February 17, 2022

40

MR. ROGER MAGGI: Yeah, I was on mute. 1 2 A TN-40 has not been transported, but other TN casks have been transported. We will be 3 4 transporting -- there's a cask at Dominion currently 5 that was instrumented for EPRI, that will eventually be transported to a DOE facility. 6 There's been many fuel shipments around 7 the U.S. over the years. I can't tell you exactly 8 how many. But it's not, you know, tens or dozens, 9 it's many, many times more. The TN-40 cask, 10 11 specifically, has not been transported. 12 MR. ANDREW LEVI: Okay. Carol, I'll 13 unmute you again. Okay. MS. CAROL OVERLAND: I think that might 14 15 do it for now. Thank you. 16 MR. RAY KIRSCH: Thank you, Carol, for your questions and your comments. 17 We do talk a little bit -- we do talk 18 19 about transportation in the draft SEIS, and just to follow up on Roger's point, we talk about the 20 history of spent fuel transportation in the United 21 22 States. And we even reference an international 23 report to look at the experience internationally and 24 what the experience has been safety-wise for those 25 shipments.

February 17, 2022

41

1	Andrew, has anyone else chatted with you
2	that has a question or a comment this evening?
3	MR. ANDREW LEVI: No, I don't believe so.
4	So if you have contacted me and I missed
5	you, please chat me, again.
6	MR. RAY KIRSCH: While we're waiting for
7	that possibility, let me just remind you that the
8	draft SEIS and this presentation are available on
9	the Department's website, also available on the
10	Commission website through eDockets.
11	Comments on the document are due to me by
12	March 3rd of this year. And, again, if you have a
13	question or you need help, please e-mail me or give
14	me a call. I'm happy to get you oriented to the
15	document so that you can comment on it. I'm happy
16	to orient you to the process going forward or if you
17	have questions about the next steps.
18	So, Andrew, I'll ask one more time, has
19	anybody else chatted with you with a question or a
20	comment?
21	MR. ANDREW LEVI: No.
22	MR. RAY KIRSCH: All right. Well, I
23	think we're going to conclude our meeting then. I
24	want to thank you all for your attention and time
25	and energy here. Particularly, as we're doing this

February 17, 2022 – Responses

13-1

Comment answered at public meeting. See also the response to comment 7-9.

13-2

Comment answered at public meeting. See Chapter 3.2 of the SEIS which describes the number of casks and canisters being used in ISFSIs in the United States.

13-3

Comment answered at the public meeting. The TN-40 casks in the PINGP ISFSI did not have an NRC transportation license when they were first loaded. However, they now have a transportation license and can be transported from the PINGP ISFSI when an offsite storage facility is available.

14-1

Comment answered at the public meeting. Xcel Energy's request is to conduct a competitive bidding process to select a spent fuel storage technology for the PINGP ISFSI. Xcel Energy would select an NRC-certified cask or canister. Chapter 3.2 of the SEIS discusses NRC-certified casks and canisters. Xcel Energy does not know, at this time, which technology it would select for the PINGP ISFSI.

14-2

Comment addressed at the public meeting. See also the response to comment 4-26.

1

FEBRUARY 22, 2022 - INFORMATIONAL MEETING - 08-510
BEFORE THE MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power
Company D/B/A Xcel Energy for a Certificate of Need for
Additional Dry Cask Storage at Prairie Island Nuclear
Generating Plant
MPUC DOCKET NO. E002/CN-08-510
Met via Zoom, at 4:00 p.m. in the
afternoon on February 22, 2022.
COURT REPORTER: Bridget E. Kelly

18

Î	
1	have questions or comments, let's have folks
2	indicate that.
3	MS. RAYANNA KIEFFER: Yeah, I think
4	leaving your screen up for now is just fine, and
5	then if anyone has a comment, please feel free to
6	unmute yourself and comment or ask your question.
7	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: This is hi, Ray.
8	It's Heather Westra. I work for the Prairie Island
9	Tribal Council. And I have a few
10	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Hi, Heather.
11	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: questions.
12	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Yeah.
13	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: If that's okay.
14	MR. RAY KIRSCH: That's great.
15	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Beginning on page
16	15, you talk about it's the Prairie Island ISFSI,
17	and I think on 3.1, you need to just make sure that
18	it's stated that the the it's immediately
19	adjacent or less than 700 yards from the Prairie
20	Island Indian Community.
21	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Okay. That's a great
22	edit.
23	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Okay, and then I'll
24	just go ahead. I just noted a few things. Page 24,
25	a couple of things under project costs, 3.6.

Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

E-103

15-1

19

		ľ
1	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Okay.	
2	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: It says that Xcel	
3	Energy notes that these savings with the use of the	
4	canister system are driven by three factors. It	
5	says the increased number of fuel assemblies that	
6	can now be stored in a canister, is that just in	
7	general or it's a little confusing, I guess,	
8	because the fuel assemblies it'll be fewer fuel	
9	assemblies that can be stored in a canister than the	
10	TN-40 casks. So it's just a little maybe somehow	
11	clarify	15-2
12	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Okay.	132
13	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: you know, the	
14	increased number of fuel assemblies that can now be	
15	stored in a canister, something like relative to	
16	the TN-40 cask or something along those lines. It	
17	was just a little it's just a little confusing	
18	what what's meant there.	
19	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Okay.	
20	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: And then I know you	
21	can't answer this. But, you know, you mentioned the	
22	the Xcel applied for transportation license	
23	for the TN-40HT, under 3.5, and I'm wondering if you	15-3
24	could get from Xcel a sense of how long it might	
25	take to get that license. You know, we're	

20

T T	
1	looking
2	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Yes.
3	Ms. HEATHER WESTRA: at 24 months, 36.
4	MR. RAY KIRSCH: I can certainly get
5	that, Heather, in the in the final SEIS.
6	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Okay.
7	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Jon Kapitz is on the
8	line.
9	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Oh, right.
10	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Jon, do you do you
11	want do you want to do you have any idea how
12	long or what on how on average how long on
13	average it might take for that for that
14	transportation license to be obtained?
15	MR. JON KAPITZ: Yeah. And we'll
16	certainly get you that information, Ray. I we'll
17	look at what the TN-40 took. I think it's in the
18	time two-year time frame, but plus or minus,
19	somewhere in that range. But we'll get the TN-40
20	time frame since it's the one we know, you know. So
21	we can show you what it took there and let you know.
22	Since this
23	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Okay.
24	MR. JON KAPITZ: Since this is a pretty
25	similar cask

21

15-4

1	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Yeah, I think it
2	would just yeah, I think it would just provide a
3	little more clarity in the document, that you're
4	looking at whatever number of months. Okay, so
5	on
6	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Okay. Thank you,
7	Heather. That's that's a great comment.
8	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: On page 25, a couple
9	of things you referenced the 2008 EIS and the cost
10	for the TN-40 casks, and I'm wondering if you could
11	put it in today's dollars, and I've already done
12	that for you. If if you want, we could put that
13	in writing.
14	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Sure.
15	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Just as an aside,
16	there's a the federal reserve has a I don't
17	know what it what it's called. But there's a
18	little calculator that can get yesterday's dollars
19	translated into today's dollars, so I I got that
20	for you, 'cause I think it would again would be
21	more help would be helpful.
22	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Great. If you could
23	send that to me, that would be great.
24	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Okay. And then I
25	just have, I think, two more. Oh, page 29,

