92:23;95:18; male (1) 97:25;104:23 83:12 looked (7) man(1) 14:9:34:3:43:7; 16:10 75:25;77:19;81:15; management (2) 96:18 29:4,6 looking (7) mangle (1) 31:12;32:21;34:6; 12:21 40:23;53:22;62:3; manner (1) 83:19 32:6 looks (1) many (19) 81:23 13:5;16:4;25:19,24; Lorna (4) 46:1:50:6:52:11; 17:25;18:1,2;94:12 53:14:54:2,18:82:14; L-O-R-N-A (1) 86:8:94:5.7:95:3.4: 18:2 102:22;103:9,9 loss(3)**map** (1) 75:20;78:15;98:7 77:9 lot (27) maps (1) 14:9;17:22;25:20, 62:3 21,22;26:7;49:22; Marathon (1) 56:23;59:21;60:7; 91:14 March (1) 61:4,24;63:11;67:1; 75:11;77:25;83:24; 101:9 86:20;87:10,18;88:8; marked (2) 90:9;91:23;103:7; 96:11.12 105:16,17,21 market (7) 34:13;35:11,24; love (5) 16:17;18:12,12; 55:25;56:4;57:11; 19:4,5 59:14 lover (1) marketers (1) 86:5 59:16 low (1) Marshall (1) 55:23 22:21 marvelous (1) lower (1) 11:24 62:8 Mary (6) Μ 59:24,24,25,25; 62:4;64:13 machine (1) **M-A-R-Y**(1) 65:21 60:1 Mackenzie (1) Mason (4) 43:7 15:6,7,8:17:8 mailing (1) M-A-S-O-N (1) 81:1 16:17 main (1) massive (2)

80:5,8 match (1) 47:18 Material (1) 100:6 Materials (2) 63:6:104:13 math(1)69:17 matter (4) 53:19;59:6;88:3; 94:21 Maureen (4) 14:19,20,21;15:5 M-A-U-R-E-N(1) 14:21 Maurice (4) 40:16,17,17;44:2 M-A-U-R-I-C-E (1) 40:18 may (7) 36:19;43:8,10; 49:12;53:15;79:25; 80:2 maybe (10) 10:21;32:22;42:21; 43:17;48:12;51:16; 53:10;57:2;78:22; 85:22 mean (2) 54:7:76:10 meaning (1) 60:22 meaningful (2) 80:15,16 means (6) 18:5;45:21;55:21; 67:2;73:22;99:16 meant (2) 50:19:65:2 meanwhile (1) 85:14 Medicine (1) 17:1 medicines (1) 20:8 medium (2) 42:3,18 meet (1) 81:2 meeting (9) 4:12.15:7:1:44:21: 79:22;80:3;83:3,7; 95:19 meetings (3) 4:14;6:2;11:2 member (1) 30:3 members (1) 71:9 mention (2) 61:19,22 mentioned (7)

42:4;60:11,12;61:4, 15:66:19:96:16 mess (1) 84:8 met (1) 17:8 method (1) 34:19 methodology (1) 35:14 mic (3) 11:12,13;64:15 Michael (2) 54:20:55:7 Michigan (3) 22:21;23:24;100:10 mid (1) 8:1 middle (4) 9:18;24:7;72:13; 89:13 might (1) 79:3 mighty (1) 65:8 migratory (2) 83:24;84:2 mile (1) 81:19 miles (4) 72:8;99:12,14,15 million (5) 22:20;79:10;92:7; 101:8:102:20 Mills (1) 33:17 mind (3) 8:6;39:23;59:12 mines (1) 89:17 mini (1) 11:2 Minneapolis (1) 27:23 Minnesota (42) 4:18;5:5,17;13:9, 15;23:14,16,20;24:2, 17;27:6;29:3;32:15, 24;33:2,22;34:4,25; 36:25;37:1,8;44:25; 45:10,11,25;57:11,13; 60:17;73:16;74:13, 25;76:2,13;78:16; 79:2,15;81:14;91:7; 99:15,17;100:13; 102:25 Minnesotans (3) 49:7:50:4:52:4 Minnesota's (5) 27:2;37:11;39:11; 47:5:74:9 minutes (7) 9:22,24;22:8;69:7,

16;79:22;105:12 miss (1) 14:6 missing (2) 7:19:87:11 mission (1) 93:22 Mississippi (11) 21:13;27:8,21;37:4, 18,20;38:2,6;65:9,12; 74:19 mitigate (1) 41:2 modern (2) 34:21:35:15 molasses (1) 47:15 Molina (1) 52:12 moment (1) 24:8 monev (4) 43:17,20;69:4;84:5 monitor (2) 41:14;43:14 Monitoring (2) 37:19;43:22 Montana (4) 17:4;28:2;63:24; 64:5 month (3) 65:10:67:24:80:21 months (3) 28:7:44:24:45:11 more (36) 9:25;10:3;17:18,21, 22;21:22;22:3,11; 23:25;26:18;31:13; 39:2;53:8;57:3;64:9; 71:23;76:22,23;78:4, 5;80:24;85:1,11,21; 86:4,10;92:8;93:1; 94:5,6,7,8;98:7,11; 100:20,23 most (22) 7:17;9:17;18:22; 20:2;21:12,17;22:22; 30:6;31:21;32:10; 34:9,12,20;35:22,23; 47:15;59:3;66:12; 69:4:88:14:96:23: 104:7 Mother (1) 90:20 move (8) 26:19;33:24;34:10, 13,18;35:23;61:11; 103:16 moved (3) 22:17;34:17;81:14 movement (1) 14:3 moving (3)

