NWA041A overview looking southwest.

NWA043

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Midwest Region

Project/Site: Hayward Solar | City/County: Freeborn County | Sampling Date: 4/30/2020

Applicant/Owner: Hayward Solar LLC | State: Minnesota | Sampling Point: NWA043A

Investigator(s): Apryl Jennrich | Section, Township, Range: T102N R20W S11

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Plain | Local relief (concave, convex, none): None

Slope (%): 1 | Lat: 43° 39' 16.98" | Long: -93° 12' 8.42" | Datum: WGS84

Soil Map Unit Name: Klossner muck | NWI Classification: NA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical for this time of the year? Y (If no, explain in remarks)

Are vegetation X, soil ____, or hydrology ____ significantly disturbed? Are "normal circumstances" present? No

Are vegetation ____, soil ____, or hydrology ____ naturally problematic?

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

(If needed, explain any answers in remarks.)

Hydrophytic vegetation present?	N		
Hydric soil present?	N	**Is the sampled area within a wetland?**	N
Indicators of wetland hydrology present?	N	If yes, optional wetland site ID:	

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)

VEGETATION -- Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: ____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species	Indicator Staus
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
	0 = Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
	0 = Total Cover		

Herb stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
	0 = Total Cover		

Woody vine stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1			
2			
	0 = Total Cover		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across all Strata: 0 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.00% (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:

OBL species	0	x 1 =	0	
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0	
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0	
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0	
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0	
Column totals	0	(A)	0	(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ____ Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation
- ____ Dominance test is >50%
- ____ Prevalence index is ≤3.0*
- ____ Morphogical adaptations* (provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ____ Problematic hydrophytic vegetation* (explain)

*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Hydrophytic vegetation present? N

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet)

100% bare ground, recently tilled.

SOIL

Sampling Point: NWA043A

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (Inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Redox Features Color (moist)	%	Type*	Loc**	Texture	Remarks
0-12	10YR 2/1	100					Silt loam	
12-24	5Y 4/1	99	10YR 4/6	<1	C	PL	Clay	

*Type: C = Concentration, D = Depletion, RM = Reduced Matrix, MS = Masked Sand Grains. **Location: PL = Pore Lining, M = Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ___ Histisol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:

- ___ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**LRR K, L, R**)
- ___ Dark Surface (S7) (**LRR K, L)**
- ___ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR K, L, R**)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (explain in remarks)

*Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and weltand hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric soil present? N

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Gauge or Well Data (D9)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- X Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface water present?	Yes	___	No	X	Depth (inches): ___
Water table present?	Yes	___	No	X	Depth (inches): ___
Saturation present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	___	No	X	Depth (inches): ___

Indicators of wetland hydrology present? N

Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

NWA043A overview looking south.

NWA044

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Midwest Region

Project/Site: Hayward Solar | City/County: Freeborn County | Sampling Date: 4/30/2020

Applicant/Owner: Hayward Solar LLC | State: Minnesota | Sampling Point: NWA044A

Investigator(s): Apryl Jennrich | Section, Township, Range: T102N R20W S11

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Swale | Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave

Slope (%): 1 | Lat: 43° 39' 17.60" | Long: -93° 12' 8.42" | Datum: WGS84

Soil Map Unit Name: Klossner muck | NWI Classification: NA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical for this time of the year? Y (If no, explain in remarks)

Are vegetation X, soil ____, or hydrology ____ significantly disturbed? Are "normal circumstances" present? No

Are vegetation ____, soil ____, or hydrology ____ naturally problematic?

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

(If needed, explain any answers in remarks.)

Hydrophytic vegetation present?	N		
Hydric soil present?	N	**Is the sampled area within a wetland?**	N
Indicators of wetland hydrology present?	Y	If yes, optional wetland site ID:	

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)

VEGETATION -- Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: ____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species	Indicator Staus
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
	0 = Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
	0 = Total Cover		

Herb stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
	0 = Total Cover		

Woody vine stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1			
2			
	0 = Total Cover		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across all Strata: 0 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.00% (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:

OBL species	0	x 1 =	0	
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0	
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0	
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0	
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0	
Column totals	0	(A)	0	(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ____ Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation
- ____ Dominance test is >50%
- ____ Prevalence index is ≤3.0*
- ____ Morphogical adaptations* (provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ____ Problematic hydrophytic vegetation* (explain)

*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Hydrophytic vegetation present? N

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet)

100% bare ground, recently tilled.

SOIL **Sampling Point:** NWA044A

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (Inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Redox Features Color (moist)	%	Type*	Loc**	Texture	Remarks
0-12	10YR 2/1	100					Silt loam	
12-15	5Y 4/1	100					Clay	
15-21	5Y 4/1	100					Sandy clay	Saturated
21-30	5Y 4/1	100					Clay	

*Type: C = Concentration, D = Depletion, RM = Reduced Matrix, MS = Masked Sand Grains. **Location: PL = Pore Lining, M = Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ___ Histisol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:

- ___ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**LRR K, L, R**)
- ___ Dark Surface (S7) (**LRR K, L)**
- ___ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR K, L, R**)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (explain in remarks)

*Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and weltand hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if observed):
Type: _____
Depth (inches): _____

Hydric soil present? N

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Gauge or Well Data (D9)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- X Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- X Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface water present?	Yes		No	X	Depth (inches):	
Water table present?	Yes		No	X	Depth (inches):	
Saturation present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	X	No		Depth (inches):	15

Indicators of wetland hydrology present? Y

Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

NWA044A overview looking east.