22

1	Footnote 5, the reference for that figure, 450	
2	full-time residents within is the 2009 EIS.	
3	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Okay.	
4	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: I'm wondering if	
5	there's anything more recent.	15-5
6	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Okay.	
7	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: I know we provided	
8	some information so that maybe that could be	
9	updated. And then and then maybe this is more a	
10	question for Jon. On page 37, Table 4, it gives the	
11	radiation exposure to workers for the different	
12	spent fuel technologies, storage technologies, and	
13	I'm wondering if you could explain the what to me	15-6
14	looks like a big difference between the horizontal	
15	overpack and the vertical overpack.	
16	MR. JON KAPITZ: Yes, I can. And	
17	that's	
18	Ms. HEATHER WESTRA: Okay.	
19	MR. JON KAPITZ: one of our comments.	
20	We'll provide Ray with some additional information.	
21	It looks it looks much different than it than	
22	it should. I the Prairie Island the TN-40	
23	casks and the canister system, the vertical one	
24	there shown, are for pressurized water reactor fuel	
25	like Prairie Island. The horizontal one is for	

Monticello fuel, and it's probably not really --1 2 it's -- it's not a very good comparison. 3 MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Oh, okay. Okay, I understand. So it was the -- it's the -- the fuel 4 5 type then? MR. JON KAPITZ: Yeah. 6 So we've asked 7 the vendor to give us some --MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Oh, okay. 8 MR. JON KAPITZ: They've given us some 9 better data on some pressurized water reactor fuel. 10 11 MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Versus the --12 MR. JON KAPITZ: And then recognize --13 MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Okay. 14 MR. JON KAPITZ: -- the vendors are showing us just, you know, from a fairly small 15 recent campaign versus the TN-40s over a large --16 larger number of casks, so these are just some 17 examples. What we were -- what we had tried to do 18 19 and what we're -- with our comments really is just 20 to show that at -- at the end of the day, they're all pretty much in the same range of --21 22 MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Okay. 23 MR. JON KAPITZ: -- overall worker 24 exposure. 25 MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Okay. All right,

Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

E-108

23

24

1	that makes sense. And just one other thing. At the	
2	top of the page, last sentence, it's likely that	
3	such changes, I guess, would be emergency response	
4	plans need to be coordinated with the City of Red	
5	Wing and other local emergency responders. I guess	15-7
6	if you mean the Prairie Island Indian Community	
7	because there is a police department and response	
8	capability maybe state it as such.	
9	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Okay. I certainly I	
10	certainly meant that by other local, but they're in	
11	the city. But, yes, we can make that explicit for	
12	sure.	
13	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Okay. That's	
14	those are just the things I had. Well, just an	
15	overarching comment I had is and this is just a	
16	small thing, but are you going to use references or	
17	footnotes? 'Cause the way you have it set up right	15-8
18	now is footnotes, and to me it it's easier to	
19	read, as as someone reading the document, if the	
20	footnotes were at the bottom of the page. That's	
21	that I mean, it's just a tiny thing	
22	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Okay.	
23	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: and it relates	
24	more to readability.	
25	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Right. Yeah, I don't	

25

1	know. We've done footnotes for documents in our
2	unit, and we've done we've recently done this
3	endnote style where the footnotes are at the end of
4	each chapter. I take it you like it better when
5	they're on each page, so.
6	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Yeah, 'cause then I
7	can see right what the
8	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Right away?
9	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Yeah.
10	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Okay. I'll take that
11	under consideration.
12	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Okay. Thanks.
13	MR. RAY KIRSCH: I'm a little leery about
14	changing the whole
15	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Oh, yeah, I
16	understand. Yeah, that's fine. That's, I guess,
17	the new style. I've got to get with the times.
18	MR. RAY KIRSCH, Heather, thanks for all
19	those comments. I I was going to say I have a
20	comment or question for you. You you very
21	gratefully provided us with some information,
22	provided me with studies, and directed me to some
23	studies about transportation, and and I tried to
24	write about that in this in this document. And
25	if if I'm just curious if you have any

26

1	comments when you read that section or, if you've
2	already read that section about transportation, if
3	you had other things you wanted to see or we missed
4	the mark, or we, you know, pretty much in a short
5	summary, got a fairly good short summary that
6	that works for the Commission. I'd like to know
7	that too, so.
8	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Yeah, no, I I
9	I think it's very good. You know, you're covering
10	the waterfront there, so it's
11	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Yeah, it's it's a lot
12	to cover in a
13	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Yeah.
14	MR. RAY KIRSCH: in a very short
15	supplement, so
16	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: Right. Right. No,
17	and I'm and I'm glad that you were able to
18	include that and and mention the tabletop
19	exercise and, you know, the national transportation
20	stakeholders forum and and some of the other
21	things. So, no, I I think you did a good job.
22	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Okay. All right. All
23	right, Thank you. Thanks for looking at that. All
24	right, that's terrific. Thank you for all your
25	comments.