FARK KAF IDS - 10:00				Julie 7, 201
22.5.25.15 10	26.24	Nicolatta (C)	9.14.10.17.49.9.	09.4.00.67.80.
32:5;35:15,19	26:24	Nicolette (6)	8:14;10:17;48:8;	98:4;99:6,7,8,9;
mowed (1)	near (5)	98:14,17,18,19;	59:19;76:4	100:20
68:22	22:21;28:1;38:6;	104:16;105:13	occur (1)	one-on-one (1)
MPCA (7)	59:11;80:21	N-I-C-O-L-E-T-T-E (1)	88:22	7:10
31:6;37:20;39:10;	nearest (1)	98:18	occurs (1)	ones (5)
63:17;97:5,10,12	55:15	night (4)	63:15	17:18;26:14;
much (29)	nearly (2)	50:1;82:13;83:8;	Ocean (1)	101:15;103:9,11
4:23;8:9;13:20;	28:21;40:23	89:11	21:14	only (14)
16:13;30:20;35:13;	necessarily (1)	Nine (1)	off (7)	19:22;29:14;36:17;
41:13;43:16,20;	10:15	44:24	18:18;65:6;69:10;	40:3;48:14;49:23;
48:24;50:24;54:11;	necessity (1)	nobody (2)	70:4;73:23;82:18;	59:16;71:16;79:22,
57:2,4;58:5;64:12;	39:24	36:8;72:10	105:18	24;85:4;96:13;97:6;
66:16;69:23;71:23;	need (53)	no-build (4)	offered (1)	104:21
77:14;84:12;85:4;	5:11,20;6:11,17;	91:24;92:2,10,17	6:24	operate (1)
	7:20;12:8;14:2;26:15,		officer (1)	57:12
98:22;100:25;102:1,		noise (1)		
2,3,4;104:24	16,17,17,18;27:24;	49:15	55:12	operating (2)
multi-billion (1)	29:16,20,21;31:14;	nor (2)	oil (86)	43:2;86:25
23:22	32:23;33:9,10;34:22;	70:6;80:11	14:1,2;21:21,22;	operations (1)
multiple (2)	35:10;45:7;46:9;47:1,	Nordstrom (4)	22:5,8,13,20;24:4,7,	100:13
27:9;97:20	3,3,4,5,5,22;48:22,23;	75:8,9,10;76:13	10,11,14,16;27:14;	opinion (3)
Munter (3)	51:15;52:25;53:23,	N-O-R-D-S-T-R-O-M (1)	31:18:32:5:33:24;	15:18:34:11:76:8
52:1,2,2		N-O-R-D-S-1-R-O-M (1) 75:10		· · ·
	24;57:1;60:10,12,16,		34:10,13,18;35:15,24;	opportunities (2)
M-U-N-T-E-R (1)	23;67:16,17;81:17;	norm (1)	41:2,9;42:3;43:8,15,	5:25;25:25
52:3	84:10;86:7;92:8;	70:9	17,20;46:1;47:22;	opportunity (2)
must (2)	93:16;96:17;98:11;	North (13)	53:16,19,23;54:1,4,6,	7:7;75:16
21:23;95:12	104:3;105:24	14:22;15:21;16:8;	14,15,19;55:1,8,13,	oppose (2)
myself (1)	needed (7)	57:21;66:22,25;70:1;	16,21;56:1,15,20;	46:21,21
104:19	29:22;33:25;41:19;	72:6,7;73:1;74:14,25;	57:3,22;59:10;60:17;	opposed (2)
10.112	83:6,17;84:18;105:15	81:19	61:9;62:18;63:8;	15:25;94:6
Ν	needs (4)	northern (7)	64:18,20;66:15,16;	option (4)
11	58:25;84:14;97:2;	13:9;32:14,23;33:2,	67:4,5,14,17,19;68:2;	91:24;92:2,10,17
• (1)				
naive (1)	102:15	21;34:25;37:22	71:20;72:8,17,18,25;	oral (1)
93:20	negative (1)	northwest (5)	77:10;80:10;82:23;	7:4
name (30)	10:12	76:2,12;78:16;79:2,	84:6,11,14,16,22;	orally (1)
4:9;11:12,17;12:20,	neighbor (3)	14	85:6,9;90:6;91:2;	6:7
23;14:20;15:7;16:22,	14:14;99:4;101:14	Northwestern (1)	104:3,4,5	order (1)
24;17:1;18:1;20:22,	neighbors (1)	57:13	Oklahoma (1)	61:11
24;25:11;30:1;31:25;	93:16	note (1)	101:12	ordered (1)
32:16;44:5,8;49:2;	Neil (8)	97:12	old (11)	45:14
	70:12,12,15,16;			ordering (1)
57:9;64:17;70:13,15;		notice (2)	15:9;37:15;41:17;	
75:9;81:11,12;86:15;	75:7,11;76:5;77:17	82:7;101:19	50:7;58:24;66:1;	45:1
98:15,19	N-E-I-L (1)	noticed (1)	71:14,17;84:7,10;	organization (2)
named (1)	70:16	61:18	98:3	49:6;87:22
52:13	nephew (1)	notify (1)	older (1)	organizations (1)
Nation (3)	15:12	46:6	58:22	63:2
19:22;20:1;73:8	nervous (1)	November (2)	oldest (1)	organized (2)
national (7)	16:11	28:9;53:3	37:11	49:11,13
28:9,11;41:1;48:15,	new (23)	nowadays (1)	Once (6)	organizer (1)
	13:8;26:25;27:5,7,	17:10	6:5;7:23;12:3;	49:5
19;87:21;90:2				
Nations' (1)	10;33:17;41:14,14,	number (5)	17:11;49:13;95:22	original (1)
28:12	16;42:22;50:8;55:8;	4:4;5:24;41:18;	One (54)	94:13
Nation's (1)	59:8;71:15,18;75:4;	61:19;101:23	5:19;7:6;8:19;9:2,3,	Orton (3)
18:4	84:11;92:15;95:13;	numbers (2)	4;17:5,9;19:22;21:12;	57:8,9,10
native (2)	98:3;102:4,24;103:2	42:13;102:9	22:19;23:24;31:16,	O-R-T-O-N (1)
30:23;37:16	next (24)	numerous (6)	16;33:19;37:13;	57:10
Natural (4)	6:4;14:19;15:6;	95:22;97:1;99:2,8;	42:11,14;44:18;	others (2)
5:6;31:1;38:16;	16:19;36:4;41:12,23;	101:16,16	45:22;46:8,18;47:14;	10:15,16
100:22	42:15;43:9;44:3;49:1;	101110,10	52:6;54:3;57:5;58:18,	otherwise (2)
		0		
nature (2)	53:15;57:8;58:21;		24;65:14;66:4,18,20;	42:5;94:20
36:24;55:24	59:24;69:20;75:2;		67:8,11;68:7;70:8;	out (51)
Natzel (3)	86:13;91:14;93:15;	observations (1)	77:8,14;80:4;82:16;	4:24;8:19;9:3;12:3;
26:22,23,24	97:4;98:15;103:18;	57:20	88:6;89:8;91:6;92:2,	14:6;15:9;16:2,7;
N-A-T-Z-E-L (1)	105:12	obviously (5)	14;94:1;96:15;97:15;	18:23;22:7;25:25;
		- · · ·		

June 7, 2017

100:12 32:21:42:25:43:3; 49:18:52:10:55:19: Park (13) 27:6:33:18:37:4.7. 56:23;57:21;58:6,17; 64:20;65:4,23;68:8; 12;60:4;61:22,22; 69:17;71:17;72:1,15, 62:10:73:20:80:2; 18,19;73:2,11;75:2,3; 81:4:84:3 80:6;81:21;85:7,12; part (10) 86:19:88:10:90:6.15. 6:12;31:21;47:2,19; 21,22;97:3;99:24; 56:9,9,10;57:11; 100:3;104:7,12,21 96:12:102:8 out-of-state (2) participate (2) 15:24;16:5 97:10,11 participating (1) outside (1) 23:20 53:2 over (31) participation (1) 4:16;6:3;10:23; 36:24 particularly (2) 11:2;12:4;16:6,15; 10:17;82:16 21:3;31:4,7;32:8,9; parties (1) 33:16;34:5;42:20; 60:6;62:2;66:22,23; 53:1 68:18;70:17,18,24; parts (1) 72:21;73:12;79:7; 7:20 84:6;85:22;95:1; pass (2) 99:21;105:23 83:21;84:2 overall (1) passed (1) 47:3 13:15 overbuild (1) past (2) 92:5 37:4:38:22 overseas (1) Pat (3) 28:18 4:23;7:7;8:9 owes (1) path (1)28:16 25:2owned (3) Patoka (1) 21:2:32:7.8 31:19 owner (1) Patrick (1) 68:21 4:10 patriotism (1) Р 89:2 Paul (4) 27:23;64:25;66:16; P&L (4) 75:19:76:3.20; 68:12 78:24 pay(3)101:7;102:17,17 P&Ls (1) 76:7 peace (1) Pad (1) 10:8 57:4 peak (3) page (6) 55:4,13,15 13:6;38:9;58:2,9, PECA (1) 15;80:13 62:21 pages (9) penalties (1) 8:23;40:23;47:11; 101:8 penalty (2) 48:14;60:5;64:9; 80:10,11;81:4 100:10,14 paid (2) people (65) 70:5;79:10 4:3;7:6;9:15,21,25; palatable (3) 10:6,7,11,12;13:18; 58:11,21;59:3 14:9;16:3;17:12; paper (8) 18:12;19:6,21;25:15, 31:4;60:4;69:9,9, 16,17;28:21;32:10; 12;80:1,9;81:3 34:14:49:16.16; paradise (1) 50:12;51:17,23;56:2; 68:20 57:16;64:18;65:15; parallel (1) 66:7;67:2;69:5,18;