NWA052

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Midwest Region

Project/Site: Hayward Solar | City/County: Freeborn County | Sampling Date: 4/30/2020

Applicant/Owner: Hayward Solar LLC | State: Minnesota | Sampling Point: NWA052A

Investigator(s): Apryl Jennrich | Section, Township, Range: T102N R20W S12

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Depression | Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave

Slope (%): 1 | Lat: 43° 38' 42.13" | Long: -93° 11' 3.58" | Datum: WGS84

Soil Map Unit Name: Klossner muck | NWI Classification: NA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical for this time of the year? Y (If no, explain in remarks)

Are vegetation X, soil ____, or hydrology ____ significantly disturbed? Are "normal circumstances" present? No

Are vegetation ____, soil ____, or hydrology ____ naturally problematic?

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

(If needed, explain any answers in remarks.)

Hydrophytic vegetation present?	N		
Hydric soil present?	N	**Is the sampled area within a wetland?**	N
Indicators of wetland hydrology present?	N	If yes, optional wetland site ID:	

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)

VEGETATION -- Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: ____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species	Indicator Staus
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
	0 = Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
	0 = Total Cover		

Herb stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
	0 = Total Cover		

Woody vine stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1			
2			
	0 = Total Cover		

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across all Strata: 0 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.00% (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:

OBL species	0	x 1 =	0	
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0	
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0	
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0	
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0	
Column totals	0	(A)	0	(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ____ Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation
- ____ Dominance test is >50%
- ____ Prevalence index is ≤3.0*
- ____ Morphogical adaptations* (provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ____ Problematic hydrophytic vegetation* (explain)

*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Hydrophytic vegetation present? N

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet)

100% bare ground, recently tilled.

SOIL

Sampling Point: NWA052A

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (Inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Redox Features Color (moist)	%	Type*	Loc**	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR 2/1	100					Silt loam	
20-25	5Y 5/2	99	10YR 4/6	1	C	PL	Clay	
25-30	5Y 5/2	95	10YR 4/6	5	C	PL	Clay	

*Type: C = Concentration, D = Depletion, RM = Reduced Matrix, MS = Masked Sand Grains. **Location: PL = Pore Lining, M = Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ___ Histisol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:

- ___ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**LRR K, L, R**)
- ___ Dark Surface (S7) (**LRR K, L)**
- ___ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR K, L, R**)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (explain in remarks)

*Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and weltand hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric soil present? N

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Gauge or Well Data (D9)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- X Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface water present?	Yes	___	No	X	Depth (inches): ___
Water table present?	Yes	___	No	X	Depth (inches): ___
Saturation present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	___	No	X	Depth (inches): ___

Indicators of wetland hydrology present? N

Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

NWA052A overview looking northeast.

APPENDIX D: OFFSITE HYDROLOGY REVIEW OF NON-WETLAND AREAS





0 200 400 600 800

Feet







Feature ID: NWA004
Map Grid: South

- Desktop Wetland
- Sample Point
- Project Area Boundary

Contour

- Index
- Index Depression
- Intermediate
- Depression

N

Aerial Photograph Review
Hayward Solar
County Highway 30
Freeborn County, Minnesota

TETRA TECH

9/11/2020 S:\Projects\Capital Dynamics\MidwestSolarDevCo\HaywardSolarLLC\GIS\Wetlands_AerialPhotoRevMB_072320.mxd kathy.bellrichard

Source: NAIP; Tetra Tech desktop review.







0 100 200 300 400

Feet







Feature ID: NWA017
Map Grid: South

- Sample Point
- Project Area Boundary

Contour

- Index
- Index Depression
- Intermediate
- Depression

N

TETRA TECH

Aerial Photograph Review
Hayward Solar
County Highway 30
Freeborn County, Minnesota

Source: NAIP; Tetra Tech desktop review.

9/11/2020 S:\Projects\Capital Dynamics\MidwestSolarDevCo\HaywardSolarLLC\GIS\Wetlands_AerialPhotoRev\MB_NoDesktop_072320.mxd kathy.bellrichard





0 100 200 300 400

Feet





Feature ID: NWA018
Map Grid: South

- Sample Point
- Project Area Boundary

Contour

- Index
- Index Depression
- Intermediate
- Depression

N

TETRA TECH

Aerial Photograph Review
Hayward Solar
County Highway 30
Freeborn County, Minnesota

Source: NAIP; Tetra Tech desktop review.