27

1	MS. HEATHER WESTRA: You're welcome.
2	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Does anybody else have a
3	question or a comment today? Heather's were very
4	directed to the draft supplement, which is terrific.
5	But any other you know, it doesn't have and
6	there's no dumb questions or comments, right? We
7	have this time together on a snowy day, connecting
8	electronically, so let's take advantage of it if we
9	as we can.
10	MR. MICHAEL ROACH: Hi. My name is
11	Michael Roach. I'm reading
12	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Hi, Michael.
13	MR. MICHAEL ROACH: I'm reading the draft
14	environmental impact statement, and this is for 35
15	more casks at Prairie Island. Now
16	MR. RAY KIRSCH: Well, can I just I'll
17	let you go ahead, but let me just clarify that
18	because that's a big clarification. It's not for
19	it's not for 35 more casks. The the Xcel
20	Energy has authorization from the Public Utilities
21	Commission to store the enough fuel to operate to
22	2033/'34, and that at the time was anticipated to be
23	in 64 of these TN-40-type casks. They're not asking
24	for any more additional storage. They're just
25	saying, well, we're going to have like 55 of these

g

TN casks, and the last nine we think we can save money and maybe get these off of the ISFSI faster if we move to a different type of storage system, a canister system specifically. So they're not any more additional fuel or anything like that. It's just the way the fuel is stored on -- on the ISFSI pad.

MR. MICHAEL ROACH: Okay. With that being said, why bother changing the last nine when, let's be honest, the odds of these casks ever actually moving, no matter what type of system they're in, is next to zero? Let's be honest, NIMBY is a very real phenomenon. And as long as NIMBY is in play, those casks are gonna stay right where they're at.

Because even if Texas or New Mexico does by some miracle agree and open these supposed repositories, these casks have to travel through --well, let's see, in the case of Texas, Iowa --probably Iowa, Missouri, Oklahoma, and into Texas or -- so literally they gotta travel at least three states to get to Texas and like six states to get to New Mexico. And let's be honest, these state governors are not gonna be happy with this stuff going through their towns, especially by rail. So

29

it just -- it feels kind of like we're kind of being 1 2 used like a guinea pig to test some new canister 3 system out on the off chance that some day it might 4 move. But then it's only gonna be nine of them 5 anyway. MR. RAY KIRSCH: I -- I -- I hear the -yeah, there is a lot of uncertainty, and I -- I 7 tried to write about that, if it's not in there 8 enough. I mean, like I said, it's -- it's uncertain 9 if these other facilities will open. There is a lot 10 11 of -- it's socially and politically charged, as you 12 point out -- a lot of NIMBYism. And maybe absent 13 federal legislation or the federal government 14 stepping in and saying this is how we're going to proceed, it may not happen. And even then, the 15 16 geological repository and the national -- the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, excuse me, are already 17 law, and they're having a heap of trouble just 18 19 implementing what they -- what they wrote down. I -- I hear you. There's a lot of -- there's a lot 20 of uncertainty there. 21 22 One point about the casks, I -- I 23 wouldn't characterize it as, you know, we're going to try out this new system for nine. The system 24 25 that would be used would be approved by the Nuclear

Shaddix & Associates - Court Reporters (952)888-7687 - 1(800)952-0163 - reporters@janetshaddix.com