73:11:76:22:82:5; 84:24;85:16;86:1,1, 18:87:4,6,7,16:88:4, 17;89:6,14,18,19,24; 90:9.24:91:1.3.12.17. 23;92:21;94:13;98:2; 103:7 peoples (1) 19:5 people's (1) 10:12 per (11) 5:15;13:5;22:3,5; 41:12;65:10;67:24; 99:12,13,14;101:24 percent (10) 16:2,6;24:3;29:1,9, 15;47:10;55:23;56:6, 25 percentage (2) 28:25;36:12 perfect (1) 45:20 perhaps (2) 6:18;35:1 period (8) 4:21;9:14,23;10:20; 11:9;36:17;80:19; 82:19 periods (1) 42:2 permanent (3) 23:16,25;26:3 permeable (1) 27:12 permit (4) 5:21;62:23,24; 88:23 permits (2) 5:12:92:8 permitting (1) 62:21 person (2) 25:14;66:19 perspective (1) 32:3 Peterson (1) 81:8 Petroleum (3) 57:11;59:16;72:20 Phil (3) 69:20,22;70:11 **P-H-I-L** (1) 69:23 Phil's (1) 69:21 PHMSA (2) 100:7:101:9 phones (1) 9:16 pick (2)47:12:66:2 piece (3)

47:21:69:8.12 piecemeal (1) 31:16 pieces (1) 87:18 **pig** (1) 65:20 Pine (7) 20:25;21:3,10,16; 24:19:30:3:31:2 pinhole (6) 41:24,25;42:5,10, 13:72:4 pipe (9) 41:14;42:20,22; 48:9;65:22;68:2; 71:21,22;82:22 pipeline (97) 13:24,25;15:15,20, 23,25;16:5,6,12;17:6, 21;21:6,20,25;22:2, 21;23:12,13,18,19; 24:22;25:2,14;26:12; 27:1,5,25;29:11,17, 19;30:9;31:9;39:8; 44:13;45:24;46:20, 21;47:1,4,5;48:7; 49:7,9;50:7,19,22; 51:11;52:4;57:20,24; 58:4,6,10:59:8,13; 60:15,16:63:5:64:23; 67:23:68:15.25: 70:22;71:2,4,14,16; 72:3,9;74:24;76:21; 78:13,20,22;79:13; 82:21;86:3;88:12; 91:5:92:12,13:94:6, 23;98:22;99:12,14, 15;100:5,13,25; 101:22:102:2,16; 103:3,4;104:5;105:16 pipelines (37) 15:11;25:16,18; 26:7,13,18;29:20; 30:24;31:10,13;34:9, 17;35:12,25;41:16,17, 21;43:14,22;46:1,16; 50:11;58:22;63:8; 65:13;66:20,25;67:7; 68:6;77:25;84:11; 86:7;92:6,9;97:20; 100:9;101:11 pipes (2) 52:9;61:11 Pizza (1) 70:18 place (10) 35:9;46:24;66:22; 71:18;72:23;73:6; 75:4;86:3;88:18:89:9 places (2) 52:11;99:3 placing (2)

June 7, 2017

29:17,19 plan (5) 56:10;79:13:103:3; 104:20:105:6 planet (1) 85:15 planning (1) 8:6 plant (1) 33:17 planted (2) 68:19,22 plants (1) 56:22 plastics (1) 104:11 plateau (1) 55:19 please (8) 11:16;12:5;20:12; 23:9:60:21:64:10,11, 11 plowing (1) 61:8 plumbing (1) 73:24 Plummeting (1) 54:25 point (6) 7:17:50:23:51:6.17; 53:10:84:13 points (1) 49:25 poisoned (1) 89:22 poisonous (1) 22:1 police (3) 33:6,9,11 policy (2) 49:16;103:21 politely (1) 12:8 politically (1) 96:10 pollute (1) 27:14 polluted (2) 23:2;74:20 Pollution (5) 5:5;24:25;27:21; 36:25:56:15 poor (3) 54:5,12;73:14 populated (1) 82:25 population (2) 28:16:83:1 populations (1) 88:22 portion (1) 30:8 possibility (1)

I AKK KAI 105 - 10.
82:22 possible (2)
77:17;95:12 possibly (1)
39:6 posters (1)
10:24
Potato (1) 33:17
potatoes (1) 32:18
potential (1) 27:21
pounds (1) 22:3
power (1) 40:8
powered (1) 30:10
PR (1) 84:21
practically (2) 49:23;85:13
practices (2) 13:16;73:21
pray (3) 17:7;19:20,23
prayed (1) 17:6
precedence (1) 85:21
precipice (1) 104:1
predict (2) 46:12;53:22
predicts (1) 54:22
preferred (6) 14:6,15;41:20;
42:10;59:2;63:19
prehistoric (1) 37:16
preliminaries (1) 8:12
preparation (1) 38:25
prepared (2) 5:3;38:18
preparedness (1) 63:14
preparing (1) 6:7
present (2) 41:11;79:13
presently (1) 57:20
presents (1) 78:18
president (3) 44:8;71:6;96:19
press (2) 50:3,15
pressure (6)

22:3,4,6;67:1;72:4;	p
78:4 pretend (1)	p
90:21 pretty (2)	
10:4;72:22 prevent (3)	p
16:14;22:11;42:5 previous (1)	p
36:21 price (6)	p
24:12,16;55:23;	
59:20;98:9;101:21 priced (1)	p
59:15 prices (1)	p
60:18 primarily (3)	p
35:7;49:8;81:14 primary (2)	p
48:5;62:9	p
principal (1) 60:19	p
printed (1) 80:11	p
priority (1) 38:17	p
pristine (5) 18:22;21:7;30:23;	- pi
31:21;63:12 private (1)	-
52:14	p
pro (1) 49:13	p
probability (2) 42:9,14	p
probably (7) 19:7;58:25;65:17;	р
70:13,23;71:5;104:6 problem (3)	r
67:13;97:22;105:10	p
problems (2) 46:7,8	
proceeding (1) 45:3	p
process (15) 4:20;10:14;45:3,6,	p
7,16,18;62:21;80:14; 86:24;87:9,12;94:10;	p
95:13;103:6	-
processing (1) 24:10	p
produced (1) 48:15	p
produces (1) 24:3	p
product (3) 48:6;57:23;72:2	p
production (1) 24:1	
products (4)	p
33:25;34:14;35:19; 59:15	p
	i