9/11/2020 S:\Projects\Capital Dynamics\MidwestSolarDevCo\HaywardSolarLLC\GIS\Wetlands_AerialPhotoRevMB_NoDesktop_072320.mxd kathy.bellrichard





0 200 400 600 800
Feet







Feature ID: NWA020
Map Grid: South

- Desktop Wetland
- Sample Point
- Project Area Boundary

Contour

- Index
- Index Depression
- Intermediate
- Depression

N

Aerial Photograph Review
Hayward Solar
County Highway 30
Freeborn County, Minnesota

TETRA TECH

9/11/2020 S:\Projects\Capital Dynamics\MidwestSolarDevCo\HaywardSolarLLC\GIS\Wetlands_AerialPhotoRevMB_072320.mxd kathy.bellrichard

Source: NAIP; Tetra Tech desktop review.







0 100 200 300 400

Feet







Feature ID: NWA022
Map Grid: South

- Sample Point
- Project Area Boundary

Contour

- Index
- Index Depression
- Intermediate
- Depression

N

TETRA TECH

Aerial Photograph Review
Hayward Solar
County Highway 30
Freeborn County, Minnesota

Source: NAIP; Tetra Tech desktop review.

9/11/2020 S:\Projects\Capital Dynamics\MidwestSolarDevCo\HaywardSolarLLC\GIS\Wetlands_AerialPhotoRevMB_NoDesktop_072320.mxd kathy.bellrichard





0 100 200 300 400

Feet







Feature ID: NWA023
Map Grid: South

- Sample Point
- Project Area Boundary

Contour

- Index
- Index Depression
- Intermediate
- Depression

N

Aerial Photograph Review
Hayward Solar
County Highway 30
Freeborn County, Minnesota

TETRA TECH

Source: NAIP; Tetra Tech desktop review.

9/11/2020 S:\Projects\Capital Dynamics\MidwestSolarDevCo\HaywardSolarLLC\GIS\Wetlands_AerialPhotoRevMB_NoDesktop_072320.mxd kathy.bellrichard







0 200 400 600 800

Feet







Feature ID: NWA024
Map Grid: South

- Desktop Wetland
- Sample Point
- Project Area Boundary

Contour

- Index
- Index Depression
- Intermediate
- Depression

N

Aerial Photograph Review
Hayward Solar
County Highway 30
Freeborn County, Minnesota

TETRA TECH

9/11/2020 S:\Projects\Capital Dynamics\MidwestSolarDevCo\HaywardSolarLLC\GIS\Wetlands_AerialPhotoRevMB_072320.mxd kathy.bellrichard

Source: NAIP; Tetra Tech desktop review.







0 200 400 600 800

Feet







Feature ID: NWA026
Map Grid: South

- Desktop Wetland
- Sample Point
- Project Area Boundary

Contour

- Index
- Index Depression
- Intermediate
- Depression

N

Aerial Photograph Review
Hayward Solar
County Highway 30
Freeborn County, Minnesota

TETRA TECH

9/11/2020 S:\Projects\Capital Dynamics\MidwestSolarDevCo\HaywardSolarLLC\GIS\Wetlands_AerialPhotoRev\MB_072320.mxd kathy.bellrichard

Source: NAIP; Tetra Tech desktop review.





0 200 400 600 800

Feet







Feature ID: NWA027
Map Grid: South

- Desktop Wetland
- Sample Point
- Project Area Boundary

Contour

- Index
- Index Depression
- Intermediate
- Depression

N

Aerial Photograph Review
Hayward Solar
County Highway 30
Freeborn County, Minnesota

TETRA TECH

9/11/2020 S:\Projects\Capital Dynamics\MidwestSolarDevCo\HaywardSolarLLC\GIS\Wetlands_AerialPhotoRev\MB_072320.mxd kathy.bellrichard

Source: NAIP; Tetra Tech desktop review.





0 200 400 600 800

Feet







Feature ID: NWA028
Map Grid: South

- Desktop Wetland
- Sample Point
- Project Area Boundary

Contour

- Index
- Index Depression
- Intermediate
- Depression

N

Aerial Photograph Review
Hayward Solar
County Highway 30
Freeborn County, Minnesota

TETRA TECH

9/11/2020 S:\Projects\Capital Dynamics\MidwestSolarDevCo\HaywardSolarLLC\GIS\Wetlands_AerialPhotoRevMB_072320.mxd kathy.bellrichard

Source: NAIP; Tetra Tech desktop review.







0 200 400 600 800

Feet







Feature ID: NWA029
Map Grid: South

- Desktop Wetland
- Sample Point
- Project Area Boundary

Contour

- Index
- Index Depression
- Intermediate
- Depression

N

Aerial Photograph Review
Hayward Solar
County Highway 30
Freeborn County, Minnesota

TETRA TECH

9/11/2020 S:\Projects\Capital Dynamics\MidwestSolarDevCo\HaywardSolarLLC\GIS\Wetlands_AerialPhotoRevMB_072320.mxd kathy.bellrichard

Source: NAIP; Tetra Tech desktop review.