16-1

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25 30

Regulatory Commission, and it's the standard in the business, so to speak. So Monticello for instance uses a canister system, and it's far and away the most common system for storing spent nuclear fuel. So there's a lot of great experience and confidence that these systems are safe. So I think that -- and that's what the EIS says. It can be done safely. But to your larger point, which is, is it gonna amount to a hill of beans? Is it going to help get it off the ISFSI faster, and are there a lot of issues in getting that done? Yes. Yes, yes, and yes. I completely concur with you, and it's a frustrating situation. MR. MICHAEL ROACH: Well, yeah, 'cause I was gonna say, yeah, this is great -- these canisters are great for Monticello. boiling water reactor. As -- you know, as -- as things go, the fuel is a little less toxic, like, and we're a pressurized water reactor. That stuff is gonna stay hot for 10,000 years. I mean, and so it seems really just -- it seems a waste of resources to literally do this for nine canisters that are never going anywhere anyway, and if Xcel wants to save money, maybe they should've thought about converting that plant to natural gas 20 years

31

They probably would've saved themselves a shit 1 ago. 2 ton more money then, than by having 64 casks sitting out on a pad, that they're gonna have to sit there, 3 4 well, forever. 5 MR. RAY KIRSCH: Yeah. Well, I appreciate your thoughts on that. Yeah, it is 6 frustrating. On the cost part, just to be sure, 7 Xcel's indicated they -- they're going to get bids 8 on a canister system. They'll also get bids to keep 9 doing what they're doing, so for the last nine being 10 11 casks. And so the Public Utilities Commission will 12 have -- Xcel will have that information, and it'll 13 be shared with the Commission, and so it'll -- it'll be in the record what all the bids were, so -- and 14 15 whether there are cost savings. 16 But I take your point. The -- the fact of you're switching from one to the other doesn't --17 as I said it doesn't -- it doesn't change your 18 19 concerns as the Prairie Island Indian Community. It doesn't -- it doesn't really address them. 20 doesn't get the fuel off of the ISFSI pad into a 21 22 storage facility. So thank you for your comments. 23 That is frustrating. I appreciate that. 24 Anybody else have a question or comment 25 while we're on the call today?

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25 32

I'm not hearing anybody. I'll just remind you while I have the slide up that the -- the document and the presentation we're looking at are available on the Department's website and the Commission's website. And we got some good comments today. If you think of anything else, send them to me by -- by March the 3rd, and I've given you my e-mail address and my phone number there. to confer with you or help you with a comment letter or whatever assistance you need, and as I said, if you want a print copy of the document, you know, give me a ring or send me an e-mail, I'd be glad to send you a print copy. So I'll ask one more time. Anybody -anybody else have a question or a comment? MS. RAYANNA KIEFFER: Ray, if there's no other questions or comments, just thank you very much for taking the time to give this presentation to the community, and thank you to all from the community who are on. MR. RAY KIRSCH: Okay. Well, I -- I want to thank you all for getting on, on this day and -and for your attention and your energy and your time. All of our time is so valuable, and I appreciate you just, you know, spending a little bit

February 22, 2022 – Responses

15-1

Text in Chapter 4.1 has been modified to address this comment. The text notes that the PINGP is immediately south of the PIIC. EERA staff believes that the proximity of the PINGP to the PIIC is best described in Chapter 4.1 (Environmental Setting) rather than Chapter 3.1 (Prairie Island ISFSI).

15-2

See response to comment 1-3.

15-3

Text in Chapter 3.5 has been modified to address this comment. Xcel Energy estimates that the NRC will require approximately 24 to 30 months to review the transportation license application for the TN-40HT cask.

15-4

See the responses to comments 1-4 and 1-5. Text in Chapter 3.6 has been modified to reflect costs in 2021 dollars.

15-5

See response to comment 1-7.

15-6

See the responses to comments 1-8, 7-12, and 7-13.

15-7

Text in Chapter 5.3 has been modified to explicitly include the PIIC as an emergency responder.

15-8

See response to comment 1-9.

16-1

Comment addressed at the community meeting. EERA staff notes that any technology selected by Xcel Energy for the PINGP ISFSI would be certified by the NRC. Further, staff notes that canister-based systems are the prevalent spent fuel storage technology in the United States and though the technology would be new to the PINGP it would not be a new or untested technology.