rofile (1) 99:23 rofit (4) 75:19;76:15;78:15; 98:6 rogram (4) 28:10,13;56:6,11 roject (8) 5:8,23;6:3;27:18; 44:18;60:10,12;99:22 rojected (2) 29:1;92:4 rojecting (1) 92:5 rojection (2) 53:13;57:5 rojections (4) 54:4;105:15,16,18 rojects (3) 28:20;44:13;61:17 roject's (1) 27:17 romised (1) 98:1 romises (1) 23:13 ronounce (1) 12:20 ronunciation (1) 70:14 roper (1) 47:22 roperly (2) 44:8:47:7 roperty (5) 14:22;21:3;52:15; 82:3.6 roposal (4) 27:4;46:11;90:18; 91:25 roposed (9) 5:7:21:6.20:27:1; 30:9;44:13;71:3; 100:7;101:10 rospects (1) 39:17 rotect (1) 39:11 rotected (2) 37:25;63:16 rotecter (1) 51:18 rotecting (1) 47:24 rotection (6) 30:15,19,21;33:6,9, 11 roud (2) 86:18;93:6 rove (1) 63:2 roven (2) 22:9;60:24

provide (3) 12:9:21:19:27:22 provided (8) 36:13;38:14,19,20, 23;50:21;53:1;81:4 providing (2) 35:8;39:15 public (21) 5:12,17,25;6:2,14; 7:2;8:1,5;10:14; 45:13;46:14;53:2,6; 76:9;77:15;78:11,17; 79:12;93:25;94:3; 95:10 publicly (3) 7:11;51:8;94:19 published (1) 55:17 **PUC (4)** 6:15,16;93:21; 103:6 Pulaski (1) 84:3 pull (5) 11:21,23;75:1,3; 100:3 pulling (1) 85:7 pump (1) 59:22 pumping (2) 66:15.17 purpose (1) 5:9 push (1) 26:1pushed (1) 39:12 pushing (1) 67:4 put (20) 9:12:26:13:31:19; 45:2;46:10,22;48:19; 55:1;60:15;67:12; 72:22,25;75:4;76:21; 78:23;84:7;85:11; 86:3;90:20;98:3 putting (11) 24:21;25:16,18; 30:24;60:14;62:16; 71:17;72:2;73:13; 97:19;102:4 puzzled (1) 42:16 Q qualified (1) 51:23 quality (8) 13:11;21:18;65:1; 66:5,8;71:20;73:7; 95:15

June 7, 2017

June 7, 2017
quantity (1) 21:18 quick (1) 30:18 quite (5) 39:6;56:1;70:2; 76:4;80:6 quote (1) 63:17 quoted (1) 55:12
R
RA-05 (1) 81:24 RA-08 (1) 42:12
Ragole (3)
12:24,25;13:1 R-A-G-O-L-E (1) 13:1
rail (8) 58:2,5,8,10,20;
59:7;68:2,3
raining (1) 70:19
raise (2) 10:21;35:10
raised (2)
80:18;95:21 rally (1)
49:13 ramifications (1)
23:12 ran (1)
83:15
range (1) 10:13
rap (1) 99:23
rapid (1)
55:5 rapidly (1)
41:7 Rapids (12)
14:4,17;27:6;33:18; 50:1;52:13;60:4;
62:10;73:20;80:2;
81:4;99:3 rather (5)
31:15;43:4;55:5; 57:4;90:14
rating (1)
54:24 ratio (2)
70:7;85:9 raw (1)
65:4
Raymond (3) 81:8,8,9
reaches (1) 37:22

Min-U-Script®

reactions (1)	15:8;75:21
7:18	reduced (1)
read (9)	100:11
40:20;60:6;61:1;	Redwing (3)
62:22;79:8;82:12,13;	15:6,7;17:8
93:22;95:7	R-E-D-W-I-N
reading (4)	16:18
42:21;60:7;80:24,	reference (4)
25	30:18;58:2,
ready (1)	referenced (1
12:19	28:12
real (5)	refined (2)
	. ,
16:10,11;33:23;	34:10,14
51:6;82:9	refinery (2)
Realistically (1)	66:15;91:14
85:5	regard (2)
really (38)	23:10;61:21
4:7;5:10;7:15;	regarding (1)
15:10,13;16:4;19:15,	45:18
15;26:6;27:4,7;34:22;	region (2)
47:1;50:11;51:7,21;	18:7;102:25
52:21;54:15;55:22;	regional (1)
60:18;72:10;78:8;	104:20
82:10,14;83:6;84:11,	registration (
17;85:25;86:18,24;	9:1
87:16,17;88:24;	related (1)
92:16;93:6;94:15;	101:18
98:21;105:24	relates (2)
	59:18;98:2
reanalysis (1)	
100:17	relating (1)
reason (6)	100:24
20:13;44:20;46:15;	relations (1)
55:25;88:23;92:1	20:19
reasons (2)	releases (4)
21:5;54:2	41:24;42:1,4
receive (1)	releasing (1)
6:23	42:2
received (1)	relied (2)
6:10	96:3,13
recent (1)	relies (1)
100:21	95:25
recently (2)	rely (3)
24:2;81:21	63:1;96:9;1
recipe (2)	relying (1)
47:17,18	104:9
recognized (1)	remain (2)
18:25	35:5;42:1
Recognizing (1)	remains (1)
79:20	42:19
recommendation (1)	remediation (
78:19	38:23;39:1
recommendations (2)	remind (1)
5:14;75:23	11:19
record (6)	reminder (1)
11:6,18;12:22;	11:22
20:23;100:1;102:11	remote (1)
records (1)	63:18
99:9	removal (3)
recreation (1)	61:5;101:24
27:16	remove (2)
recruit (1)	98:3;101:21
30:5	removing (2)
Red (2)	61:8;84:7

rendition (1) 57:14 renewable (4) 24:1:61:16:103:22: :17:8 105:23 W-I-N-G (1) renewables (2) 24:4;53:14 e (4) repair (2) 58:2,9;59:1 25:7;58:25 ed (1) repeat (1) 39:5 replace (1) 25:7 replaced (1) 91:14 28:3 replacement (4) 61:21 27:18;71:12,16,19 reply (1) ıg (1) 95:23 report (14) 02:25 30:14:40:25:41:10; 43:25;46:6,14;47:23; 48:14,18;55:17;96:3, tion (1) 24;97:13,13 reportable (1) 99:19 reported (1) 18:17 reporter (5) 11:4,18;40:20; 52:15:83:4 reporters (1) 7:8 reports (4) 42:1,4;62:19 41:25:95:25:96:3,9 request (1) 97:4 require (1) 41:11 required (2) 97:5;102:7 research (4) 6:9;104:24 28:10;63:13;98:20; 99:22 resemblance (1) 37:3 resident (4) 13:2;70:20,20; 81:13 tion (2) residents (1) 15:24 resist (1) 17:6 resource (2) 37:8,24 **Resources (8)** 5:6;13:17;21:17; 38:16;39:3;85:20,22; 01:24;102:2 100:22 respectful (1) 01:21 10:16 respectively (1) 10:7

respond (4) 6:11:63:14:104:25: 105:9 responders (2) 104:22,25 responding (1) 63:18 response (5) 80:17;104:18,20; 105:4,6 responsible (2) 13:16;101:3 rest (4) 23:17;24:17;34:4; 89:6 restoration (3) 102:5,19,23 restrict (1) 61:14 result (2) 24:16:79:4 resulted (1) 101:4 resulting (1) 22:22 results (1) 23:6 rethink (1) 75:13 retired (2) 60:2,19 retirement (1) 14:25returned (1) 23:2 review (2) 11:7:36:23 reviewed (2) 34:3;92:18 revised (2) 31:11;100:14 rice (6) 21:8;74:1,2;83:3; 88:16,17 rich (2) 27:10;48:21 Richard (3) 44:3,4,5 **R-I-C-H-A-R-D** (1) 44:6 Richardson (3) 49:1.2.3 R-I-C-H-A-R-D-S-O-N (1) 49:4 ricing (1) 20:5 rid (1) 26:17 ride (2) 19:17,24 rides (1) 19:17 Ridge (2)

31:2:77:9 ridiculous (1) 53:25 right (30) 4:1;8:15;11:15; 16:11;18:23;25:5; 26:13,16;33:18; 42:23:44:21:56:10; 65:9;67:5,21;68:10, 23:69:17.21:75:12; 82:18;83:10;86:8,21; 88:10;91:3,14;94:12; 103:25;105:23 right-of-way (1) rigorously (1) 92:18 rings (1) 55:13 rising (1) risk (15) 24:22;30:15,20; 35:20;42:19;43:3; 73:13;75:21,22;77:3, 14,17;78:14,18,24 **R-I-S-K**(1) 75:22 risked (1) risks (2) 13:8:76:25 river (24) 20:7,7,25;21:4,10, 13;22:17;24:20;27:9, 21,25;29:6;30:3; 37:19,21,22;48:16; 62:8,9,13,16:63:24; 71:7;74:19 rivers (6) 20:5;21:8;23:1; 37:14;73:7,16 road (4) 83:10,13,16,17 roads (1)

82:4

9:18

53:21

77:5

52:13

robust (2)

Rock (3) 17:2,5;48:3

Rocky's (1)

70:18

10:19

72:20

79:6

room (7)

Romeoville (1)

role (1)

roll (1)

44:16;95:14

rise (1)

June 7, 2017

7:9;10:18,22;25:14;

49:11;64:20;91:6

			1	,
Rose (4)	57:22;61:3,9;63:15;	Secondly (1)	shipping (1)	45:7
12:24,25,25;13:1	71:25;85:7,9;89:13,	54:17	80:7	slowed (1)
R-O-S-E (1)	17,22;90:11,17;91:2,	section (1)	shoot (1)	65:14
13:1	12;92:4	101:20	82:7	sludge (1)
round (2)	sands' (1)	Security (1)	shoots (1)	22:16
6:14;91:11	22:13	28:11	68:24	small (1)
route (21)	sandy (1)	sediment (1)	shore (1)	42:18
5:21,22;6:18;13:14;	27:11	102:3	70:1	smart (1)
14:6,10,15;21:6;25:8;	sat (1)	sedimentary (1)	short (3)	84:16
26:25;31:10;41:20;	93:25	61:2	58:2;59:8;82:19	Smith (3)
42:11;50:9;59:2;	Saudi (2)	sediments (1)	shorter (1)	44:3,4,5
63:20;71:15;73:14,	55:21;56:1	101:4	10:2	S-M-I-T-H (1)
15,16;82:20	Saudis (1)	seeing (4)	show (1)	44:6
routes (3)	57:3	12:7;17:22;26:7,7	11:24	snow (1)
57:25,25;58:1	Saugeen (1)	seeking (1)	shower (1)	41:9
routing (1)	18:3	7:15	85:1	social (1)
82:24	save (1)	seem (2)	shown (2)	61:7
ruin (1)	84:21	42:19;58:20	105:1,17	soften (1)
83:25	saw (3)	Seems (3)	side (1)	55:10
ruling (2)	59:19:83:11:94:1	25:5;42:17;58:11	9:11	soil (2)
45:10,12	saying (17)	selection (1)	sign (1)	72:19,23
run (7)	6:22;26:1,5,15;	73:14	9:21	soils (4)
4:11,13;9:14;11:13;	40:11;50:17;51:10;	sells (1)	signed (1)	27:11;29:18;30:11,
54:6;96:23;104:6	55:4,12;56:2;78:12;	24:11	69:10	16
running (3)	79:1;86:18;102:14;	sense (4)	significant (5)	solar (1)
12:2;66:25;74:24	104:4;105:6,21	5:1;8:21;24:23;	23:4;37:10;70:8;	20:16
runs (3)	scale (1)	70:5	72:5;87:15	solidarity (1)
70:23;81:20;88:13	44:17	September (1)	significantly (3)	51:9
rupture (1)	scarcity (1)	45:1	28:17;70:9;87:13	solution (3)
39:7	28:22	sequestration (1)	silence (1)	58:12,18;90:15
rushing (1)	scattered (1)	61:6	9:16	solve (1)
87:14	66:23	series (1)	Simon (1)	97:23
rustle (1)	school (1)	6:1	55:12	somebody (4)
67:19	60:2	serious (1)	simple (1)	49:17;69:11;72:12;
07.17	Science (2)	51:20	48:13	96:25
S	41:1;48:19	seriously (1)	single (1)	somebody's (1)
	Sciences (1)	95:6	96:12	52:14
SA-04 (2)	48:15	Service (2)	sinks (3)	someone (3)
31:19;42:12	scores (1)	21:15;28:9	22:16;41:4;64:3	10:8;78:4;87:19
sacrifice (1)	21:5	services (1)	Sioux (2)	someplace (2)
90:3	scraped (1)	38:23	15:8;17:2	91:8,9
safe (3)	65:6	set (3)	sister (1)	Sometimes (2)
14:12;32:6;97:22	scraping (1)	63:5;79:21;87:1	5:4	44:7;99:5
safest (4)	90:14	settled (2)	sit (3)	somewhere (1)
34:9,19;35:11,23	screaming (1)	12:4;101:7	4:8;11:14;12:4	55:13
safety (7)	96:7	several (2)	site (2)	soon (1)
8:16;35:15;99:8;	screen (1)	72:9;75:18	37:15,16	72:22
100:6,8;101:11,16	11:25	shape (2)	sites (1)	sorted (1)
sake (1)	screw (1)	37:23;88:5	26:16	97:3
76:14	84:5	share (1)	sitting (2)	soundly (1)
same (10)	scurried (1)	75:14	62:2;64:19	63:14
18:8;31:14;33:7;	60:6	shared (2)	sizes (1)	sounds (1)
34:6;42:19;72:23;	se (1)	7:1;103:15	99:18	24:13
75:4;88:8;89:9,20	5:15	Sharon (4)	skimmed (1)	source (2)
sand (1)	seasonal (1)	26:21,22,23,23	22:13	37:13;40:3
21:22	13:2	S-H-A-R-O-N (1)	Slagle (6)	sources (1)
Sandhill (3)	seat (1)	26:24	98:14,17,18,19;	35:3
83:9;84:1;86:6	4:3	sheep (1)	104:16;105:13	South (5)
		68:18	S-L-A-G-L-E (1)	13:2;15:9;17:4;
	CASTS (7)			1,3,4,1,3,7,1/.4,
Sandpiper (1)	seats (2) $A:A \in \mathcal{A}$			
31:11	4:4,6	sheet (1)	98:19	27:6;66:25
31:11 sands (22)	4:4,6 second (4)	sheet (1) 99:24	98:19 slated (1)	27:6;66:25 soybeans (1)
31:11	4:4,6	sheet (1)	98:19	27:6;66:25

103:2 Spangler (3) St (5) 40:16,17,18 S-P-A-N-G-L-E-R (1) 40:18 speak (9) 7:8;10:6;11:11; 16:10;17:13,17;32:2; 83:5,6 speaker (3) 11:23;12:25;70:24 speakers (1) 10:9 speaking (2) 15:18;17:12 specific (5) 41:6;66:25;78:7; 98:25;99:1 Specifically (1) 101:18 spell (2) 11:17;12:23 spelled (1) 32:1 spelling (3) 20:23;70:13;98:15 spending (1) 80:24 spent (2) 22:25:56:12 spew (1) 22:7 spill (16) 18:16:22:24:23:24: 27:25;39:2,7;41:8; 47:23;48:16;63:15; 64:5:68:11:70:7; 77:12:80:10:99:9 spilled (2) 78:10;79:7 spills (20) 13:9;22:9;23:7.8; 27:14;41:6;42:18; 63:18;77:8,21,22,22, 23;99:10,12,13,17,19; 100:2;104:25 spilt (1) 63:25 spiral (1) 55:2 spoken (3) 13:18:87:16:89:11 spot (1) 74:19 spots (1) 27:9 spotted (1) 72:13 spring (2) 6:18:61:25 sprinkled (1) 52:10 square (1)

22:3 27:22,23;64:25; 66:16:68:12 stamped (1) 69:9 stance (1) 98:22 stand (7) 11:13:45:12,16; 51:3;68:16;83:5; 86:20 standard (1) 95:19 standards (1) 63:4 standing (4) 10:23;12:7;17:2,5 standpoint (1) 58:13 stands (2) 21:24:68:23 stare (1) 11:25 start (8) 4:25;11:16;12:7; 43:8,10;86:9,18; 92:11 started (3) 4:9;8:13;81:16 starting (2) 29:3:56:13 State (30) 4:13.18:8:18:11:17: 12:23;16:2,7,22; 21:16;30:23;31:16, 22;32:14;33:14,22; 37:4.11:44:19.22: 45:2,17;46:2,6;61:23; 71:24;74:9,24,25; 84:3;100:25 stated (1) 23:5 Statement (8) 5:7,10;6:8;13:7; 93:23;95:11;97:17; 98:7 statements (2) 94:18,19 States (11) 18:6;23:9;24:18; 28:8,14,19,23,24; 29:8;37:23;74:13 State's (1) 11:7 static (1) 43:4 station (1) 72:18 statistical (1) 42:7 statistician (1) 42:8

steel (1) 56:22 step (1) 48:22 Steve (4) 13:20,21,22;14:18 S-T-E-V-E (1) 13:22 steward (1) 14:12 stick (2) 82:15,15 still (4) 14:2;43:2;66:15; 68:22 stood (2) 19:7;94:2 stop (3)12:6;72:16;83:11 stopped (1) 83:21 stopwatch (1) 12:2 stores (1) 57:13 story (1) 86:6 straight (3) 29:6;62:8,13 stranded (1) 92:24 stream (1) 62:7 streams (5) 23:1:62:1.3.6.16 stress (1) 28:15 stressed (1) 88:10 strikes (1) 49:21 struck (1) 49:20 stuck (1) 51:10 studied (1) 52:13 studies (1) 57:22 study (16) 15:3;37:19.23; 44:17;45:15,23;52:7, 12:57:18:58:12.17: 59:2;96:2,15,20; 97:16 studying (1) 53:11 stuff (12) 15:21;54:11,12; 60:7;64:2;82:13;84:7, 20,24;85:8,12,23 stuffy (1) 70:3

submit (1) 7:25 submitted (4) 6:15;7:7;95:25; 96:14 submitting (1) 8:7 substantive (1) 7:15 succinct (1) 10:3 sudden (1) 73:24 suggests (1) 54:25 suit (1) 79:9 summary (1) 8:21 summation (1) 100:1 summer (6) 22:19;48:17;61:24; 70:19:73:3:80:25 sun (2) 40:7;72:20 super (2) 91:2,25 Superior (4) 27:7:29:9.12:94:24 supplemented (4) 58:1.7.10.20 support (5) 4:14;34:15,20; 35:13;98:3 supporter (1) 13:24 supportive (1) 59:13 suppose (1) 77:6 supposed (2) 47:3;93:11 supreme (3) 18:25;45:10,11 sure (13) 4:3;15:22;59:14; 74:15;76:21;77:24; 78:21;90:6;98:12,23, 23;100:16,18 surgical (1) 66:2 surprised (1) 51:1 surveillance (1) 35:17 survey (1) 15:2 susceptibility (1) 73:17 swamp (1) 63:19 system (3)

31:15:33:1.3 systems (1) 68:9 Т table (6) 9:1;38:8;39:17; 42:11,12,14 tables (2) 4:5;8:22 tag (1) 101:21 takeaway (2) 48:18;64:5 talk (17) 21:9;23:11;31:8; 32:4;49:10,22,23; 52:20;68:14;71:1,3; 89:10;91:4;95:20,20; 98:21:103:21 talked (4) 65:15;76:5;91:17; 104:17 talking (7) 26:25;50:2,5;71:16; 74:4;76:17;87:11 tar (23) 18:9;21:21,24; 22:13,15,20;24:10; 41:2:46:16:61:3.9: 63:15:71:25:85:7.9; 89:13.17.21:90:11.17: 91:2,12;92:4 taste (1) 73:25 team (1) 4:13 technical (1) 52:24 technological (1) 55:6 technology (2) 35:16;59:8 teenager (1) 47:12 teeth (1) 85:1 telling (1) 53:4 temporary (1) 23:17 ten (1) 69:15 tens (1) 23:14 term (1) 59:11 terms (3) 8:15;34:23;35:8 terrain (1) 64:6 territories (1)

18:24 territory (4) 18:4,8;86:19;88:14 Texas (1) 77:9 Thanks (5) 4:23,24;8:9;20:20; 76:4 therefore (4) 13:18:45:13:53:21, 23 **Thermodynamics (1)** 43:1 thinking (6) 13:16;77:1,16;82:3; 84:23;86:7 third (2) 6:1;23:11 though (3) 47:9;51:3;79:25 thought (8) 14:9;49:25;77:14; 82:16;83:14;84:17, 18:93:22 thousand (3) 67:7;99:12,14 thousands (5) 8:23;23:14,22; 67:24:84:3 threatens (1) 27:2 three (11) 6:4:21:9:28:7; 31:13;45:11;56:7; 65:12;67:6;93:16; 98:11;99:12 three-hour (1) 79:21 three-year (1) 81:13 throughout (3) 6:3;25:15;52:10 thus (1) 60:9 timeline (1) 80:14 timely (1) 81:5 timer (2) 11:21,22 times (1) 22:4 timetables (1) 87:1 tiny (2) 22:6;44:10 Tioga (1) 72:7 tire (1) 22:4 today (27) 4:11,25;5:2;6:6,22, 25;7:4;12:12;15:12;

24:11:34:7:35:1.14; 45:17;58:17,19,24; 59:9;60:11;67:22; 70:18;79:24;80:4; 83:4,6:93:14:95:9 today's (1) 80:3 together (4) 46:11;50:25;51:24; 93:15 told (5) 18:20;86:5;96:9; 102:9,10 ton (1) 50:10 tons (1) 84:14 Tony (1) 62:5 torqued (1) 52:21 total (1) 28:25 totally (3) 27:7;71:15;72:10 touch (1) 65:20 tough (1) 88:5 tourist (4) 23:23:24:21:33:13; 74:9 tourists (1) 61:23 towards (2) 12:14,17 toxic (4) 21:23;22:14;25:20; 48:10 tracker (1) 55:16 train (1) 34:18 training (1) 87:20 transition (1) 104:10 transmission (1) 30:10 transplant (1) 76:7 transport (4) 14:1;22:5;43:17,20 transportation (1) 59:18 transports (1) 43:18 travels (1) 22:2 traverses (1) 22:12 treated (2) 89:4,7

treaties (1) 86:2 treaty (1) 18:24 trees (3) 61:4.5.9 trench (1) 66:14 trends (1) 55:10 tribal (3) 85:20,22;88:4 Tribe (3) 15:9;17:3,3 tribes (1) 96:5 tried (3) 40:21;45:7;104:19 trips (1) 84:21 trout (3) 62:1.3.9 truck (7) 58:1,5,7,11,20; 59:7;105:8 truckloads (1) 28:3 Trudeau (1) 92:6 true (3) 35:20;70:2;94:20 Trump (1) 92:6 truth (4) 94:10,14,16,22 try (3) 12:13,16:69:18 trying (9) 5:1;19:18;46:12; 51:6:56:23:89:20; 90:5.15:99:25 Tuesday (2) 60:4;80:2 turn (5) 4:15;8:8;9:15; 40:20;58:14 turned (1) 73:22 turning (2) 91:8,10 turns (1) 73:23 twice (3) 65:11,11;71:13 Twin (1) 14:23 two (9) 5:4,19;8:18;31:9; 65:19;66:18;67:9; 74:14:100:3 typed (1) 40:19 types (1)

30:16 U ugly (2) 66:1;72:1 unanimous (2) 44:25:45:9 unbiased (1) 38:19 unconscionable (1) 39:9 under (11) 18:8;22:2;28:1; 41:9;50:15;62:13,15; 65:10;67:25;87:1; 88:9 undetected (2) 42:1;72:10 uneven (1) 64:6 unfair (1) 87:9 Unfortunately (1) 59:5 United (7) 18:6;23:8;24:17; 28:8,12,19,24 unless (1) 40:10 unnamed (2) 62:6.7 unorganized (1) 49:11 unprinted (1) 81:3 up (60) 9:21,22,23;11:21, 23;12:7,9;14:22,25; 15:21.23:17:5.9.12. 17:18:17:19:1,7:25:6; 26:9.11.16:29:14: 40:19;47:12;48:4,22; 50:6,20;53:8;63:12; 66:3;67:10,11,12,19; 68:7;75:3,21;76:14; 79:2;82:2,5;83:1,5; 84:5,8;86:2,20;89:14; 90:1,19,24;92:11,12; 94:2:98:13:102:16; 104:14:105:11 update (1) 99:25 updated (1) 53:11 Upper (2) 37:18;38:2 upsets (1) 68:14 use (12) 28:17;30:19;35:6; 46:5;48:9;55:25; 60:17;67:18;84:15;

85:13:92:14:104:12 useable (1) 24:10 used (5) 35:14;46:10;58:23; 65:25;84:16 uses (3) 35:4:56:19:105:5 USGS (1) 29:12 using (6) 40:6;41:11;56:22; 58:24;62:12;104:4 Utilities (7) 8:1;45:13;76:9; 77:15;78:12,17;95:10 Utility (2) 5:17;79:12 V valuable (1) 21:17 value (3) 86:3,4;87:8 variety (2) 21:23;43:12 various (2) 35:4;100:2 vary (1) 99:18 vegetation (1) 62:15 vehicle (1) 56:4 vehicles (2) 33:10:56:18 verbally (1) 6:25 verify (2) 52:15:94:17 versus (3) 36:1:102:10:103:22 via (3) 58:4,4,5 vice (1) 96:19 victims (1) 89:21 victory (2) 44:25:45:9 view (1) 94:4 views (1) 10:13 village (2) 33:8,16 violations (6) 99:8;100:2,8,23; 101:11.16 Virgin (1) 56:21 virtually (2)

watersheds (4)

65:13:67:10

03:13;07:10	watersneus (4)	51:2	11:4,03:2,82:12,	/0.18;//:/,11;9
visitors (1)	13:10;21:12,16;	whole (8)	95:15,16	17
76:6	38:4	12:1;45:3,6,16;	words (1)	year-round (1)
vocally (1)	waterway (1)	50:9;86:24;102:24;	5:22	70:20
51:8	38:6	103:6	work (18)	years (26)
Volume (4)	waterways (1)	who's (6)	4:12;36:23;37:3;	15:9;21:3;25:15
60:9,25;61:18;	101:5	4:18;11:4;53:10;	38:20;39:1,2;49:6;	27:19,20;32:8,9
62:18	way (33)	54:4;69:3;96:24	50:12,25;51:4,21,24,	35:5;37:15;41:1
volumes (1)	5:25;9:6;11:17;	widespread (1)	24;65:25;75:15;	42:24;43:11;53
42:2	12:3;13:25;14:3;20:3,		77:24;90:21,22	14;55:14;63:24
		wife (1)		69:23;70:2;72:9
voracity (1)	8;24:24;27:6;33:24;		worked (4)	
95:6	34:9,13,15,21,25;	74:15	38:22;64:24;66:9;	77:23;78:9;81:1
vote (1)	35:9,11,22,23;40:1,	wild (4)	96:25	85:5;88:6;104:7
15:15	11;46:24;47:2;59:5,7;	20:5;21:8;74:1,2	workers (5)	yelled (1)
	67:12;69:4;82:2;83:7;	wildlife (5)	16:5,7;49:10;50:22;	49:18
\mathbf{W}	92:20,21;103:16	27:16;62:14;68:15;	101:2	yelling (1)
	ways (2)	74:6;85:16	working (5)	96:7
Wadena (1)	7:4;104:11	win (1)	4:13;46:3;54:16;	Yellowstone (3)
32:8	weaknesses (1)	93:17	61:16;99:25	27:25;63:23;64
wait (1)	101:19	wind (2)	works (2)	yesterday (5)
53:6	wear (1)	20:16;40:7	53:12;69:17	52:22;83:2,5;85
walk (1)	42:25	window (1)	world (13)	87:19
70:18	wears (1)	49:18	16:15;34:5;54:5,13,	York (1)
walked (1)	43:3	Wing (1)	19,23;55:3,4,15,22,	33:17
83:10	weather (1)	15:8	24;73:12;88:18	young (1)
walks (1)	64:2	winged (1)	world's (1)	16:10
19:10		17:14	37:14	
	weathered (1)			younger (1)
wall (2)	41:3	wings (1)	worldwide (2)	25:14
24:5;90:11	web (1)	83:19	43:9;60:17	youth (1)
wants (2)	96:18	Winona (3)	worried (1)	104:8
12:10;25:3	Weber (3)	86:13,14,15	18:19	
war (1)	79:17,18,19	W-I-N-O-N-A (1)	worst (1)	Z
81:16	W-E-B-E-R (1)	86:16	99:9	
Warba (1)	79:19	winter (4)	wow (1)	zero (2)
52:3	week (3)	61:25;64:2,6;66:17	82:14	26:3;59:6
warming (1)	80:3;82:2;87:5	wintertime (2)	wrap (3)	zone (1)
53:18	weeks (2)	41:7;73:2	12:9;104:14;105:11	90:2
waste (1)	6:4;74:12	Wisconsin (1)	wrapped (2)	
25:20	weird (3)	100:21	65:3,7	0
watched (1)	49:20,21;50:1	wish (1)	writing (4)	
83:21	welcome (4)	19:19	6:7,25;24:4;90:10	07 (1)
watching (1)	4:2,18;10:10;14:16	withdrawal (1)	Written (5)	67:9
73:10	weld (1)	28:24	8:3,4;9:9;11:6;81:1	07.5
water (42)	78:1	withholding (3)	wrong (6)	1
13:11;19:11,21;	welding (1)	47:21;48:1,12	18:13;19:4,6;20:10;	I
21:17,19;22:12,14,15;		within (1)	42:22;53:13	1 (3)
		41:3	42.22,33.13	
24:20;27:3,10,22;	west (1)		X	52:18;85:9,10
28:2,3,10,15,16,22,	66:22	without (2)	Λ	1,000 (1)
24;29:5,10,13,14,15,	western (1)	82:7;97:23		22:3
21,22;38:7;40:8;	61:2	won (1)	x-ray (1)	1,100 (1)
48:21;51:18;63:12,	wetland (1)	45:8	35:16	80:10
13;71:8;73:25;81:15,	62:8	wonder (1)	x-rays (1)	10 (8)
16,17,22;84:6,25;	wetlands (8)	99:5	65:22	40:22,24;42:24
87:9;91:19	30:12,22,23;31:1;	wonderful (4)		43:11;52:9;80:1
waterfall (1)	73:1,8;74:5;101:3	63:11;75:12;76:2;	Y	81:2;102:21
74:6	what's (5)	79:14		10,000 (1)
waters (8)	64:4;76:20;77:3;	wondering (1)	yards (2)	18:21
18:22;20:4;27:15;	85:1;103:3	101:13	68:24;70:23	100 (8)
29:18;31:20;38:11;	where's (1)	Wood (1)	yay (1)	32:8,22;35:5;56
83:25;85:16	61:20	43:7	93:25	68:3;77:21;85:5
watershed (7)	wherever (1)	wooded (1)	year (11)	100:23
21.11.24.20.30.37	67.22	101.2	year (11)	100.25

31:2

11:4:65:2:82:12;

76:18;77:7,11;99:13,

15:9;21:3;25:15; 27:19,20;32:8,9,22; 35:5;37:15;41:13; 42:24;43:11;53:12, 14:55:14:63:24: 69:23;70:2;72:9; 77:23;78:9;81:16; 85:5;88:6;104:7

27:25;63:23;64:1

52:22;83:2,5;85:17;

40:22,24;42:24; 43:11;52:9;80:19; 81:2;102:21

32:8,22;35:5;56:6; 68:3;77:21;85:5;

8:5;11:9;64:10;

10th (4)

6:19;23:8;41:12;

43:9;56:24;69:25;

8;31:2;38:2

21:11;24:20;30:3,7,

67:22

White (1)

Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

101:2

word (5)

I AKK KAI IDS - 10.00	A. 1 VI.	I	1	June
80:22	2023 (1)		8,000 (1)	
11 (3)	55:9	4	37:15	
67:10;77:23;78:9	2024 (1)	4	8,009 (1)	
125 (1)	54:23	4 (2)	99:15	
48:14	2025 (2)	4 (3)	80 (2)	
14 (2)	28:15,23	52:8,17,23	24:3;68:16	
13:6;52:13	2028 (1)	4,000 (3)	804 (1)	
15 (7)	55:9	26:15;41:12;64:8	77:23	
41:12;52:9,13;	2030 (2)	40 (1)		
53:11;64:23;79:25;	55:5;56:7	29:1 40,000 (1)	9	
81:16	2040 (2)	63:25		-
161,475 (1)	53:21;55:5	44 (1)	90 (1)	
78:10	2050 (1)	88:5	16:6	
17 (3)	28:21	48 (1)		
58:2,9;59:1	21 (2)	74:13		
18 (1)	38:9;55:23	49ers (2)		
52:18	22 (1)	50:2,14		
1891 (1)	58:15	50.2,14	-	
37:12	23 (1)	5		
1946 (1)	6:2	2	-	
64:23	25 (6)	5 (3)		
1954 (4)	9:21,22;12:11;	28:21;60:25;61:18		
64:23;66:13;68:7,	47:10;85:8;99:16	5,597 (1)		
15	267 (1)	47:11		
1999 (1)	41:11	50 (8)		
77:20		27:18,20;32:9,22;		
•	3	35:5;69:16;74:13;		
2		85:5		
• (1)	3 (30)	500 (1)		
2 (4)	5:8;21:6;23:12;	77:22		
31:10;57:4;81:20;	25:1,7;26:16,17;27:1;	54 (1)		
83:9	39:24;41:11;48:6;	67:8		
2.4 (1)	49:9;50:7,19,20;52:7,	57 (1)		
92:7 2.5 (1)	17,18;57:22;62:18; 71:11;75:2,4,4;80:14;	88:7		
29:15	81:20;85:10;97:18;	í.		
20 (5)	98:1;102:8	6		
15:9;29:1,9;42:24;	3.19 (1)			
104:7	99:13	6,000 (2)		
2004 (1)	30 (7)	40:23;79:7		
100:5	21:3;22:4;42:24;	6,500 (1) 60:5		
2008 (3)	52:17;68:3;80:2;	600,000 (1)		
13:12;54:8;100:21	104:7	77:10		
2009 (1)	300 (2)	602 (1)		
101:6	77:21;80:11	90:20		
2010 (7)	300,000 (1)	64 (1)		
22:19;28:9;48:17;	65:10	69:23		
77:21;79:7,8;101:9	30-year (1)	64th (1)		
2014 (2)	103:2	69:25		
44:11,23	3-1 (1)	65 (1)		
2015 (2)	80:13	56:25		
27:25;45:1	3-2 (1)		-	
2016 (1)	80:13	7		
38:10	320-mile (1)		4	
2017 (4)	100:24	70 (1)		
37:20;55:18;77:7; 70:25	34 (1) 65:12	16:2		
79:25 2018 (1)	65:12 36-inch (1)	71 (2)		
2018 (1) 6:19	22:2	65:11,11		
2020 (3)	39 (1)	760,000 (1)		
53:20;54:1;55:19	102:21	22:5		
2020s (2)	102.21	O		
54:20;55:20		8		